Author Year Country Research Design PEDro Score Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
Akkurt et al (2017) Turkey RCT PEDro= 5 N=33	 Population: Mean age: Not reported; Median age: Intervention group=33 yr, Control group=37 yr; Gender: males=29, females=4; Time since injury=>1 mo, not specified further; Level of injury: C=1, T=22, L=10; Severity of injury: AIS A=19, B=1, C=10, D=3. Intervention: Participants were enrolled in a 12-wk program comparing arm ergometer exercises and general exercises to those that receive only general exercises. Outcome Measures: Psychological status (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale and Hospital 	 No intergroup differences were seen in HADS. No statistically significant differences over the assessment period between the intervention and control groups in disability levels, QOL, or metabolic syndrome parameters (p=>0.05 for all).
<u>Curtis et al.</u> , (2017) Canada RCT Crossover PEDro=6	Population: Yoga group (n=10): Mean age=47.9±19.5 yr; Gender: Not reported; Level of injury: paraplegia=6, tetraplegia=0, ambulatory/unspecified=4; Severity	 Yoga group had significantly lower scores for the HADS (p<0.05) and significantly higher scores for the SCS (p<0.05) at

Table 21. Physical Activity for Anxiety following SCI

N=22	of injury: complete=2.		post-intervention than at
	incomplete/disease-		baseline.
	related=8.	2.	Fixed-factor models
	Control group (n=12): Mean age=54.8±10.1 yr; Gender: Not reported; Level of injury: paraplegia=4, tetraplegia=4, ambulatory/unspecified=4; Severity of injury: complete=5, incomplete/disease- related=7.	3.	showed significantly lower HADS scores postintervention compared to preintervention (p<0.05) with time being the main predictor of HADS scores (p<0.05). There was a trend noticed for EEMO scores from
Intervention: Participants were randomized to a 6 wk, twice wkly lyengar yoga group or a 6 wk wait- listed control group, then after the first yoga group completed their sessions, the wait-list control group engaged in the yoga protocol.	4.	preintervention to postintervention for total scores (p=0.09) and observing scores (p=0.06). Postintervention scores for the SCS and FFMQ were both significantly higher than at preintervention (p>0.05).	
	Outcome Measures: Pain (brief pain inventory (BPI), pain catastrophizing scale (PCS)), psychological (acceptance and action questionnaire (AAQ), hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS), general self-efficacy scale (GSES), posttraumatic growth inventory (PTGI-SF), Connor- Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC), self- compassionate scale (SCS) and mindfulness (five-facet mindfulness questionnaire (FFMQ) measures taken 1-2 wk before and after the program.		

	Population: Intervention	1.	Moderate to large effect
<u>Mehta et al. (2021)</u>	<i>group</i> (n=4): Mean		sizes were seen on
Canada	Age=56.4yr; females=9;		measures of depression
Dro-	Mean time post		(d = 0.67), anxiety
Pie-	injury=20.25yr; Level of		(d=2.39), and satisfaction
Post	injury: cervical=1, thoracic=3;		with social roles and
Level	Severity of injury:		activities (d=0.43) from
	incomplete=3, complete=1;		baseline to post-
4 N=4	Anxiety status=symptoms.		intervention.
	Intervention: Online group-	2.	The improvements
	based physical activity (PA)		were maintained just
	program,		for anxiety

	consisting physical exercises and peer social interaction, 60min/session, 2x/wk for 6wk. The Physical Activity Group Environment Questionnaire and Participant Satisfaction Survey (PSS) were assessed post-intervention. The Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders short-form (NeuroQoL- SF) was assessed at baseline, post- intervention, and at 3mo follow-up. Outcome Measures: Participant Satisfaction Survey (PSS), participant recruitment, engagement, and retention, Physical Activity Group Environment Questionnaire, Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders short-form	3. 4.	(d=2.02) and satisfaction with social roles and activities scores (d=0.52) at 3mo follow-up. Participants were highly satisfied with the program in general, the instructions, the instructor's knowledge, effectiveness, and content of the program. Participants were moderately- highly satisfied with the accessibility of the program. Participants were mixed satisfied with the technology of the program.
	Population: Gender: males=30, females=5; Age: 18-61 yr, Level of injury: paraplegia=20, tetraplegia=15.	1.	HADS scores demonstrated significant (p<0.01) improvement in anxiety levels over the duration of the course.
<u>Kennedy et al.,</u> (2006) United Kingdom Pre- Post N=35	Intervention: Back-Up: 1 wk single or multi-activity course in an integrated, residential environment. Activities include skiing, horseback riding, waterskiing, canoeing, rappelling, and gliding. Questionnaires were completed at baseline and end of 1 wk activity courses		
	Outcome Measures: Life Satisfaction Questionnaire (LSQ), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)		