Table 3. Exercise-based Interventions

Author Year Country Research Design Score Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
Jorjafaki et al. 2022 Iran RCT PEDro=4 N=45	<ul> <li>Objective: To compare the effectiveness of virtual reality-based rehabilitation exercises and reflexology in reducing the fatigue rate of veterans with paraplegia.</li> <li>Population: 45 veterans with paraplegia, with a use of a wheelchair for daily work, with a history of exercise for at least the last 6 months, and with a fatigue level of 45.57</li> <li>Mean age 54.3 years Level of injury: T12-L4</li> <li>Treatment: Participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups: <ul> <li>Experimental condition I (n = 15): Upper limb VR games (e.g., Xbox/Kinect boxing). Sessions lasted 50 min, were performed 3 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> <li>Experimental condition II (n = 15): Reflexology massage therapy. Sessions lasted 30 min (10 min of relaxation techniques and 15 min of reflexology massage), were performed 3 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> <li>Control condition (n = 15): No details provided.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Decrease in fatigue severity in both VR and massage groups (p ≤ 0.001) comparing with control group.</li> <li>No difference in reducing fatigue between massage (28.9 ± 20.65) and VR (27.8 ± 93.97) (p = 0.99).</li> </ol>

	and after the program (6 weeks).	
Nightingale et al. 2018 UK RCT PEDro=5 N=21	<ul> <li><b>Objective:</b> To assess the influence of a home-based exercise intervention on indices of health-related quality of life in persons with SCI.</li> <li><b>Population:</b> 21 participants with SCI and non-physically active 15M, 6F</li> <li>Mean (SD) age 47 (8) years Injury level: Below T4 (paraplegia)</li> <li>Mean (SD) time since injury 16 (11) years</li> <li><b>Treatment:</b> Participants were randomly assigned to one of the following two groups:</li> <li>Experimental group (n = 13): Home-based exercise intervention with arm-crank (moderate intensity: 60%-65% VO<sub>2</sub> peak). Sessions lasted 45 min, were performed 4 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> <li>Control group (n = 8): Lifestyle maintenance</li> <li><b>Outcome Measures:</b> FSS and global fatigue (FSS visual analog fatigue scale) were measured at baseline and immediately after the program (6 weeks).</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>The change of the FSS was significantly different between groups (interaction effect; <i>P</i> = 0.036), with a significant reduction in the intervention group (<i>P</i>=0.027).</li> <li>Trend for an interaction effect (<i>P</i> = 0.084) in global fatigue.</li> <li>These measures of fatigue demonstrated large effect sizes in favor of intervention:         <ul> <li>a. FSS: Cohen <i>d</i> [90% CI] = -0.99 [-1.75, -0.22].</li> <li>b. Global fatigue: Cohen <i>d</i> [90% CI] = 0.92 [0.08, 1.76]</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
<u>Vestergaard et al.</u> 2022 Denmark Pre-post N=8	<b>Objective:</b> To assess safety and feasibility of hybrid high-intensity interval training (HIIT) using Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) leg cycling and arm ski ergometer in people with SCI.	<ol> <li>There was a decrease in fatigue ranging from:         <ul> <li>a. 15% (for general fatigue).</li> <li>b. 26% for physical fatigue.</li> <li>c. 42% for reduced activity.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

	Population: 8 participants with paraplegia 7M, 1F Mean (SD) age 42.8 (15.11) Etiology: Traumatic or non- traumatic Level of injury: T4 (n = 1), T5 (n = 1), T7 (n = 1), T8 (n = 2), T10 (n = 1), L1 (n = 1), and L2 (n = 1) Motor completeness of injury: Complete (n = 3), incomplete (n = 5) Mean time since injury 14.5 years <b>Treatment:</b> Hybrid HIIT protocol, in the form of FES leg cycling combined with arm ski ergometer. Dosage: 4 x 4 min intervals/session, 3 time per week, 8 weeks Intensity: 90% peak watts <b>Outcome Measure:</b> MFI-20 was measured at baseline and after the program (8 weeks).	<ul> <li>d. 33% for reduced motivation.</li> <li>e. 20% for mental fatigue.</li> </ul>
Shem et al. 2016 USA Pre-post N=26	<b>Objective:</b> To evaluate the feasibility, benefits, and long-term effects of a seated Tai Chi Chih program for people with spinal cord disorder who cannot safely participate in a standing Tai Chi exercise program. <b>Population:</b> 26 participants with spinal cord disorder and sufficient arm movement to be able to participate in the Tai Chi program 14M, 12F Mean (SD) age 49.8 (13.0) Etiology: Traumatic and non-traumatic Level of injury: C3 (n = 1), C4- C5 (n = 1), C5 (n = 2), C5-C6 (n = 3), C6 (n = 1), C6-C7 (n = 4), T8 (n = 1), T12-L1 (n = 1), L3-L4 (n = 1), N/A (n = 10) Tetraplegia (n = 16),	<ol> <li>There were no detectable changes in MFIS between the first and the last sessions (MFIS average change = 2.00, SD = 7.57).</li> <li>Only nine participants completed half of the sessions and the long-term surveys.</li> </ol>

	paraplegia (n = 6), unknown (n = 4) AIS: AIS A (n = 4), AIS B (n = 2), AIS C (n = 2), N/A (n = 18) Mean (SD) time since Injury 25.1 (18.9) years <b>Treatment:</b> Customized seated Tai Chi program (T'ai	
	Chi Chih). Sessions lasted 90 min, were performed once per week and for 12 weeks.	
	Outcome Measure: MFIS	
	was measured at baseline, after each session and after the program (12 weeks).	
Curtis et al. 2015 Canada Pre-post N=11	<b>Objective:</b> To evaluate a modified yoga program for people with SCI, in terms of both participant experiences and also with respect to program satisfaction. <b>Population:</b> 11 participants with SCI 1M, 10F Mean (SD) age 48.4 (15.0) years Etiology: Traumatic (n = 6), non-traumatic (n = 3), and not reported (n = 2) Level of injury: Tetraplegia (n = 2), paraplegia (n = 6), unknown (n = 1), not reported (n = 2) Motor completeness of injury: Complete (n = 3), incomplete (n = 6), unknown (n = 1), not reported (n = 1) Mean (SD) time since injury 157.4 (191.8) months. <b>Treatment:</b> Modified Yoga program. Session lasted 25- to 60-min, were performed once a week, and for 8 weeks. <b>Outcome Measure:</b> FSS was measured at baseline and after the program (8 weeks).	<ol> <li>There were not statistically significant differences between baseline and exit scores on fatigue (p &gt; 0.05).</li> </ol>