

SCORE

SPINAL CORD INJURY REHABILITATION EVIDENCE

Work and Employment Following Spinal Cord Injury

Reuben Escorpizo, PT, DPT, MSc
William C Miller, PhD, FCAOT
Logan M Trenaman, BSc
Emma M Smith, MSc, OT, ATP/SMS



Key Points

Non-modifiable personal characteristics such as: being male and Caucasian, younger at injury; with a longer duration of injury (20-30 years); with higher pre-injury education; being less severely injured; and being employed at injury in a low-intensity job increase the likelihood of employment post-SCI.

Modifiable personal characteristics such as: being highly educated post-SCI; limiting the occurrence of health complications; having a higher level of independence (including wheelchair skills); and having the trait of valuing work can increase the likelihood of employment post-SCI.

Environmental facilitators include having access to various assistive devices, using transportation independently, having social support (including being married), and having the possibilities of job accommodation including reduced work hours.

Environmental barriers to employment are social or physical and include financial disincentives, discrimination associated to negative attitudes toward people with disabilities and difficulties with physical access to workplace.

A single environmental factor can be perceived either as a barrier or a facilitator to employment based on its presence/absence in one's environment and its impact on effective returning to work.

People with SCI may benefit from vocational rehabilitation in the process of job placement and work reintegration.

There is a dearth of high quality research in vocational (re) training. Consequently, conclusions are mostly based on evidence from observational studies or case studies.

Continuous support to the employee and employer before and after vocational placement may lead to a successful return to work and job retention.

Table of Contents

Abbreviations.....	i
1.0 Introduction.....	1
2.0 Personal Factors Associated with Employment Post-SCI.....	2
3.0 Environmental Factors Associated with Employment Post-SCI	7
4.0 Interventions for Enhancing Employment Post-SCI.....	10
5.0 Summary	16
6.0 References	18

This review has been prepared based on the scientific and professional information available in 2013. The SCIRE information (print, CD or web site www.scireproject.com) is provided for informational and educational purposes only. If you have or suspect you have a health problem, you should consult your healthcare provider. The SCIRE editors, contributors and supporting partners shall not be liable for any damages, claims, liabilities, costs or obligations arising from the use or misuse of this material.

Escorpizo R, Miller WC, Trenaman LM, Smith EM (2014). Work and Employment Following Spinal Cord Injury. In: Eng JJ, Teasell RW, Miller WC, Wolfe DL, Townson AF, Hsieh JTC, Connolly SJ, Noonan VK, Loh E, McIntyre A, editors. Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation Evidence. Version 5.0. Vancouver: p 1- 22.

We would like to acknowledge previous contributors Luc Noreau, Erik von Elm, and Amira Tawashy.

Abbreviations

ACSCI	Asylum Center Spinal Cord Injury
ALSP	adult lifestyle support package
ICF	International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
IPS	individual placement and support
SCIAROC	Spinal Cord Injury Association of the Republic of China
SE	supported employment
SF-36	Short Form 36
SISI	Spinal Injuries Survey Instrument
SRFIM	self-reported Functional Independence Measure
TAU	treatment as usual
VR	vocational (re)training

Work and Employment Following Spinal Cord Injury

1.0 Introduction

Work and employment are terms that are used interchangeably in the literature and in this chapter and would include remunerative and non-remunerative employment regardless of work status, type of industry, and type of occupation. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) of the World Health Organization defines employment as “engaging in all aspects of work, as an occupation, trade, profession or other form of employment, for payment or where payment is not provided, as an employee, full or part time, or self-employed” (World Health Organization, 2001). Employment remains one of the most important topics to have been studied since the early 50’s when the importance of returning to work after SCI was recognized (Guttmann, 1959). Not only does gainful employment help to achieve economic self-sufficiency but it is considered a source of personal growth (Ville and Ravaud 1998), disability adjustment (Krause 1992) and is associated with social integration, life and financial satisfaction and better health (Vogel et al, 1998; Anderson et al. 2007). Exact employment figures in published studies are difficult to capture due to variations in sample characteristics such as the participant’s age, duration of injury, and work experience prior to injury, as well as differences in the definition of the concept of employment (Ottomanelli and Lind 2009). Although variation is observed in the employment rate (2 – 80%) (Ottomanelli and Lind 2009), it is likely that the most accurate overall figure since the 70’s is between 30-50%. It does vary based on the severity of injury in addition to regional disparities. Recent data from the U.S. Spinal Cord Injury Model Systems database suggests that 35% of people with SCI are employed 20 years post-injury (<https://www.nscisc.uab.edu>) compared to an average unemployment rate of the US general population of 6.1% for a 20-year period (1993-2013).

There is a tangible need to explain why involvement in employment is significantly lower in a population with disabilities than observed in those without disabilities despite a significant proportion of unemployed people with SCI judging themselves able to work (Tomassen et al. 2000). Today, the development of environmental features (technology, robotics, environmental controls, universal design) and the attenuation of prejudices and the opportunity for job accommodation are making positive change. However, it is astonishing that the employment rate has not evolved much over the past decades to an extent that this could have counteracted the detrimental effect of non-modifiable consequences of SCI.

On the other hand, this reveals the complexity of the process of returning to work. Given that work disability results from the interaction of some personal and environmental characteristics (Fougeyrollas et al. 2002; Chan and Man 2005), it remains inappropriate to state that people with SCI experience low employment rate only because of intrinsic or personal characteristics (Fougeyrollas et al., 2002). To ensure a higher likelihood of success in return to work, interventions must target several factors including work retraining and other types of environmental interventions. A major domain to explore is vocational (re)training, which includes vocational rehabilitation. A condensed definition has recently been published, referring to vocational rehabilitation as “a multi-professional evidence-based approach through various settings and interventions and is provided to working age individuals with health-related impairments, limitations, or restrictions with work functioning, and whose primary aim is to optimize work participation” (Escorpizo et al. 2011). Another goal of vocational rehabilitation is early and sustained participation in employment in any form- either remunerative (paid) or non-remunerative (nonpaid).

The objective of this chapter is to identify modifiable and non-modifiable factors related to contextual factors, namely the personal/activity and participation and the environmental factors based on the ICF

framework that influence employment and to evaluate the evidence of interventions designed to promote return to work post-SCI. Similar to the other SCIRE chapters that have a focus on an area relevant to community reintegration, the methods used for the development of this review expanded upon the traditional SCIRE methods ([see SCIRE Methods](#)). Specifically, two new databases with a focus on the social sciences were searched (Social Sciences Abstracts and Social Work Abstracts), and the inclusion criteria were broadened to include any relevant qualitative studies.

2.0 Personal Factors Associated with Employment Post-SCI

Several personal characteristics have been identified as factors which may interfere with the ability to return to the labor market (Anderson et al. 2007; Lidal et al. 2007, Ottomanelli and Lind 2009). Some of these characteristics cannot be modified (e.g. level of injury) while others such as level of education, health status, and work skills can be modified with appropriate and targeted interventions. Tables 1 and 2 identify the non-modifiable personal factors and the modifiable personal and activity/participation factors, respectively, which influence employment after SCI. All of these studies are Level 5 evidence.

Table 1: Non-Modifiable Personal Factors Influencing Employment after SCI

Personal factors	Impact on employment	Study (N)	Study reference
Male	Being male tends to favour return to work. Exceptions: Anderson and Vogel 2002 (195), Arango-Lasprilla et al. 2009* (11424), Arango-Lasprilla et al. 2010*(11090), Gunduz et al. 2010 (152), Krause and Reed 2011* (781), Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (238)	195 3756 259 615 1397 165 559 5925 82 234 181 2986	Anderson and Vogel 2002 Krause et al. 1999 Krause 2003 Krause and Terza, 2006 Krause 2010c* Lidal 2009 Marti et al. 2012 Meade et al. 2004 Pell et al 1997 Tomassen et al. 2000 Rowell and Connelly 2010 Tsai et al. 2014
Ethnicity	Being Caucasian tends to favour return to work Exception: Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (238)	195 11424 11090 3514 1177 1032 3756 615 1362 1134 1397 14454 781 5925 111 118	Anderson and Vogel 2002 Arango-Lasprilla 2009* Arango-Lasprilla 2010* Arango-Lasprilla 2011 Hess et al. 2000 Krause et al. 1998 Krause et al. 1999* Krause and Terza 2006 Krause and Reed 2009* Krause et al 2010b* Krause 2010c* Krause et al 2010d* Krause and Reed 2011* Meade et al, 2004 Phillips et al. 2012 Paul et al. 2013
Age	Employment tends to increase with age up to 30 and remain the same up to middle 40's	195 167 20143 234 181	Anderson and Vogel 2002 Conroy and McKenna 1999 Pflaum et al. 2006 Tomassen et al. 2000 Rowell and Connelly 2010 (<i>labor market participation may decrease with age</i>)

Personal factors	Impact on employment	Study (N)	Study reference
	Employment is lower in individuals aged 55-64 compared to those aged 45-54	620 149	Hirsh et al. 2009 Blauwet et al. 2013
Age at time of injury	Younger age at injury tends to increase employment	167 1177 1032 3756 84 2986	Conroy et McKenna 1999 Hess et al. 2000 Krause et al. 1998 Krause et al., 1999* Ramakrishnan et al. 2011 Tsai et al. 2014
Duration of injury	The rate of employment increases with duration of injury (20-30 years)	167 20143 2986	Conroy and McKenna 1999 Pflaum et al. 2006 Tsai et al. 2014
Severity of injury (cervical or tetraplegia)	Higher and more severe injury has a negative influence on employment Exception: Botticello 2012 (1013), Gunduz 2010 (152), Marti et al. 2012 (559), Phillips et al. 2012 (111), Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (238)	195 11424 11090 114 167 403 1177 1032 259 1362 1398 1134 781 165 219 91 2986	Anderson and Vogel 2002 Arango-Lasprilla 2009* Arango-Lasprilla 2010* Castle 1994 Conroy and McKenna 1999 Franceschini 2012 Hess et al. 2000 Krause et al. 1998 Krause 2003 Krause and Reed 2009* Krause et al. 2010* Krause et al. 2010b* Krause and Reed 2011* Lidal 2009 Murphy et al. 1997 Wang et al. 2002 Tsai et al. 2014
Education pre-injury	Higher education pre-injury is a key factor to employment Exception: Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (238)	11424 3514 1013 114 169 403 152 259 615 1362 1398 1134 1397 781 1329 219 559 219 234	Arango-Lasprilla 2009* Arango-Lasprilla 2011 Botticello 2012 Castle 1994 Conroy and McKenna 1999 Franceschini 2012 Gunduz 2010 Krause 2003 Krause and Terza 2006 Krause and Reed 2009* Krause et al. 2010* Krause et al. 2010b* Krause 2010c* Krause and Reed 2011* Krause et al. 2012* Lin et al. 2009 Marti et al. 2012 Murphy et al. 1997 Tomassen et al. 2000
Pre-injury work	Positive influence on employment: - Being employed at injury - Returning to pre-injury job - Lower physical demands of job pre-injury	11424 167 3756 259 1398 1134 192 72 20143	Arango-Lasprilla 2009* Conroy and McKenna 1999 Krause et al. 1999* Krause 2003 Krause et al. 2010* Krause et al. 2010b* Kurtaran et al. 2009 Murphy et al. 2009 Pflaum et al. 2006

Personal factors	Impact on employment	Study (N)	Study reference
		61 234 192 60	Ramakrishnan et al. 2011b Tomassen et al. 2000 Young et al. 2004 Young et al. 2011
Pre-injury chronic conditions	Negatively impacts post-injury employment	219	Lin et al. 2009

* These studies are based on data from the National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center (NSCISC) in the United States. In general, two different types of analyses are undertaken (1) retrospective analysis of data in the database (2) a cross-sectional survey that is sent out to individuals identified from the database. Given that the same eligibility criteria are often used for studies relating to work and employment, it is likely that the data from the same individuals are being used for multiple studies.

Discussion

Several personal characteristics cannot be modified but must be taken into consideration in the assessment of potential (re-)employment after SCI as summarized in table 1. These factors can be divided into 4 categories: 1) demographics, 2) time-related, 3) injury-related and 4) work/education factors. The evidence of the influence of these factors (level 5) is based on observational studies. Being Caucasian is a demographic factor that favors employment. Male gender has been a strong demographic predictor of employment, but a number of recent studies have shown no significant difference between males and females. However, 3 of the 6 studies showing no significant difference come from the same data source. The interaction between age, age at injury and the duration of injury is very complex making it difficult to determine their individual influence on employment. While the proportion of employed people tends to increase with age (increases up to about 30 years of age and is maintained up to 40 years), younger age at injury and longer duration of injury (up to 20 years post-injury) are better predictors of being employed than age alone. Due to a non-linear effect of age on labor market participation, it is likely that work participation may decrease with increasing age at some point after 40. Hirsch et al. (2009) reports that individuals aged 45-54 were significantly more likely to be employed than those aged 55-64. A more severe injury tends to decrease the probability of employment. A higher level of education seems to be a factor in increasing the probability of employment. Factors related to pre-injury work such as being employed at injury, returning to pre-injury job or holding a job requiring a lower physical intensity tend to positively influence employment.

Conclusions

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 1) that being male, Caucasian, and younger at time of injury; having a longer duration of injury, higher education pre-injury; and having a low-intensity pre-injury job are non-modifiable personal factors that positively influence employment opportunities after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence (Hirsch et al. 2009) that the severity of injury is a non-modifiable personal factor that negatively influences employment opportunities after SCI.

Non-modifiable personal characteristics such as being male and Caucasian, younger at injury, with a longer duration of injury (20-30 years), with higher pre-injury education, being less severely injured, and being employed at injury in a low-intensity job increase the likelihood of employment post-SCI.

Personal	Impact on employment	Study (N)	Study reference
	outcomes to his/her own attributes, capabilities or functioning		
Wheelchair skills	People with better wheelchair skills are more likely to return to work. Manual wheelchair users have higher employment rates than power wheelchair users	118 30 2986	van Velzen et al. 2009 Hastings et al. 2011 Tsai et al. 2014
Activity / participation factor	Impact on employment	Study (N)	Study reference
Sport participation	Participation in organized sports was associated with increased likelihood of employment.	149	Blauwet et al. 2013**
<p>* These studies are based on data from the National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center (NSCISC) in the United States. Generally speaking, two different types of analyses are undertaken (1) retrospective analysis of data in the database (2) a cross-sectional survey that is sent out to individuals identified from the database. Given that the same eligibility criteria are often used for studies relating to work and employment, it is likely that the data from the same individuals are being used for multiple studies.</p> <p>**The definition of employment varies among different studies. These studies consider full-time students to be unemployed while others include students within the definition of employment.</p>			

Discussion

Several factors can be modified in the post-injury period to prevent deleterious effects or to increase the likelihood of employment after SCI. These factors are categorized as: 1) education / training, 2) health status, 3) functional independence, 4) psychological issues, 5) wheelchair skills and 6) participation. Secondary health conditions such as pain, depression, spasticity, pressure ulcers, severe urinary tract infections and respiratory problems are likely to limit employment opportunities but this finding should be considered in conjunction with the severity of injury. For example having tetraplegia leads to a higher occurrence of secondary health complications due to larger extent of affectation than paraplegia. The level of education or pursuit of training after SCI remains a key factor that can offset other factors such as the severity of injury. Specifically, a professional degree and work that is not physically demanding increases the likelihood of employment. Some psychological attributes such as an internal locus of control, positive values and expectations regarding work including internalization of positive work outcomes are likely to favor employment. Participation in organized sports may facilitate employment through the building of mentorship/relationships, socialization and self-confidence (Blauwet et al. 2013).

Conclusion

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 2) that being married, having education post-injury, having fewer secondary health conditions and higher functional independence, having better work related values and a higher internal locus of control, and better wheelchair skills are modifiable personal factors that positively influence employment opportunities after SCI.

Modifiable personal characteristics such as being highly educated post-SCI, limiting the occurrence of health complications, having a higher level of independence (including wheelchair skills), and having the trait of valuing work can increase the likelihood of employment post-SCI.

3.0 Environmental Factors Associated with Employment Post-SCI

Based on the ICF, the environment includes products and technology (e.g. assistive devices), the natural environment and human-made changes to the environment (e.g. geographic location), support and relationships from others (e.g. support from employer), attitudes (e.g. discrimination due to disability), and services, systems and policies (e.g. healthcare provided) (World Health Organization, 2001). When thinking about the SCI population the most obvious barriers and facilitators are related to the physical environment, particularly for those individuals who have difficulty with mobility. Social, attitudinal, and cultural environment can also be seen to create barriers when one considers the economic disincentives faced, not only by the employers, but also employees with SCI. For instance, the reluctance of an employer to hire an individual with a disability on the belief that they will be less productive or will require costly work accommodations, despite evidence to the contrary is an example of an attitudinal barrier (McFarlin et al. 1991). Moreover the attitudes of other employees can also negatively influence the worksite acceptance of individuals with SCI. In the following section, barriers and facilitators are presented separately. In different contexts a single environmental factor can be perceived to be a barrier and/or a facilitator to employment based on its presence/absence in one's environment and its impact on effective return to work.

The influence of environmental factors associated with employment post-SCI is based on observational studies with level 5 evidence, and are summarized in tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: Environmental Facilitators Influencing Employment Post-SCI

Environmental Factors	Impact on Employment	Study N	Study reference
Ability to use transportation independently	Those with the ability to independently use transportation are more likely to be employed/return to work.	196 167 403 219	Jang et al. 2005 Conroy and McKenna 1999 Franceschini 2012 Lin et al. 2009
	Driving a modified vehicle is associated with increased odds of being employed. Exception: Chan and Man 2005 (16)	3726 84 2986	Norweg et al. 2011 Ramakrishnan et al. 2011 Tsai et al. 2014
Assistive technology	Having access to general assistive technology services	3514	Arango-Lasprilla 2011
	Ability to use a computer shortens the time to employment post-SCI.	391	Kruse et al. 1996
Vocational rehabilitation	Job search assistance, job placement assistance, on-the-job support and training, and maintenance services are associated with successful employment outcomes	3514	Arango-Lasprilla 2011
Job accommodations and adaptations	Identification of appropriate necessary accommodations alleviates work-related problems and facilitates employment	46	McNeal et al. 1999
	Work modifications including job adaptations and decreased work hours are associated with return to work	12 57	Chapin and Kewman 2001 Schonherr et al., 2004
Social Support	Social support favours employment	83	Burns et al. 2010
	Being married favours employment	11424	Arango-Lasprilla 2009*

Environmental Factors	Impact on Employment	Study N	Study reference
	Exception: Franceschini 2012 (403)	1013 196 20143	Botticello 2012 Jang et al. 2005 Pflaum et al. 2006
Surrounding area	Higher socioeconomic status of surrounding area is positively associated with employment; suburban areas were associated with a better employment rate compared with urban areas	1013	Botticello 2012

Discussion

Being an independent driver was positively associated with returning to work post-injury. Reduced dependence on the inflexible, inaccessible, or unreliable options of public transport was likely to be the main reason for this finding (Conroy and McKenna 1999). People with SCI who have computer skills tend to return to work faster after suffering their injury, and to have higher earnings, than otherwise similar workers who lack computer skills (Kruse et al. 1996). Studies specific to persons who experience SCI reported that of those who return to work, the majority were able to do so, in part, because of modifications to the work including job adaptations and decreased work hours. A mentorship or peer support program may also provide a facilitative environment to an individual post-SCI. For example, it was found that those with SCI who completed a mentorship program also improved their functioning, independence, and participation (Shem et al 2010) which may have contributed to their favorable return to work outcomes. However, it was not clear from the study whether or not participation and successful completion of the mentorship program was directly related to employment post-SCI.

Table 4: Environmental Barriers Influencing Employment Post-SCI

Environmental Factors	Impact on Employment	Study (N)	Study reference
Financial disincentives	Decrease in government benefits deter individuals with SCI from returning to work.	16 191 357 143	Chan and Man 2005 Hedrick et al. 2006 Jongbloed et al. 2007 Pflaum et al. 2006
Financial incentives	Those who are entitled to compensation are less likely to be engaged in the labour force.	109 3514 83 403	Wehman et al. 2000 Arango-Lasprilla 2011 Engel et al.1998 Franceschini 2012
	Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (238) (receiving social security benefits was a disincentive for employment but receiving Veterans disability benefits is not)	238	Ottomanelli et al. 2011 (social security disability benefit)
	Those with no fault compensation had lower income and lower return to work (29-39% vs. 42-54% but no significant difference)	118	Paul et al. 2013
Health insurance	Being insured by Medicaid (US) was associated with reduced training and lower employment rates	111	Phillips et al. 2012
	Companies tend to discriminate against individuals with SCI by offering	2228	Ravaud et al. 1992

Environmental Factors	Impact on Employment	Study (N)	Study reference
`Disability` discrimination (negative attitudes towards those with disabilities)	interviews less frequently when a SCI was disclosed.		
	Negative employer attitudes	83	Engel et al. 1998
	Perceived discrimination was associated with current unemployment.	167	Conroy and McKenna 1999
Inaccessibility of the workplace	Physical inaccessibility is a reason for not returning to work.	231	Krause and Anson 1996

Discussion

Financial disincentives are gaining support as having a detrimental effect on return to work post-injury. For example, in British Columbia, Canada, social assistance deters recipients from returning to work because once more than \$400/month is earned, benefits received while on social assistance such as dental care and prescription medication, are lost (Jongbloed et al. 2007). This also appears to be the case in Australia as the perceived disadvantages of losing social security benefits (which would lead to exclusion from accessing government funded equipment and medical supplies) seemed to deter people from seeking employment post-SCI (Conroy and McKenna 1999). Health insurance benefits which are considered threatened for abolishment or reduction with an increase in work-related income could be a deterrent for people with SCI considering going back to work.

Workplace discrimination can be further classified into ‘disability discrimination’ and ‘racial discrimination’, the latter being addressed in the personal factor section. Disability discrimination is due largely to negative or naïve employer perceptions about the potential productivity of individuals with SCI. Ravaud et al. (1992) found that companies tend to discriminate against individuals with SCI by offering interviews less frequently when the injury was disclosed. Similarly, 80% of Canadians agreed with the statement that “Canadians with disabilities are less likely to be hired for a job than those without disabilities, even if they are equally qualified” (Social Development Canada 2004). Not surprisingly, Jongbloed et al. (2007) found that individuals with SCI viewed the negative attitudes of employers regarding people with disabilities as a barrier to employment. The lack of physical accessibility to the workplace has also been found to hinder return to work.

Conclusions

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 4) that financial disincentives has a negative effect on employment post-SCI but financial incentives has a positive effect on employment except for when receiving social security benefits.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 4) that health insurance, ‘disability discrimination’ and inaccessibility of the workplace are environmental barriers negatively influencing employment after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 3) that ability to use transportation independently, ability to use technological devices, and having access to job accommodations positively influencing employment after SCI.

Environmental facilitators include having access to various assistive devices, using transportation independently, having social support (including being married), and having the possibilities of job accommodation including reduced work hours.

Environmental barriers to employment are social or physical and include financial disincentives, discrimination associated to negative attitudes toward people with disabilities and difficulties with physical access to workplace.

A single environmental factor can be perceived either as a barrier or a facilitator to employment based on its presence/absence in one's environment and its impact on effective returning to work.

4.0 Interventions for Enhancing Employment Post-SCI

Access to vocational counselling, educational or job training has often been mentioned as a key issue in enabling return to work after SCI (Jang et al. 2005; Jongbloed et al. 2007; Lidal et al. 2007). However very few studies have empirically tested strategies to increase job opportunities and most reports we found were either case series or observational studies. Various strategies were described through case studies that had successful return to work and job retention. These individualized strategies addressed activities of daily living and mobility needs, job accommodation including workplace support, and employers' needs and concerns. In this section we reviewed intervention studies examining strategies which lead to return to work.

Table 5: Interventions for Enhancing Employment Post-SCI

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
<p>Allen and Blascovich 1996; USA PEDro=6 RCT N=48</p>	<p>Population: All individuals were classified as having severe ambulatory disabilities. Experimental: n=24 (SCI: n = 11, 7M 4F) Control n=24 (SCI= 11, 7M 4F) Treatment: Experimental group members received trained service dogs 1 month after the study began. Wait-list control group received dogs in month 13. Participants included individuals who had expressed interest in a service dog and who required substantial personal assistance. Data was collected for 2 years Outcome measure: Spheres of Control Scale (to assess internal locus of control), Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale, Affect Balance Scale (to assess psychological wellbeing), Community Integration Questionnaire, and data regarding the number of received paid and unpaid assistance.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The experimental group had significant improvements on all psychosocial status tests at months 6 and 12 when compared to the control group. 2. The experimental group had a significant decrease in hours of assistance needed at months 6 and 12 when compared to the control group. 3. After receiving a service dog, there were no significant differences between the groups at the same relative data points (months 0, 6 and 12 for the control group, months 12, 18 and 24 for the wait-list control groups. 4. After 12 months, the presence of the service dog was associated with a decrease of 68% of biweekly paid assistance hours. 5. After receiving a service dog, all participants reported substantial increases in terms of school attendance, part time employment, increased levels of social interaction and use of public transportation.
<p>Ottomanelli et al. 2013; USA PEDro=5 RCT N=157</p>	<p>Population: Veterans with SCI between the ages of 18 and 65 who received health care services in the SCI Centers at one of six participating Veterans Affairs Medical Centers. Experimental: n=81 (mean age 48.7) Control: n=76 (mean age 49.8). Treatment: Experimental group members</p>	<p>Employment: Among the 157 participants, 33 participants (21.0%) accounted for 88 total jobs.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 24 participants in the SE group accounted for 60/88 jobs (68.2%). The rate of employment for SE participants was

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
	<p>received Supported Employment (SE) services by a vocational rehabilitation counsellor who was trained in the Individual Placement and Support Model, and integrated as provider among the SCI interdisciplinary care team in the SCI Center. Control groups: group members received Treatment as usual and received referrals to vocational rehabilitation services outside the SCI Centre. Data was collected for 12-months.</p> <p>Outcome measure: Competitive employment in the community (paying job earning at least minimum wage).</p>	<p>significantly greater (29.6%) than the control (11.8%).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> SE participants accounted for 50 of 72 (69.4%) jobs (competitive employment) and were significantly more likely to achieve employment (25.9%) compared to control (10.5%). SE participants worked significantly more hours per week (22.0 vs. 17.0), averaged significantly fewer wages (\$233.9 vs. \$267.3), and missed fewer hours per week (0.3 vs. 1.8).
<p>Ottomanelli et al. 2012; USA PEDro=5 RCT N=201</p>	<p>Population: 201 veterans with SCI (192M 9F) between the ages of 18 and 65 who received medical and/or rehabilitation care at 1 of 6 participating centers. Experimental: n=81 (mean age 48.7) Control: n=76 at intervention site (mean age 49.8); n=44 at observational site (mean age 45.1)</p> <p>Treatment: Experimental group members received a supported employment (SE) intervention based on an Individual Placement and Support (IPS) model. There were two control groups: one at the intervention sites through which individuals were randomly assigned to the control group – treatment as usual – intervention site (TAU-IS) and 1 at sites where the SE intervention was not available. All individuals at these observational sites received treatment as usual - TAU (TAU-OS). Data was collected for 12-months.</p> <p>Outcome measure: Competitive employment in the community (paying job earning at least minimum wage).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Individuals in the SE group were 2.5 times more likely than individuals receiving TAU-IS and 11.4 times more likely than individuals receiving TAU-OS to obtain competitive employment. The rate of employment for SE subjects was significantly greater than that of either the TAU-IS group or the TAU-OS group. Intent to treat analysis found that subjects in the SE group earned significantly more per week than the TAU-OS group. Subjects in the SE group earned significantly more per week than subjects in both the TAU-IS and TAU-OS groups.
<p>Phillips et al. 2012; United States Retrospective Cohort Study N=111</p>	<p>Population: Newly injured individuals at an Atlanta rehabilitation. Mean(SD) age: 35(11.8) years; 78% male; 76% white.</p> <p>Treatment: Video-based telerehabilitation intervention (9 weeks); telephone-based telerehabilitation intervention (9 weeks); standard follow-up care.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Time to productive activities (attending school, VR, working as a homemaker, volunteering) from injury. Time to employment from injury date among individuals employed prior to injury.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Being in one of the intervention groups (either phone- or video-based telerehabilitation) trended towards a longer time to return to productive activities. Being in one of the intervention groups did not have a significant impact on the time to return to employment for individuals that were employed prior to injury.
<p>Shem et al. 2011; USA Prospective study N=39</p>	<p>Population: 39 individuals with SCI (28M 11F); age 16–26 years. Average(SD) age of mentees was 19.8(3.0) years. 17 employed mentors. In total, 29 participants were matched with mentors, and 10 participants (34%) completed the program</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7 (24%) participants returned to school; 2 (6.9%) participants returned to work 1 (3.4%) participant returned to school part-time. For mentees who successfully completed the program, there was a trend for improvement in cognitive independence and occupation

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
	<p>Treatment: Each mentee with SCI was matched with a community-based mentor, with or without a disability. The mentoring relationship was planned for 2 years. Participants were evaluated with standardized questionnaires at intake, 3 months after entry, every 3 months thereafter, at the time of post-secondary education or employment entry and 4 months post entry.</p> <p>Outcome measures: return to school, return to work.</p>	<p>measures of Craig Handicap Assessment and Reporting Technique, and statistically significant improvements were found with Participation Index of the Mayo-Portland Adaptability Inventory-Version 4, Disability Rating Scale and Supervision Rating Scale, but not with the Satisfaction with Life Scale.</p>
<p>Rowell and Connelly, 2010; Australia Matched sampling pilot study N=181 (SCI n=109)</p>	<p>Population: 181 respondents; 73.5% male; mean age: 44 years; 61% unmarried; mean time since injury: 18 years; 39% in labour force and 26% employed.</p> <p>Treatment: no treatment per se but examines the impact of a publicly funded set of services to enable return to work i.e. Adult Lifestyle Support Packages e.g. support with activities of daily living</p> <p>Spinal Injuries Survey Instrument (SISI) developed and administered, Short Form-36 (SF-36) and modified SF-36 administered.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Labour market outcomes, exposure to the Adult Lifestyle Support Packages (ALSP), clinical and demographic covariates</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No statistically significant effect of either the ALSP or support packages from private insurance sources (i.e. PPSP) on labour market participation was found. 2. A number of other factors are significantly correlated with labour market participation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - individuals who undertook education or training post-SCI were more likely to be labour market participants - females were less likely to be labour market participants - a positive attributional style is associated with a higher likelihood of labour market participation - a weak non-linear age effect was detected, which suggests that the probability of labour market participation is decreasing in age 3. The marginal effects for the ALSP are statistically insignificant. Thus, the hypothesis that the ALSP has a zero effect on labour market participation cannot be rejected. 4. The strongest marginal effect is for post-SCI education, which is statistically significant at the 1% level and for which the 95% confidence interval is 0.108–0.503. This suggests that post-SCI training and education has an important effect on labour market participation. The probability of labour market participation is increasing in the ln (Attributional Style index, positive scenario). The higher the individual's propensity to "internalize" positive employment outcomes to his/her own attributes (or "capabilities and functionalities"), the more likely he/she is to be a labour market participant.
<p>Hansen, 2007; India Observational N= 46</p>	<p>Population: 46 subjects with SCI (40M 6F). No other demographics given.</p> <p>Treatment: Participation in the work rehabilitation program with the Center for Rehabilitation of the Paralyzed. Program includes physical conditional, vocational training and work placements.</p> <p>Outcome measure: Vocational status.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 23 individuals returned to work: 18 subjects were employed in a job similar to their pre-injury job; 5 were employed in a different occupation than what they were doing pre-injury. 2. Of the 23 individuals that returned to work 4 used a wheelchair, and 5 used crutches.

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
<p>Jongbloed et al. 2007; Canada Observational N=357</p>	<p>Population: 357 subjects with SCI (243M 114F); 92 with complete tetraplegia, 142 with complete paraplegia, 108 with incomplete SCI, 15 unknown; mean age = 46. Treatment: Report on access to vocational counselling and job retraining. Outcome measure: Mailed questionnaire inquiring about factors influencing employment.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Social, economic and political environmental factors contribute to individuals working less than desired. Personal reasons were the most influential. 2. Vocational counselling and job retraining were the most important factors in obtaining employment. Other factors were access issues, attendant care, willing employers, personal presentation and the chance to prove oneself. 3. The impact of policies of government and third party payers were cited as having both positive and negative effects on reemployment.
<p>Jang et al. 2005; Taiwan Observational N=169</p>	<p>Population: 169 subjects (147M 22F); 32 subjects with incomplete paraplegia, 86 with complete paraplegia, 24 with incomplete tetraplegia, 27 with complete tetraplegia; mean age = 39. Treatment: Report on access to vocational training. Outcome measure: Employment status, vocational training</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 88% were gainfully employed at time of injury; post-injury 79% were employed full time, 21% part-time, 53% were unemployed, 5% attended school or vocational training 2. 50% of those employed received vocational training compared to only 28% of unemployed. 3. Predictive factors of return to work include greater duration post-injury, higher level of education, being married, independence in use of public and private transportation, higher Barthel Index score, age at injury <25 years, and receiving vocational training after injury.
<p>King et al. 2004; USA Case series N = 174</p>	<p>Population: 174 participants with SCI up to 12 months post-discharge from inpatient rehabilitation. No other demographics given. Treatment: An enhanced case management program (Marcus Community Bridge Program) assisting people to return to the community and to return to work or educational training. Outcome measure: Rate of return to work or educational training at 1-year post-discharge.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One year after discharge the rate of return to work was 17% (i.e. identical to the rate reported by the U.S. Model Systems) and the rate of return to educational training was 31.6% (compared to 15.3% reported by U.S. Model Systems)
<p>Wang et al. 2002; Taiwan Observational N=91</p>	<p>Population: 36 subjects with SCI (29M 7F); 13 subjects with tetraplegia, 23 with paraplegia; from the Asylum Center Spinal Cord Injury (ACSCI); age range: 18-49; 11 complete, 25 incomplete. 55 subjects with SCI (47M 8F); 21 with tetraplegia, 34 with paraplegia; from the Spinal Cord Injury Association of the Republic of China (SCIAROC); age range 18 - >60; 16 complete, 39 incomplete. Treatment: ACSCI group: training program with 6 months of training including: psychosocial consulting, functional, strengthening exercises, endurance, and vocational training; SCIAROC: no specific training program. Outcome measure: Employment status, self-reported Functional Independence Measure (SRFIM).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All subjects in the SCIAROC group had no ACSCI training. All subjects with tetraplegia were unemployed; 1 subject with paraplegia was a student, 11 were employed, and 22 were unemployed. 2. Employment rates in the SCIAROC group were related to the level of functional independence and injury level. 3. ACSCI group: all 36 subjects were unemployed because they were just completing the ACSCI program. 4. Individuals with tetraplegia in the ACSCI group showed significantly better functional independence than those in the SCIAROC group.

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
<p>Note: A 2012 study by Kolakowsky-Hayner et al. was excluded based on the fact that individuals with SCI only constituted 29.8% of the sample population, and there was no specific analysis or coefficients that would enable understanding of the SCI specific subsample. The SCIRE criteria states that over 50% of the sample must be individuals with SCI for inclusion if a subgroup analysis is not performed.</p>		

Discussion

This review suggests that there is a profound lack of high-level evidence studies which have a focus on work and employment-related interventions. Three randomized controlled studies were found, with Allen and Blaskovich (1996) examining access to trained service dogs, and suggesting an improvement in psychosocial status including self-esteem, internal locus of control, and overall psychological well-being. Other benefits of having trained service dogs were a decrease in assistance time by either a professional assistant or family and friends, an increase in school attendance and part-time employment, and an increase in social participation and community (Allen and Blascovich 1996).

The second randomized trial by Ottomanelli et al. (2012) found that a supported employment (SE) intervention was more effective at returning veterans to work than treatment as usual (TAU). Those receiving SE were 2.5 times more likely than those receiving TAU at the intervention sites (offering both SE and TAU) and 11.4 times more likely than those receiving TAU at the observation sites (offering only TAU) to achieve employment over the 12 month follow-up period. However, subjects in the SE group earned significantly less per week than those in the TAU intervention site group. This study was followed up by a third randomized trial (Ottomanelli et al. 2013) which reported that the supported employment (SE) intervention participants had a significantly higher rate of employment than the control, worked significantly more hours per week and missed significantly fewer hours of work.

There was one prospective study by Shem et al. (2010) which found that participants who completed a mentorship program improved their functioning, independence, and participation, which may have contributed to their favorable return to work (or return to school) outcomes. However, it was not clear from the study whether or not participation in the mentorship program was directly related to employment post-SCI; hence existence of evidence is uncertain in this case. Other studies included case series and observational studies. These studies examined employment outcomes of people with SCI who received various vocational rehabilitation services. One study (Inge et al. 1998) suggests that people enrolled in a program using person-centered planning tools to identify needs and to direct the job search might gain employment but the workplace support greatly varied- from minor to intensive support. Another study (King et al. 2004) described a modified case management approach to return people with SCI to work. Comparing their preliminary results with those of the U.S. Model Systems, it appears that the program is successful for increasing return to educational training but not to work. Marini et al. (2007) suggest that people with SCI registered in state vocational rehabilitation agencies and receiving job placement services are likely to have a higher employment rate. Likewise, Jellinek and Harvey (1982) supported the conclusion of higher employment rate in individuals with SCI who had access to on-site professional counsellors for vocational / educational rehabilitation in addition to state vocational rehabilitation agency, compared to the state vocational rehabilitation agency only. They concluded that the vocational or educational placement was as high as 78% among those who had on-site vocational or educational services. In their sample of 169 people (49% engaged in gainful employment), Jang et al. (2005) found that fifty percent of the employed had received vocational training, compared with only 28% of the unemployed. Jongbloed (2007) also

found that employment re-training and education were identified as important contributors to success. However, the participants stated that services and information were perceived as difficult to access. Another observational study examined whether a publicly funded set of support services such as help with activities of daily living is associated with labour market participation. The authors found no effect of these services on labour market participation compared to support packages from private insurance sources (Rowell and Connelly 2010). Hence, evidence cannot be ascertained in this case. However, the same study found that an individual's propensity to internalize positive employment outcomes in relation to his or her capabilities may contribute to returning to work.

Two other studies examine vocational interventions. Wang et al. (2002) compared a group of persons with SCI receiving a multimodal 6-month training course to a group without specific training. They found that individuals with paraplegia had higher employment rate which indicated an association between level of injury and employment. Hansen et al. (2007) interviewed male participants with SCI in a work rehabilitation program which included physical conditioning, vocational training, and work placements. Less than half were employed in a similar or identical job as their previous employment and only about a quarter of those who used a wheelchair returned to work. Overall, the studies included in this review investigated different types of interventions and used different measures to assess the interventions. Although this may limit the generalizability of the outcomes, there is evidence in general supporting the use of interventions to enhance employment post-SCI.

One study evaluated a telerehabilitation intervention and included employment as an outcome (Phillips et al. 2012). The intervention arm included a nine week telephone or video-based telerehabilitation intervention (not focused on employment) compared with care as usual. Return to employment was analyzed in those that were employed pre-injury; with those receiving the intervention not returning to work any faster than those receiving standard care.

Conclusions

There is level 1b evidence (Allen and Blascovich 1996) that suggests a service dog improves integration and participation in school and employment and decrease the number of hours of paid assistance after the first year.

There is level 2 evidence from two studies (Ottomanelli et al. 2012; Ottomanelli et al. 2013) that suggests that a supported employment intervention improves employment rates compared with treatment as usual over a one-year period, increases the number of hours worked per week and decreases the number of missed hours of work.

There is level 5 (Jellinek and Harvey 1982) and level 4 evidence (Marini et al. 2008) that on-site vocational rehabilitation counselling during inpatient rehabilitation can increase employment rates.

There is level 4 evidence (Marini et al. 2008) that use of job placement services may help individuals with SCI find employment.

There is level 4 evidence (Inge et al. 1998) suggesting that person-centred planning tools facilitate employment.

There is level 4 evidence (King et al. 2004) that case management programs increase return to educational training, but not to work.

There is level 5 evidence from 4 studies (Wang et al. 2002; Jang et al. 2005; Jongbloed et al. 2007; Hansen 2007) that receiving vocational training increases the likelihood of employment.

There is level 5 evidence (Rowell and Connelly 2010) that an individual's propensity to internalize positive employment outcomes in relation to his or her capabilities may contribute to returning to work.

People with SCI may benefit from vocational rehabilitation in the process of job placement and work reintegration.

There is a dearth of high quality research in vocational (re) training. Consequently, conclusions are mostly based on evidence from observational studies or case studies.

Continuous support to the employee and employer before and after vocational placement may lead to a successful return to work and job retention.

5.0 Summary

Effective work reintegration for individuals with SCI is essential because despite their disability, many of these individuals possess the potential to remain or become productive members of society while deriving positive psychosocial benefits at the same time. Many factors that could facilitate return to work are at a level that is beyond the realm of health care. These include changing employer and societal perceptions and attitudes, and lobbying for social change that would include improved transportation (Conroy and McKenna 1999). Eliminating policies to reduce financial disincentives are key to eliminating barriers for those who return to work. Policy change requires a strong lobbying voice and a social will to overcome attitudes and arguments from opponents who may otherwise see provision of funding for personal care attendants and/or worksite modification as a poor investment of resources.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 1) that being male, Caucasian, and younger at time of injury; having a longer duration of injury, higher education pre-injury; and having a low-intensity pre-injury job are non-modifiable personal factors that positively influence employment opportunities after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence (Hirsch et al. 2009) that the severity of injury is a non-modifiable personal factor that negatively influences employment opportunities after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 2) that being married, having education post-injury, having fewer secondary health conditions and higher functional independence, having better work related values and a higher internal locus of control, and better wheelchair skills are modifiable personal factors that positively influence employment opportunities after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 4) that financial disincentives has a negative effect on employment post-SCI but financial incentives has a positive effect on employment except for when receiving social security benefits.

There is level 5 evidence (see Table 4) that health insurance, 'disability discrimination' and inaccessibility of the workplace are environmental barriers negatively influencing employment after SCI.

There is level 5 evidence that (see Table 3) ability to use transportation independently, ability to use technological devices, and having access to job accommodations positively influencing employment after SCI.

There is level 1b evidence (Allen and Blascovich 1996) that suggests a service dog improves integration and participation in school and employment and decrease the number of hours of paid assistance after the first year.

There is level 2 evidence from two studies (Ottomanelli et al. 2012; Ottomanelli et al. 2013) that suggests that a supported employment intervention improves employment rates compared with treatment as usual over a one-year period, increases the number of hours worked per week and decreases the number of missed hours of work.

There is level 5 (Jellinek and Harvey 1982) and level 4 evidence (Marini et al. 2008) that on-site vocational rehabilitation counselling during inpatient rehabilitation can increase employment rates.

There is level 4 evidence (Marini et al. 2008) that use of job placement services may help individuals with SCI find employment.

There is level 4 evidence (Inge et al. 1998) suggesting that person-centred planning tools facilitate employment.

There is level 4 evidence (King et al. 2004) that case management programs increase return to educational training, but not to work.

There is level 5 evidence from 4 studies (Wang et al. 2002; Jang et al. 2005; Jongbloed et al. 2007; Hansen 2007) that receiving vocational training increases the likelihood of employment.

There is level 5 evidence (Rowell and Connelly 2010) that an individual's propensity to internalize positive employment outcomes in relation to his or her capabilities may contribute to returning to work.

6.0 References

- Allen K, Blascovich J. The value of service dogs for people with severe ambulatory disabilities. A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1996; 275:1001-1006.
- Anderson D, Dumont S, Azzaria L, Le Bourdais M, Noreau L. Determinants of return to work among spinal cord injury patients: A literature review. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation* 2007; 27: 57-68.
- Anderson CJ, Vogel LC. Employment outcomes of adults who sustained spinal cord injuries as children or adolescents. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2002;83:791-801.
- Arango-Lasprilla JC, Cardoso E, Wilson L, et al. Vocational rehabilitation service patterns and employment outcomes for Hispanics with spinal cord injuries. *Rehabilitation Research, Policy, and Education* 2011;35:149-162.
- Arango-Lasprilla JC, Ketchum JM, Francis K, et al. Race, ethnicity, and employment outcomes 1, 5, and 10 years after spinal cord injury: A longitudinal analysis. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2010;2: 901-910.
- Arango-Lasprilla JC, Ketchum JM, Stevens LF, et al. Ethnic/racial differences in employment outcomes in spinal cord injury. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2009;24:37-46.
- Blauwet C, Sudhakar S, Doherty AL, Garshick E, Zafonte R, Morse LR. Participation in organized sports is positively associated with employment in adults with spinal cord injury. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;92:393-401.
- Botticello AL, Chen Y, Tulskey DS. Geographic variation in participation for physically disabled adults: The contribution of area economic factors to employment after spinal cord injury. *Social Science and Medicine* 2012;75:1505-1513.
- Burns SM, Boyd BL, Hill J, Hough S. Psychosocial predictors of employment status among men living with spinal cord injury. *Rehabilitation Psychology* 2010;55:81-90.
- Castle R. An investigation into the employment and occupation of patients with a spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 1994;32:182-187.
- Chan SK, Man DW. Barriers to returning to work for people with spinal cord injuries: a focus group study. *Work* 2005;25:325-332.
- Chapin M, Kewman D. Factors affecting employment following spinal cord injury: A qualitative study, *Rehabilitation Psychology* 2001;46:400–416.
- Cohen JT, Marino RJ, Sacco P, Terrin N. Association between the functional independence measure following spinal cord injury and long-term outcomes. *Spinal Cord* 2012; 50: 728-733.
- Conroy L, McKenna K. Vocational outcome following spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 1999;37:624-633.
- Engel S, Murphy GS, Athanasou JA, Hickey L. Employment outcomes following spinal cord injury. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research* 1998;21:223-229.
- Escorpizo R, Reneman MF, Ekholm J, Fritz J, Krupa T, Marnetoft SU, Maroun CE, et al. A conceptual definition of vocational rehabilitation based on the ICF: building a shared global model. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation* 2011; 21:126–133.
- Fougeyrollas P, Noreau L, Boschen. Interaction of Environment with Individual Characteristics and Social Participation: Theoretical Perspective and Applications in Persons with Spinal Cord Injury. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation* 2002;7:1-16.
- Franceschini M, Pagliacci MC, Russo T, et al. Occurrence and predictors of employment after traumatic spinal cord injury: the GISEM study. *Spinal Cord* 2012;50:238-242.
- Guzduz B, Erhan B, Bardak AN. Employment among spinal cord injury patients living in Turkey: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research* 2010;33:275-278.
- Guttmann, L, The Place of our Spinal Paraplegic Fellowman in Society. *Rehabilitation* 1959:15-27.
- Hansen CH, Mahmud I, Bhuiyan AJ. Vocational reintegration of people with spinal cord lesion in Bangladesh – An observational study based on a vocational training project at CRP. *Asia Pacific Disability Rehabilitation Journal* 2007; 18: 63-75.
- Hastings J, Robins H, Griffiths Y, Hamilton C. The differences in self-esteem, function, and participation between adults with low cervical motor tetraplegia who use power or manual wheelchairs. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2011;92:1785-1788.

- Hedrick B, Pape TL, Heinemann AW, Ruddell JL, Reis J. Employment issues and assistive technology use for persons with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development* 2006;43:185-198.
- Hess DW, Ripley DL, McKinley WO, Tewksbury M. Predictors for return to work after spinal cord injury: a 3-year multicenter analysis. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2000;81:359-363.
- Hirsh AT, Molton IR, Johnson KL, et al. The relationship of chronological age, age at injury, and duration of injury to employment status in individuals with spinal cord injury. *Psychology Injury Law* 2009;2:263-275.
- Inge KJ, Wehman P, Strobel W, Powell D, Todd J. Supported employment and assistive technology for persons with spinal cord injury: Three illustrations of successful work supports. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation* 1998;10:141-152.
- Jang Y, Wang YH, Wang JD. Return to work after spinal cord injury in Taiwan: the contribution of functional independence. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2005;86:681-686.
- Jellinek HM, Harvey RF. Vocational/educational services in a medical rehabilitation facility: outcomes in spinal cord and brain injured patients. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1982;63:87-88.
- Jongbloed L, Backman C, Forwell SJ, Carpenter C. Employment after spinal cord injury: the impact of government policies in Canada. *Work* 2007;29:145-154.
- King T, Emery R, Warren S, Landis T. A collaborative approach to returning clients to work during the first year after spinal cord injury. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation* 2004;9(4):p33.
- Kolakowsky-Hayner S, Wright J, Shem K, et al. An effective community-based mentoring program for return to work and school after brain and spinal cord injury. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2012;31:63-73.
- Krause JS. Employment after spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1992;73:163-169.
- Krause JS. Years to employment after spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2003;84:1282-1289.
- Krause JS. Is ability to ambulate associated with better employment outcomes in participants with traumatic spinal cord injury. *Rehabilitation Counselling Bulletin* 2010c;53:117-119.
- Krause JS, Anson CA. Self-perceived reasons for unemployment cited by persons with spinal cord injury: Relationship to gender, race, age and level of injury. *Rehabilitation Counselling Bulletin* 1996;39:217-227.
- Krause JS, Kewman D, DeVivo MJ, Maynard F, Coker J, Roach MJ, et al. Employment after spinal cord injury: an analysis of cases from the Model Spinal Cord Injury Systems. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1999;80:1492-1500.
- Krause JS, Reed KS. Obtaining employment after spinal cord injury: Relationship with pre- and postinjury education. *Rehabilitation Counselling Bulletin* 2009; 53:27-33.
- Krause JS, Reed KS. Barriers and facilitators to employment after spinal cord injury: underlying dimensions and their relationship to labor force participation. *Spinal Cord* 2011;49:285-291.
- Krause JS, Saunders L, Staten D. Race-ethnicity, education, and employment after spinal cord injury. *Rehabilitation Counselling Bulletin* 2010d;53:78-86.
- Krause JS, Sternberg M, Maides J, Lottes S. Employment after spinal cord injury: differences related to geographic region, gender, and race. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1998;79:615-624.
- Krause JS, Terza JV. Injury and demographic factors predictive of disparities in earnings after spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2006;87:1318-1326.
- Krause JS, Terza JV, Dismuke CE. Factors associated with labor force participation after spinal cord injury. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation* 2010; 33: 89-99.
- Krause JS, Terza JV, Erten M. Prediction of postinjury employment and percentage of time worked after spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2012; 93: 373-375.
- Krause JS, Terza JV, Saunders LL, Dismuke CE. Delayed entry into employment after spinal cord injury: factors related to first time job. *Spinal Cord* 2010b; 48:487-491.

- Kruse D, Krueger A, Drastal S. Computer use, computer training, and employment. Outcomes among people with spinal cord injuries. *Spine* 1996;21:891-896.
- Kurtaran A, Akbal A, Ersoz M, et al. Occupation in spinal cord injury patients in Turkey. *Spinal Cord* 2009; 47: 709-712.
- Lidal IB, Hjeltnes N, Roislien J, et al. Employment of persons with spinal cord injury lesions injured more than 20 years ago. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2009; 31: 2174-2184.
- Lidal IB, Huynh TK, Biering-Sorensen F. Return to work following spinal cord injury: a review. *Disability & Rehabilitation* 2007;29:1341-1375.
- Lin MR, Hwang HF, Yu WU, et al. A prospective study of factors influencing return to work after traumatic spinal cord injury in Taiwan. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2009;90: 1716-1722.
- Mann R, Schaefer C, Sadosky A, Bergstrom F, Baik R, Parsons B, Nalamachu S, Stacey BR, Tuchman M, Anshel A, Nieshoff EC. Burden of spinal cord injury-related neuropathic pain in the United States: retrospective chart review and cross-sectional survey. *Spinal Cord*. 2013;51:564-70.
- Marini I, Lee GK, Chan F, Chapin MH, Romero MG. Vocational rehabilitation service patterns related to successful competitive employments outcomes of persons with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation* 2008; 28:1-13.
- Marti A, Reinhardt JD, Graf S, et al. To work or not to work: labor market participation of people with spinal cord injury living in Switzerland. *Spinal Cord* 2012; 50: 521-526.
- Mathew A, Samuelkamaleshkumar S, Radhika S, Elango A. Engagement in occupational activities and pressure ulcer development in rehabilitated South Indian persons with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*. 2013;51:150-5.
- McFarlin DB, Song J, Sonntag M. Integrating the disabled into the work force: A survey of fortune 500 company attitudes and practices. *Employee Responsibility and Rights Journal* 1991; 4: 107-123.
- McNeal DR, Somerville NJ, Wilson DJ. Work problems and accommodations reported by persons who are postpolio or have a spinal cord injury. *Assistive Technology*1999;11:137-157.
- Meade MA, Forcheimer MB, Krause JS, et al. The influence of secondary conditions on job acquisition and retention in adults with spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2011; 92: 425-432.
- Meade MA, Lewis A, Jackson MN, Hess DW. Race, employment, and spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2004;85:1782-1792.
- Murphy G, Brown D, Athanasou J, Foreman P, Young A. Labour force participation and employment among a sample of Australian patients with a spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 1997;35:238-244.
- Murphy G, Middleton J, Quirk R, et al. Prediction of employment status one year post-discharge from rehabilitation following traumatic spinal cord injury: an exploratory analysis of participation and environmental variables. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2009; 41: 1074-1079.
- Murphy G, Middleton J, Quirk R, et al. Predicting employment status at 2 years' postdischarge from spinal cord injury rehabilitation. *Rehabilitation Psychology* 2011; 56: 251-256.
- Murphy GC, Young AE, Brown DJ, King NJ. Explaining labor force status following spinal cord injury: the contribution of psychological variables. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2003; 35: 276-283.
- Norweg A, Jette AM, Houlihan B, et al. Patterns, predictors, and associated benefits of driving a modified vehicle after spinal cord injury: Findings from the national spinal cord injury model systems. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2011; 92: 477-483.
- Ottomanelli L, Barnett SD, Goetz LL. A prospective examination of the impact of a supported employment program and employment on health-related quality of life, handicap, and disability among Veterans with SCI. *Qual Life Res*. 2013;22:2133-41.
- Ottomanelli L, Goetz LL, Suris A, McGeough C, Sinnott PL, Toscano R, et al. Effectiveness of supported employment for veterans with spinal cord injury: results from a randomized multisite study. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2012; 93: 740-747.
- Ottomanelli L, Sippel JL, Cipher DJ, et al. Factors associated with employment among veterans with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation* 2011; 34: 141-150.

- Ottomanelli L, Lind L. Review of critical factors related to employment after spinal cord injury: implications for research and vocational services. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine* 2009; 32: 503-531.
- Paul C, Derrett S, McAllister S, Herbison P, Beaver C, Sullivan M. Socioeconomic outcomes following spinal cord injury and the role of no-fault compensation: longitudinal study. *Spinal Cord*. 2013 Dec;51:919-25.
- Pell SD, Gillies RM, Carss M. Relationship between use of technology and employment rates for people with physical disabilities in Australia: implications for education and training programmes. *Disability & Rehabilitation* 1997;19:332-338.
- Pflaum C, McCollister G, Strauss DJ, Shavelle RM, DeVivo MJ. Worklife after traumatic spinal cord injury. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine* 2006;29:377-386.
- Phillips VL, Hunsaker AE, Florence CS. Return to work and productive activities following a spinal cord injury: the role of income and insurance. *Spinal Cord* 2012. 50: 623-626.
- Ramakrishnan K, Chung TY, Hasnan N, et al. Return to work after spinal cord injury in Malaysia. *Spinal Cord* 2011; 49: 812-816.
- Ramakrishnan K, Mazlan M, Julia PW, et al. Return to work after spinal cord injury: factors related to time to first job. *Spinal Cord* 2011b; 49: 924-927.
- Ravaud JF, Madiot B, Ville I. Discrimination towards disabled people seeking employment. *Social Science & Medicine* 1992;35:951-958.
- Rimmer J. Use of the ICF in identifying factors that impact participation in physical activity/rehabilitation among people with disabilities. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2006; 28:1087-1095.
- Rowell D, Connelly L. Labor market outcomes for people with a spinal cord injury. *Economics and Human Biology* 2010; 8:223-232.
- Schonherr MC, Groothoff JW, Mulder GA, Schoppen T, Eisma WH. Vocational reintegration following spinal cord injury: expectations, participation and interventions. *Spinal Cord* 2004;42:177-184.
- Shem K, Medel R, Wright J, Kolakowsky-Hayner SA, Duong T. Return to work and school: a model mentoring program for youth and young adults with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2011; 49: 544-548.
- Social Development Canada. Canadian attitudes towards disability issues. Ottawa: Government of Canada; 2004.
- Tomassen PC, Post MW, van Asbeck FW. Return to work after spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2000;38:51-55.
- Tsai IH, Graves DE, Lai CH. The association of assistive mobility devices and social participation in people with spinal cord injuries. *Spinal Cord*. 2014;52:209-15.
- United States Department of Labor. Unemployment rate: labor force statistics from the current population survey. [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://data.bls.gov/timeseries/LNS14000000>.
- van Velzen JM, de Groot S, Post MW, Slotman JH, van Bennekom CA, van der Woude LH. Return to work after spinal cord injury: is it related to wheelchair capacity at discharge from clinical rehabilitation? *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2009;88:47-56.
- Ville I, Ravaud JF. Work values: a comparison of non-disabled persons with persons with paraplegia. *Disability & Rehabilitation* 1998;20:127-137.
- Vogel LC, Klaas SJ, Lubicky JP, Anderson CJ. Long-term outcomes and life satisfaction of adults who had paediatric spinal cord injuries. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 1998, 79:1496-1503.
- Wang RY, Yang YR, Yen LL, Lieu FK. Functional ability, perceived exertion and employment of the individuals with spinal cord lesion in Taiwan. *Spinal Cord* 2002;40:69-76.
- Wehman P, Wilson K, Parent W, Sherron-Targett P, McKinley W. Employment satisfaction of individuals with spinal cord injury. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2000;79:161-169.
- World Health Organization. International classification of functioning, disability and health: ICF. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2001.

Young AE, Strasser R, Murphy GC. Agricultural workers' return to work following spinal cord injury: a comparison with other industry workers. *Disability & Rehabilitation* 2004;26:1013-1022.