

Research Summary – Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury (PARA-SCI) – Community Reintegration

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Lyons and Ginis. 2024 Secondary analysis of baseline data from the study of Martin Ginis et al. 2008 to test internal- consistency reliability and dimensionality of the PARA-SCI measure of leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) Canada	Adults with an SCI (n = 703)	Together, the following data demonstrate the multidimensionality of LTPA and the PARA-SCI is not unidimensional. Internal consistency should not be a criterion for evaluating LTPA questionnaires for use in studies of people with SCI. - Principal components analysis showed two components/dime nsions ('Moderate and Heavy Intensity LTPA' and 'Mild Intensity LTPA') explained 73% of the variance.	Internal consistency: Cronbach's α was 0.227.	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		- Binary logic crosstabulation produced no discernible patterns of behavioural interrelatedness across LTPA intensities.		
Zbogar et al. 2016 Observational study Two inpatient spinal cord injury rehabilitation centers in Canada	N=106 Non-ambulatory patients: (n=70) 49M, 21F Mean age (SD): 48.9 (18.3) 67% Traumatic, 33% Non-traumatic 49% Paraplegic, 51% Tetraplegic AIS: 33%A, 14%B, 21%C, 29%D Ambulatory patients: (n=36) 26M, 9F	Convergent Validity: Spearman correlations for PARA-SCI with: (Spearman correlation (95%CI)) Wrist accelerometry = -0.04 (-0.27-0.20) SCIM III mobility score = -0.14 (-0.37-0.11) Step counts = 0.35 (0.01-0.61)	Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest: $p \leq 0.01$ Non-ambulatory participants: Spearman's rho (95%CI) = 0.68 (0.53-0.79) Ambulatory participants: Spearman's rho (95%CI) = 0.53 (0.24-0.73)	Interpretability: MDC = 179.4 min

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Mean age (SD): 51.8 (15.4) 69% Traumatic, 31% Non-traumatic 63% Paraplegic, 37% Tetraplegic AIS: 3%A, 6%B, 0%C, 91%D			
Martin-Ginis et al. 2012 Survey with a 1- week follow-up General community	Validity Study: N=103 (75% male, 25% female) Mean age: 48.10±12.70y Mean years postinjury: 17.9±11.9y 54% tetraplegic 46% paraplegic 40% complete 60% incomplete Test-Retest Reliability Study: N=35 (77% male, 23% female)	With the exception of mild intensity activity, there were moderate to strong correlations between Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal Cord Injury (LTPAQ- SCI) & PARA-SCI measures of Leisure Time Physical Activity (LTPA). All correlations between the PARA- SCI and LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA were positive and		Interpretability: Mean (SD) PARA-SCI leisure time physical activity (LTPA) subscore (min/day): mild: 6.58 (14.59) moderate: 12.69 (27.30) heavy: 5.37 (15.21) total: 24.64 (37.43)

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	<p>Mean age: 48.51±13.24y Mean years postinjury: 14.20±12.42y 60% tetraplegic 40% paraplegic</p> <p>25% complete 75% incomplete</p> <p>Patients with SCI who used a wheelchair as the primary mode of mobility</p>	<p>statistically significant ($P<.01$).</p> <p>The strongest correlation was between the measures of heavy LTPA ($\rho=.54$), followed by the measures of total ($\rho=.46$) and moderate LTPA ($\rho=.43$).</p> <p>The weakest correlation was between the measures of mild intensity LTPA ($\rho=.27$).</p>		
<p>Latimer et al. 2006</p> <p>Construct and convergent validity test</p> <p>Not specified</p>	<p>Convergent validity study: 73 participants; 52M, 21F, avg. age =39 37 tetraplegic, 36 paraplegic</p> <p>Construct validity study: 158 participants; 110M, 48F, avg. age= 38.5</p>	<p>Correlations with muscle strength:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biceps muscle strength assessed by maximal load that could be lifted in one repetition (1RM) in unilateral bicep curl correlated positively with total, moderate and heavy intensity 		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	81 tetraplegic, 77 paraplegic.	<p>PARA-SCI leisure time physical activity (LTPA) subscore ($r \geq 0.21$, $P < .05$).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bicep strength also correlated with heavy intensity lifestyle and cumulative activity ($r \geq 0.23$, $P < .05$). • Left Pectoral strength assessed by maximal load in one repetition (1RM) in unilateral chest press correlated only with moderately intensity LTPA subscore ($r = 0.23$, $P = .03$). <p>Correlations with aerobic fitness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oxygen consumption (VO_2) correlated with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Heavy intensity Leisure Time Physical Activity 		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>(LTPA) ($r=0.35$ $P<.01$)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Moderate intensity cumulative activity ($r=0.26$ $P<.05$) ○ Heavy intensity cumulative activity ($r=0.33$ $P<.01$) ● Workload sig. correlated with moderate, heavy and total LTPA subscore as well as heavy intensity cumulative activity ($r\geq 0.28$, $P<.02$). <p>Extreme Groups analysis Leisure time physical activity ANOVA Total leisure time physical activity (LTPA) subscore</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>indicated main effects for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • age ($F(1,108)=11.18$, $P=.001$, $d=0.64$) • gender ($F(1,1456)=4.51$, $P=.04$, $d=0.36$) <p>Men and younger participants reported more total LTPA compared with women and older participants.</p> <p>MANOVA Effects on leisure time physical activity (LTPA) subscore for mild, moderate and heavy intensity were significant by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age ($F(3,106)=3.94$, Pillai's trace=0.10, $P=.01$); younger respondents engaged in more moderate intensity 		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>LTPA than older participants.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Having a gym/sports team membership ($F(3,129)=7.01$, Pillai's trace=0.14, $P<.001$); those who did belong to a gym or sports team reported more moderate and heavy intensity LTPA. • Participation frequency (low vs. high) ($F(3,80)=3.65$, Pillai's trace=, $P<.001$); those with high participation frequency reported more mild, moderate, and heavy intensity LTPA. <p>Lifestyle activity Lifestyle scores were significant for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work status 		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>(F(3,151)=3.21, Pillai's trace=0.12, P=.02)</p> <p>Cumulative activity Cumulative scores were significant for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gym or sports team membership <p>(F(3,129)=3.14, Pillai's trace=0.07, P=.03)</p>		
<p>Martin Ginis et al. 2005</p> <p>Development and preliminary assessment of test-retest reliability and criterion validity</p> <p>Community dwelling - Telephone interviews</p>	<p>Reliability Study: 102 SCI patients Validity Study: 14 patients Age range: 27-53, 72% men</p> <p>Inclusion criteria: Neurological impairments secondary to SCI, wheelchair use and no cognitive deficit</p> <p>Reliability study participants:</p>	<p>Pearson correlations for indirect calorimetry measurement and levels of cumulative activity (using subset of validity sample; N = 9):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mild: (r=0.27, n.s.) Moderate: (r=0.63, P<.05) Heavy: (r=0.88, P<.01) Total: (r=0.79, P<.01) 	<p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: All 3 PARA-SCI measures of total physical activity had an ICC >0.70 (there were no significant differences between any pairs of PARA-SCI scores from T1 to T2, meaning scores were stable across the test-retest period)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cumulative activity 	<p>Floor/ceiling effect: Minimum between-subject variability may have caused floor effects in heavy intensity lifestyle activity for reliability scores.</p> <p>Interpretability: Chronic SCI: (n = 102, combination of: paraplegia and tetraplegia, complete and incomplete SCI) See table 1.</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability														
	<p>50 paraplegic Mean (SD) age = 41.1 (12.2) years Mean (SD) time since injury = 12.5 (11.2) years 50% incomplete, 50% complete 64 % male</p> <p>52 tetraplegic Mean (SD) age = 36.9 (10.2) years Mean (SD) time since injury = 11.2 (8.5) years 49% incomplete, 51% complete 79 % male</p>		<p>ICC =0.79 (0.7-0.85)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Leisure time physical activity ICC =0.72 (0.6-0.8)Lifestyle Activity ICC =0.78 (0.68-0.84) <table><tr><th>PARA-SCI measure and Intensity Level</th><th>ICC</th></tr><tr><td>Cumulative - Total</td><td>0.79</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative - Mild</td><td>0.65</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative - Moderate</td><td>0.75</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative - Heavy</td><td>0.8 0</td></tr><tr><td>Leisure Time Activity - Total</td><td>0.72</td></tr><tr><td>Leisure Time Activity -</td><td>0.63</td></tr></table>	PARA-SCI measure and Intensity Level	ICC	Cumulative - Total	0.79	Cumulative - Mild	0.65	Cumulative - Moderate	0.75	Cumulative - Heavy	0.8 0	Leisure Time Activity - Total	0.72	Leisure Time Activity -	0.63	<p>SEM and MDC (calculated by the SCIRE team from data in Martin-Ginis et al. 2005): See table 2.</p>
PARA-SCI measure and Intensity Level	ICC																	
Cumulative - Total	0.79																	
Cumulative - Mild	0.65																	
Cumulative - Moderate	0.75																	
Cumulative - Heavy	0.8 0																	
Leisure Time Activity - Total	0.72																	
Leisure Time Activity -	0.63																	

Author Year Research Design Setting Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability		Responsiveness Interpretability
			Mild		
			Leisure Time Activity - Moderate	0.45	
			Leisure Time Activity - Heavy	0.91	
			Lifestyle Activity - Total	0.78	
			Lifestyle Activity - Mild	0.66	
			Lifestyle Activity - Moderate	0.8 0	
			Lifestyle Activity - Heavy	0.56	
	Table 1.				
	PARA-SCI measure and Intensity Level	Mean (SD) in minutes of PARA-SCI results at Time 1	Mean (SD) in minutes of PARA-SCI results at Time 2 (one week after Time 1)		
	Cumulative - Total	184.1 (141.2)	189.3 (138.3)		
	Cumulative - Mild	113.3 (107.4)	103.3 (95.2)		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Cumulative - Moderate	66.1 (72.6)	63.9 (61.4)	
	Cumulative - Heavy	19 (30.5)	21.5 (36.4)	
	Leisure Time Activity - Total	45.3 (59.9)	51.2 (68.6)	
	Leisure Time Activity - Mild	13.5 (25.1)	16.2 (37.0)	
	Leisure Time Activity - Moderate	20.2 (33.4)	20.2 (30.6)	
	Leisure Time Activity - Heavy	11.7 (28.3)	14.8 (34.4)	
	Lifestyle Activity - Total	138.8 (138.5)	138.1 (127.4)	
	Lifestyle Activity - Mild	85.6 (93.2)	87.6 (89.5)	
	Lifestyle Activity - Moderate	45.9 (65.9)	43.8 (58.1)	
	Lifestyle Activity - Heavy	7.3 (14.8)	6.7 (16.0)	
Table 2.				
PARA-SCI measure and Intensity Level	SEM (min of activity/day)	MDC (min of activity/day)		
Cumulative - Total	64.7	179.4		
Cumulative - Mild	63.5	176.1		
Cumulative - Moderate	36.3	100.6		
Cumulative - Heavy	13.6	37.8		
Leisure Time Activity - Total	31.7	87.9		
Leisure Time Activity - Mild	15.3	42.3		
Leisure Time Activity - Moderate	24.8	68.7		
Leisure Time Activity - Heavy	8.5	23.5		
Lifestyle Activity - Total	65.0	180.1		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Lifestyle Activity - Mild	54.3	150.6	
	Lifestyle Activity - Moderate	29.5	81.7	
	Lifestyle Activity - Heavy	9.8	27.2	

Research Summary – Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury (PARA-SCI) – Community Reintegration - Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Eitivipart et al. 2022</p> <p>Psychometric study to translate and culturally adapt the PARA-SCI Thai-version and to assess its inter- and intra-rater reliability.</p> <p>University of Sydney, and in a community of convenience in Bangkok, Thailand.</p>	<p>Participants with SCI (n = 38) Mean (\pm SD) age 37.4 \pm 10.4 years Sex: 27M, 11W Tetraplegia (n = 13) and paraplegia (n =25) Mean (\pm SD) time since injury 12.7 \pm 9.3 years</p>		<p>An excellent degree of inter-rater reliability (assessed by comparing the assessment by 2 researchers 1 hour apart on the same day) was found in all types of physical activity intensity. The average ICC for inter-rater reliability for all types of activity and intensities was 0.99 (95% CI range from 0.95 to 0.99, F (1,37) = 0.07–1.06, p>0.05).</p> <p>Intra-rater reliability (assessed by comparing the results of the interviews one week apart) was poor (ICC score r<0.5) for</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
			moderate intensity ADL, LTPA and cumulative physical activity as well as heavy intensity of LTPA and cumulative physical activity; and moderate reliability (ICC score between $r=0.5-0.75$) for mild intensity ADL, LTPA, and cumulative physical activity as well as the heavy intensity ADL.	