Last updated: December 31, 2024

Research Summary – Adapted Manual Wheelchair Circuit (AMWC/AMWC+3) – Wheeled Mobility

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Ribeiro Neto et al. 2020 Cross-sectional study to determine the inclusion of three additional items to the AMWC (AMWC+3) Setting: NR	N=126 participants with SCI Median age 28.9 years Injury level: TI-L3 (high paraplegia [HP], medium paraplegia [MP], and low paraplegia [LP]) AIS: A or B Mean time since injury 35.3 months	 AMWC was not able to discriminate scores by people in each subgroup: high paraplegia (HP, TI-T4; n=39), medium paraplegia (MP, T5-TI1; n=49), and low paraplegia (LP, T12-L3; n=38) (Wilks' lambda=0.93, p=0.07). AMWC+3 was able to discriminate all paraplegia subgroups (Wilks' lambda=0.86, p<0.05). 		Sensitivity:AMWC+3 ability scorepresented a bettersensitivity comparedto AMWC ability score(lower success rate forall subgroups, 38.5%vs. 82.1% for HP; 49.0%vs. 75.5% for MP; 78.9%vs. 94.7% for LP).The LP grouppresented asignificantly higherAMWC+3 ability scorecompared to MP andHP (17.0 vs. 16.5 and16.5, respectively, $p \leq 0.05$).AMWC+3 total timewas significantlylower in LP comparedto MP and HP (139.85 svs. 242.52 s and 326.21s, respectively, $p \leq 0.05$).

Reviewer ID: William Miller, Carlos L. Cano Herrera, Matthew Querée

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Cowan et al. 2011 Two clinical research and three rehabilitation centers	N=50 participants with chronic SCI 42M, 8F Mean (SD) age 41.9 (13.4) years Mean (SD) time since injury 11.8 (11.4) years Classification: paraplegia/tetraplegia AIS: A-D	 Criterion validity: Discriminate between paraplegia and tetraplegia subgroups: Sum ability was higher for paraplegia than tetraplegia (paraplegia, 12.9 ± 1.2; tetraplegia, 9.8 ± 2.8; p<0.00). Sum performance times were lower for paraplegia than tetraplegia (paraplegia, 20.0 ± 4s; tetraplegia, 32.0 ± 1.97s; p<0.00). 	Test-retest Reliability: Sum ability score and sum performance time were reliable: ICCs > 0.90 for the whole sample and both subtests (paraplegia, tetraplegia)	

Last updated: December 31, 2024

Research Summary – Adapted Manual Wheelchair Circuit (AMWC/AMWC+3) – Wheeled Mobility - Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Ribeiro Neto et al. 2019Cross-sectional study to translate, culturally adapt and validate the AMWC into Brazilian- Portuguese versionNetwork Rehabilitation Hospitals	N=66 participants with traumatic SCI Median age 30.6 years Injury level: C5-L3 (tetraplegia [C5-C8], n=22; paraplegia [TI- L3], n=44) Median time since injury 30.8 months	 Construct validity (Table 1): The sum performance score and the AMWC- Brazil total item time presented a negative and significant correlation with the SCIM-III scale and subscales (p<0.01). Also, the sum ability score and 3- Minute of Wheeling had a positive and significant correlation with the SCIM-III scale and subscales (p<0.01). When this analysis was performed considering the 		Floor/Ceiling effects: A floor effect was not observed. However, there was a ceiling effect for the paraplegia group, in which 36 of the 44 participants (81.8%) completed all tasks. For the tetraplegia group, 5 of the 22 participants (15.2%) attained the maximum score.

Reviewer ID: William Miller, Carlos L. Cano Herrera, Matthew Querée

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics a Injury Characteristics Sample		Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability	
		the outo pres mod high corr SCII sub TP o para pres mod sign corr bety III m tota the outo	ry level groups, AMWC-Brazil's comes sented derate to very n significant relation to the M- III scale and scales for the group. The aplegia group sented weak to derate nificant relations, ween the SCIM- nobility and al score with all AMWC comes.			
	Table 1. Correlations between AMWC scores and Demographic Variables					
		Ability Score	Performance Scor	e Total item Score	3-Minute Wheeling	
	Total					
	Age	-0.18	0.31*	0.21	-0.21	
	TSI	0.12	-0.01	-0.03	0.01	
	BMI	0.09	0.04	0.00	-0.01	

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample		Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	SCIM-III Self-Care	0.67*	-0.67*	-0.74*	0.72*
	SCIM-III Respiration & SM	0.57*	-0.51*	-0.49*	0.58*
	SCIM-III Mobility	0.77*	-0.68*	-0.79*	0.73*
	SCIM-III Total score	0.74*	-0.72*	-0.75*	0.78*
	Tetraplegia				
	Age	-0.15	0.35*	0.15	-0.19
	TSI	0.05	-0.23	-0.30*	0.23
	BMI	0.01	0.21	0.10	-0.17
	SCIM-III Self-Care	0.89*	-0.90*	-0.92*	0.89*
	SCIM-III Respiration & SM	0.66*	-0.68*	-0.72*	0.63*
	SCIM-III Mobility	0.93*	-0.92*	-0.92*	0.88*
	SCIM-III Total score	0.90*	-0.90*	-0.92*	0.88*
	Paraplegia				
	Age	-0.17	0.05	0.17	-0.12
	TSI	0.33	-0.43*	-0.37	0.47*
	ВМІ	-0.14	0.05	0.13	-0.06
	SCIM-III Self-Care	0.21	-0.34†	-0.55*	0.47*
	SCIM-III Respiration & SM	0.13	-0.08	-0.05	0.24
	SCIM-III Mobility	0.40*	-0.33†	-0.56*	0.44*

Reviewer ID: William Miller, Carlos L. Cano Herrera, Matthew Querée

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample		Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability	
	SCIM-III Total score	0.36†	-0.41*	-0.50*	0.54*	
	*Significant correlation	: P < 0.01; † S	ignificant correlation: P < 0.05	5 SM: Sphincter Management;		'