

**Research Summary – Quebec User Evaluation with Assistive Technology – Version 2.0 (QUEST 2.0) – Assistive Technology**

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																														
<p><a href="#">Bergstrom &amp; Samuelsson</a> 2006</p> <p>Questionnaire (cross-sectional)</p> <p>2 SCI units at university medical centers in central Sweden</p>	<p>N=124 (89 male, 35 female) Mean age: 49.7±15.6</p> <p>Individuals with spinal cord injury using manual wheelchairs</p> <p>Community living, mixed injury types.</p>			<p><b>Interpretability:</b> User satisfaction with the device: See table 1.</p> <p>User satisfaction with the manufacturer: See table 2.</p>																														
	<p>Table 1.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 1029 1751 1390"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 1029 730 1170">Question</th> <th data-bbox="730 1029 984 1170">% responding “somewhat satisfied” or less</th> <th data-bbox="984 1029 1239 1170">% responding “quite satisfied” or “very satisfied”</th> <th data-bbox="1239 1029 1493 1170">n</th> <th data-bbox="1493 1029 1751 1170">Mean ± SD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1170 730 1214">Dimensions</td> <td data-bbox="730 1170 984 1214">22</td> <td data-bbox="984 1170 1239 1214">78</td> <td data-bbox="1239 1170 1493 1214">119</td> <td data-bbox="1493 1170 1751 1214">4.27±1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1214 730 1258">Weight</td> <td data-bbox="730 1214 984 1258">20</td> <td data-bbox="984 1214 1239 1258">80</td> <td data-bbox="1239 1214 1493 1258">119</td> <td data-bbox="1493 1214 1751 1258">4.15±1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1258 730 1302">Adjustments</td> <td data-bbox="730 1258 984 1302">31</td> <td data-bbox="984 1258 1239 1302">69</td> <td data-bbox="1239 1258 1493 1302">117</td> <td data-bbox="1493 1258 1751 1302">3.80±1.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1302 730 1346">Safety</td> <td data-bbox="730 1302 984 1346">17</td> <td data-bbox="984 1302 1239 1346">83</td> <td data-bbox="1239 1302 1493 1346">118</td> <td data-bbox="1493 1302 1751 1346">4.21±0.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1346 730 1390">Durability</td> <td data-bbox="730 1346 984 1390">20</td> <td data-bbox="984 1346 1239 1390">80</td> <td data-bbox="1239 1346 1493 1390">119</td> <td data-bbox="1493 1346 1751 1390">4.08±1.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Question	% responding “somewhat satisfied” or less	% responding “quite satisfied” or “very satisfied”	n	Mean ± SD	Dimensions	22	78	119	4.27±1.0	Weight	20	80	119	4.15±1.0	Adjustments	31	69	117	3.80±1.1	Safety	17	83	118	4.21±0.8	Durability	20	80	119	4.08±1.0
Question	% responding “somewhat satisfied” or less	% responding “quite satisfied” or “very satisfied”	n	Mean ± SD																														
Dimensions	22	78	119	4.27±1.0																														
Weight	20	80	119	4.15±1.0																														
Adjustments	31	69	117	3.80±1.1																														
Safety	17	83	118	4.21±0.8																														
Durability	20	80	119	4.08±1.0																														

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity		Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Ease in use	11	89	122	4.42±0.7
	Comfort	32	68	119	3.77±1.0
	Effective	18	82	122	4.16±0.8
	Table 2.				
	<b>Question</b>	<b>% responding "somewhat satisfied" or less</b>	<b>% responding "quite satisfied" or "very satisfied"</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean ± SD</b>
	Service delivery	38	62	120	3.74±1.2
Repair service	28	72	118	3.97±1.2	
Professional service	26	74	117	3.90±1.1	
Follow-up	45	55	113	3.43±1.2	

**Research Summary – Quebec User Evaluation with Assistive Technology – Version 2.0 (QUEST 2.0) – Assistive Technology - Cross-cultural Validation Studies**

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p><a href="#">Koumpouros et al.</a> 2016</p> <p>Questionnaire, cross-cultural adaptation <b>Greek Version (GR-QUEST)</b></p> <p>Greek private rehabilitation center</p>	<p>N=115, 5 Quadriplegic (diagnosis include: stroke, hip fracture, femoral fracture, traumatic brain injury, MS etc.)</p> <p>Mean age: 62.45 ± 19.29 years 51 Male</p>	<p>Item construct validity Pearson's <i>r</i> Subscale Safe Use: <i>r</i>=0.691-0.794 Subscale Fit to Use: <i>r</i>=0.615-0.829 Subscale Endurance: <i>r</i>=0.635-0.909</p>	<p><b>Internal Consistency:</b> Cronbach's alpha: Overall: <math>\alpha = 0.754</math></p> <p><b>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater:</b> Test-retest reliability at initial assessment ICC=0.949</p> <p>Test-retest reliability at reassessment</p> <p>p-value=0.162</p>	
<p><a href="#">Chan &amp; Chan</a> 2007</p> <p>Retrospective cohort <b>Chinese version</b></p>	<p>N=31 (25 male, 6 female) Mean Age: 41.68±11.17 Mean (SD) time since injury = 3.79 (3.72) years</p>	<p>For the overall sample, no significant correlations were found among the C-QUEST scores and the 'Participation Restriction' and</p>	<p><b>Internal Consistency:</b> Device: <math>\alpha = 0.84</math> Services: <math>\alpha = 0.85</math> Total Scores: <math>\alpha = 0.82</math></p>	<p><b>Interpretability:</b> Mean (SD) C-QUEST scores Device domain: 27.69 (4.57) – indicating the level of satisfaction with wheelchairs and</p>

<b>Author Year Country Research Design Setting</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
<p>Hong Kong</p>	<p>9 high tetraplegia (C1-C4) 8 low tetraplegia (C5-C8) 8 high paraplegia (T1-T9) 6 low paraplegia (T10-S)</p> <p>23 use manual wheelchair (N=32) 9 use powered wheelchair (N=32)</p>	<p>'Environmental Factors' ICF Checklist scores (5-point scale).</p>		<p>seating systems fell between 'more or less satisfactory' and 'quite satisfactory'.</p> <p>Services domain: 11.61 (3.29) – indicating the satisfaction with services fell between 'not very satisfactory' and 'more or less satisfactory'</p>
<p><a href="#">Chan &amp; Chan</a> 2006</p> <p>Cross-sectional Chinese version</p> <p>Hong Kong</p>	<p>N=31 (25 male, 6 female) Mean Age: 41.68±11.17</p> <p>9 high tetraplegia (C1-C4) 8 low tetraplegia (C5-C8) 8 high paraplegia (T1-T9) 6 low paraplegia (T10-S)</p>	<p>Six occupational therapists were invited to be the review panel members to evaluate the content of the measure and check the translation. They completed a set of questionnaires designed to evaluate the relevance and clarity of the 12 items. As a result, the</p>		<p><b>Interpretability:</b> C-QUEST scores: See table 1.</p>

<b>Author Year Country Research Design Setting</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
	23 use manual wheelchair (N=32) 9 use powered wheelchair (N=32)	wordings of various items were adjusted in the revised version.  Item-to-total correlations ranged from 0.40 (weight) to 0.79 (follow-up service).  <b>QUEST 2.0 Chinese ver. (C-QUEST) &amp; World Health Organization Quality of Life-Bref Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF (HK))</b> <u>Device</u> General: $r=0.412$ , $P<.05$ Physical: $r=0.508$ , $P<.05$ Psychological: $r=0.344$ , $P=.056$ Social Relationship: $r=0.460$ , $P<.05$		

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																								
		Environment: $r=0.567$ , $P<.05$ <u>Services</u> General: $r=0.120$ Physical: $r=0.307$ Psychological: $r=0.023$ Social Relationship: $r=0.242$ Environment: $r=0.333$ * all not significant																										
Table 1. <table border="1" data-bbox="474 862 1108 1425"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 862 793 938">Instrument item:</th> <th data-bbox="793 862 1108 938">Mean (SD) C-QUEST score:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 938 793 984"><i>Device domain</i></td> <td data-bbox="793 938 1108 984"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 984 793 1029">Dimensions</td> <td data-bbox="793 984 1108 1029">3.40 (0.77)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1029 793 1075">Weight</td> <td data-bbox="793 1029 1108 1075">3.00 (1.00)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1075 793 1120">Adjustments</td> <td data-bbox="793 1075 1108 1120">3.23 (0.80)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1120 793 1166">Safety</td> <td data-bbox="793 1120 1108 1166">3.81 (0.60)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1166 793 1211">Durability</td> <td data-bbox="793 1166 1108 1211">3.58 (0.76)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1211 793 1256">Simplicity to use</td> <td data-bbox="793 1211 1108 1256">3.81 (0.83)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1256 793 1302">Comfort</td> <td data-bbox="793 1256 1108 1302">3.39 (0.80)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1302 793 1347">Effectiveness</td> <td data-bbox="793 1302 1108 1347">3.58 (0.89)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1347 793 1393">Device total:</td> <td data-bbox="793 1347 1108 1393">3.46 (0.56)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1393 793 1425"><i>Services domain</i></td> <td data-bbox="793 1393 1108 1425"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Instrument item:	Mean (SD) C-QUEST score:	<i>Device domain</i>		Dimensions	3.40 (0.77)	Weight	3.00 (1.00)	Adjustments	3.23 (0.80)	Safety	3.81 (0.60)	Durability	3.58 (0.76)	Simplicity to use	3.81 (0.83)	Comfort	3.39 (0.80)	Effectiveness	3.58 (0.89)	Device total:	3.46 (0.56)	<i>Services domain</i>	
Instrument item:	Mean (SD) C-QUEST score:																											
<i>Device domain</i>																												
Dimensions	3.40 (0.77)																											
Weight	3.00 (1.00)																											
Adjustments	3.23 (0.80)																											
Safety	3.81 (0.60)																											
Durability	3.58 (0.76)																											
Simplicity to use	3.81 (0.83)																											
Comfort	3.39 (0.80)																											
Effectiveness	3.58 (0.89)																											
Device total:	3.46 (0.56)																											
<i>Services domain</i>																												

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability												
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Service delivery</td> <td>2.94 (0.85)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Repair service</td> <td>2.83 (0.91)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Professional service</td> <td>3.00 (1.11)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Follow-up</td> <td>2.65 (1.02)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Services total:</td> <td>2.85 (0.85)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>QUEST total:</td> <td>3.24 (0.54)</td> </tr> </table>	Service delivery	2.94 (0.85)	Repair service	2.83 (0.91)	Professional service	3.00 (1.11)	Follow-up	2.65 (1.02)	Services total:	2.85 (0.85)	QUEST total:	3.24 (0.54)			
Service delivery	2.94 (0.85)															
Repair service	2.83 (0.91)															
Professional service	3.00 (1.11)															
Follow-up	2.65 (1.02)															
Services total:	2.85 (0.85)															
QUEST total:	3.24 (0.54)															
<p><a href="#">Hwang et al.</a> 2015</p> <p>Cross-sectional validation of the QUEST 2.0 <b>Korean Version (K-QUEST)</b></p> <p>Korea</p>	<p>N=70 (study also says forty?), 55 male Mean age 40.9±11.2 Mean post-SCI duration: 31.1±58.6 years AIS-A/B/C/D/E: 29/9/9/15/8 Complete/Incomplete: 29/41 Assistive devices per person: 1.3±0.6 63 used manual wheelchair 2 used electrical wheelchair 3 used crutches</p>	<p>Pearson's correlation of QUEST Korean ver. (QUEST-K) total with: SCIM-III: -0.075 (p&gt;0.01) Modified BI: -0.138 (p&gt;0.01)</p>	<p><b>Internal Consistency:</b> Cronbach's alpha: Overall: 0.855 Devices subscale: 0.837 Services subscale: 0.847</p> <p><b>Test-retest, Inter- rater, Intra-rater:</b> 3 day interval ICC intra-rater: Overall: 0.855 Devices subscale: 0.837</p>													

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	5 used canes Individuals from the Korea Spinal Cord Injury Association		Services subscale: 0.847 p-value=0.162	
<a href="#">Mao et al.</a> 2010  Cross-sectional, cross-cultural adaptation & validation of <b>Taiwanese QUEST 2.0 (T- QUEST)</b>	Participants (18+, device use 3mth+, cognitively sound) from two disability organizations and two major assistive technology centres in Taipei.  Field test group: N=105, 79M 26F Mean age 38.9±14.3 73 SCI, 32 Other diagnoses Mean device use duration: 3.3±2.2 yrs Devices used: Manual wheelchair, Powered wheelchair, Aids for daily living, Seating system, Aids for communication and		<b>Internal Consistency:</b> Cronbach's alpha: Device domain: 0.87 Service domain: 0.84 Total: 0.90	



Reviewer ID: Elsa Sun, Carlos L. Cano Herrera

Last updated: April 22nd, 2024

<b>Author Year Country Research Design Setting</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
	information, Aids for transportation, Lifter, Prostheses, Aids for walking, Scooter, and others			