# 6-Minute Push Test (6-MPT)

#### **Assessment Overview**

#### Assessment Area

#### **ICF Domain:**

**Body Function** 

#### **Subcategory:**

Functions & Structures of the Cardiovascular, Haematological, Immunological and Respiratory Systems

#### You Will Need

# Length:

6 minutes

# **Equipment:**

- Stopwatch
- Marking tape for set a fixed distance (30 m is recommended)

#### Instructions:

The 6-MPT is a self-paced test where participants may slow down and take breaks to rest as needed and resume propelling for whatever time remains.

#### Scoring:

The assessor will count the number of laps completed to calculate the total distance covered in 6 minutes. Clinicians should record heart rate before and after the  $VO_{2max}$ 

#### Summary

The 6-Minute Push Test (6-MPT) assesses cardiovascular fitness in people who are not ambulatory as a clinic-friendly approach. The 6-MPT is a field-based assessment of  $VO_2$  functional change, derived from the 6-MWT (Cowan et al. 2012).

Following the American Thoracic Society's 6-MWT guidelines and instructions (Cowan et al. 2012), it involves the individual pushing a manual wheelchair, over a flat surface, as far and hard as they can in 6 min (van der Westhuizen et al. 2017).

### **Availability**

Worksheet: Can be found <u>here</u>.

# **Assessment Interpretability**

# Minimal Clinically Important Difference

Not established in SCI

#### Statistical Error

#### **Standard Error of Measurement:**

Heart rate = 8.5 bpm Total distance = 21.9 m 6 min of work = 1.41 kg/km

# **Minimal Detectable Change:**

Heart rate = 23.5 bpm Total distance = 60.7 m 6 min of work = 7.45 kg/km

(Damen et al. 2020; n=53 youth with spina bifida; 32 males, 11 females; mean age 13.7 years; injury level: 11 thoracic, 4 lumbar, 1

# **Typical Values**

#### Mean Distance (meters):

Tetraplegia: 380.86 Paraplegia: 692.92

(Van der Westhuizen, 2017; N = 60; mean age = 38.4 years; 81.66% of sample classified as ASIA A [complete injury])

# **Measurement Properties**

Validity – High

High correlation with VO<sub>2peak</sub>:

r = 0.58

High correlation with respiratory exchange ratio:

r = 0.70

High correlation with peak exercise time:

r = 0.70

High correlation with peak workload:

r = 0.66

(Baattaiah et al. 2017; n=15, mean age 34.5 years; 12 paraplegia, 3 tetraplegia; ASIA A-D)

**High correlation with RNLI:** 

r = 0.637

(van der Westhuizen et al. 2017; n=60; 50 males, 10 females; mean age 38.4 years, ASIA A-D; 39 paraplegia, 21 tetraplegia)

High correlation with heart rate recovery:

r = 0.87

(Solanki et al. 2016; n=47; 38 males, 9 females; mean age 38.4 years; 35 paraplegia, 12 tetraplegia)

Number of studies reporting validity data: 3

Reliability - High

**High Test-retest Reliability:** 

Peak Heart Rate: ICC = 0.81

Total distance: ICC = 0.95

6 minutes of work: ICC = 0.97

(Damen et al. 2020; n=53 youth with spina bifida; 32 males, 11 females; mean

age 13.7 years; injury level: 11 thoracic, 4 lumbar, 1 sacral)

**High Reliability:** 

Whole sample: ICC = 0.97 (95% CI, 0.94–0.98)

Participants with tetraplegia: ICC = 0.93 (95% CI, 0.80–

0.98

Participants with paraplegia: ICC = 0.97 (95% CI, 0.93–

0.99).

(Cowan et al. 2012; n=40; mean age 34 years; 63% paraplegia, 37%

tetraplegia)

Number of studies reporting reliability data: 2

Responsiveness

Floor/Ceiling Effect: Eff

**Effect Size:** 

Number of studies reporting responsiveness data: 1

Not established in SCI

Not established in SCI