

Table 7. Combined Psychotherapy and Pharmacotherapy for Treatment of Depression in SCI

Author Year Country Research Design PEDro Score Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
Perry et al. (2010) Australia PCT N=36	<p>Population: Mean age=43.8yr; M/F=28/8; Level of injury: tetraplegia=13, paraplegia=20, Severity of injury: complete=13, incomplete=23; Duration of pain=60.5 mo; Type of pain=mixed.</p> <p>Intervention: Individuals with SCI and chronic pain were placed in either the multidisciplinary cognitive behavioural pain management program (PMPs) group which involved a pharmacological treatment plan and individual and group-based CBT for pain; or the usual care group.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).</p>	<p>1. A trend towards improvement on the HADS depression score was seen in the PMP group at 1 mo post treatment; however, the HADS depression scores returned to pre-treatment levels at 9 mo follow-up.</p>

<p>Kahan et al. (2006) USA PCT N=7 6</p>	<p>Population: Treatment group: SCI=28, Other conditions=26; Mean age=51.4 yr Gender: males=52.7%, females=46.3%; Time since injury=26.2 yr; Quasi control group: SCI=13, Other=9; Mean age=44.2 yr; Gender: males=45.5%, females=54.4%; Time since injury=18.8 yr. Depression status=major depression evaluated using Older Adult Health and Mood Questionnaire (OAHMQ).</p> <p>Intervention: Treatment group received a mixture of outpatient cognitive behavioral psychotherapy and antidepressant medication (individualized), for 30 wk.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: OAHMQ-depression; Life Satisfaction Scale (LSS), The Community Activities Checklist - community activity involvement. Treatment group: @ baseline (T1), 10 weeks (T2) & 30 weeks (T3). Control group: @ 2 points (routine medical visits) spanning 2 yr.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Depression Outcomes: The depression rate of the treatment group was improved between all-time points (p≤0.001). 3. At baseline, OAHMQ scores in 53/54 treatment subjects classified as “experiencing major depression” and 1/54 had “significant depression symptoms”. By T3, 41 subjects’ classification had improved and 13 remained the same with an improved OAHMQ score (p≤0.001). Overall, 71% of SCI subjects’ depression improved following treatment. 4. At baseline, treatment and control groups’ depression scores were similar, but were significantly different after treatment (p≤0.001). Mean depression scores reduced by 50% & 12% in treatment & control groups, respectively.
<p>Kemp et al. (2004) USA PCT</p>	<p>Population: SCI: Age=20-74 yr; Gender: males 32; females=11; Time since injury=5-37 yr; 28 treated for depression, 15 acted as quasi-controls.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Depression Outcomes: A decrease was observed in depression scores from 0-24wk in the treatment group (p<0.001).

<p>NInitial=43 NFinal=28</p>	<p>Depression status=major depression using Older Adult Health and Mood Questionnaire (OAHMQ).</p> <p>Intervention: 6 mo of individual outpatient treatment. Two components: psychotherapy and medication were offered to all. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) began once a week for the first 2 mo then was reduced to twice a mo. All were prescribed an antidepressant based upon their needs and physician's decision. The average number of therapy sessions completed was 14/17 (range 6-17).</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Older Adult Health and Mood Questionnaire (OAHMQ) Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), Community activities checklist, Life Satisfaction Scale (LSS).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Paired t-tests indicated a 24% decline in depression scores from 0-8wk (time 1=15.7, time 2=11.9, p<0.001) and from 8-24 wk (6.7) (p<0.001). 3. 8 subjects continued to score in the range for major depression. If cases with variable treatment adherence were eliminated 100% of participants treated no longer had scores in the range of major depression. 4. A further increase was noted between 8 and 24 wk (time 2=15.5, time 3=22.3, p<0.001). The correlation between the change in number of depressive symptoms and the change in the # of community activities was high (- 0.81, p<0.001). 5. Non-treatment group: Scores on the depression measure did not change significantly over time.
<p>Judd et al. (1989) USA Pre Post N=14</p>	<p>Population: Mean age=31.6 yr; Gender: males=9, females=5; Level of injury: paraplegia=7; tetraplegia=7; Depression status=clinically depressed evaluated using Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-III.</p> <p>Intervention: Individuals received supportive psychotherapy and were prescribed tetracyclic and tricyclic antidepressants during rehabilitation period.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Beck</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 13 of the 14 individuals had improvement in BDI score at discharge (average BDI at discharge=8).

	Depression Inventory (BDI).	
<p>Judd et al. (1986) USA Pre-Post N =9</p>	<p>Population: Mean age=45.6 yr; Gender: males=8, females=1; Level of injury: tetraplegia=5; paraplegia=4. Depression status=clinically depressed evaluated using Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders -III.</p> <p>Intervention: Individuals were assessed within 2 wk of admission and prescribed either mianserin or nomifensine along with supportive psychotherapy.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS).</p>	<p>1. All individuals showed improvement in depressive and anxiety symptoms.</p>

