

Table 3. Exercise-Based Interventions

<b>Author Year</b> <b>Country</b> <b>Research Design</b> <b>Score</b> <b>Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
<p><a href="#">Jorjafaki et al.</a>                      2022                      Iran                      RCT                      Level 2                      PEDro=4                      N=45</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To compare the effectiveness of virtual reality-based (VR) rehabilitation exercises and reflexology in reducing the fatigue rate of veterans with paraplegia.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 45 veterans with paraplegia, with a use of a wheelchair for daily work, with a history of exercise for at least the last 6 months, and with a fatigue level of 45.57                      Mean age 54.3 years                      Level of injury: T12-L4</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Experimental condition I (n=15): Upper limb VR games (e.g., Xbox/Kinect boxing). Sessions lasted 50 min, were performed 3 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> <li>• Experimental condition II (n=15): Reflexology massage therapy. Sessions lasted 30 min (10 min of relaxation techniques and 15 min of reflexology massage), were performed 3 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> <li>• Control condition (n=15): No details provided.</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b>                      Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Decrease in fatigue severity in both VR and massage groups (<math>p \leq 0.001</math>) compared with control group.</li> <li>2. No difference in reducing fatigue between massage (<math>28.9 \pm 20.65</math>) and VR (<math>27.8 \pm 93.97</math>) (<math>p=0.99</math>).</li> </ol>

	was measured at baseline and after the program (6 weeks).	
<p><a href="#">Nightingale et al.</a> 2018 UK RCT Level 2 <a href="#">PEDro=5</a> N=21</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To assess the influence of a home-based exercise intervention on indices of health-related quality of life in persons with SCI.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 21 participants with SCI and non-physically active 15M, 6F Mean (SD) age 47 (8) years Injury level: Below T4 (paraplegia) Mean (SD) time since injury 16 (11) years</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Participants were randomly assigned to one of the following two groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Experimental group (n=13): Home-based exercise intervention with arm-crank (moderate intensity: 60%-65% VO<sub>2</sub> peak). Sessions lasted 45 min, were performed 4 times per week, for 6 weeks.</li> </ul> <p>Control group (n=8): Lifestyle maintenance</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> FSS and global fatigue (FSS visual analog fatigue scale) were measured at baseline and immediately after the program (6 weeks).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The change of the FSS was significantly different between groups (interaction effect; p=0.036), with a significant reduction in the intervention group (p=0.027).</li> <li>Trend for an interaction effect (p=0.084) in global fatigue.</li> <li>These measures of fatigue demonstrated large effect sizes in favor of intervention: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FSS: Cohen's <i>d</i> = -0.99 [90% CI = -1.75, -0.22].</li> <li>Global fatigue: Cohen's <i>d</i> = 0.92 [90% CI = 0.08, 1.76]</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
<p><a href="#">Vestergaard et al.</a> 2022 Denmark Pre-post Level 4</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To assess safety and feasibility of hybrid high-intensity interval training (HIIT) using Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) leg cycling</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There was a decrease in fatigue ranging from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15% (for general fatigue).</li> <li>26% for physical fatigue.</li> <li>42% for reduced activity.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

<p>N=8</p>	<p>and arm ski ergometer in people with SCI.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 8 participants with paraplegia 7M, 1F Mean (SD) age 42.8 (15.11) Etiology: Traumatic or non-traumatic Level of injury: T4 (n=1), T5 (n=1), T7 (n=1), T8 (n=2), T10 (n=1), L1 (n=1), and L2 (n=1) Motor completeness of injury: Complete (n=3), incomplete (n=5) Mean time since injury 14.5 years</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Hybrid HIIT protocol, in the form of FES leg cycling combined with arm ski ergometer. Dosage: 4 x 4 min intervals/session, 3 time per week, 8 weeks Intensity: 90% peak watts</p> <p><b>Outcome Measure:</b> MFI-20 was measured at baseline and after the program (8 weeks).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 33% for reduced motivation.</li> <li>• 20% for mental fatigue.</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">Shem et al.</a> 2016 USA Pre-post Level 4 N=26</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To evaluate the feasibility, benefits, and long-term effects of a seated Tai Chi Chih program for people with spinal cord disorder who cannot safely participate in a standing Tai Chi exercise program.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 26 participants with spinal cord disorder and sufficient arm movement to be able to participate in the Tai Chi program 14M, 12F Mean (SD) age 49.8 (13.0) Etiology: Traumatic and non-traumatic Level of injury: C3 (n=1), C4-</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There were no detectable changes in MFIS between the first and the last sessions (MFIS average change=2.00, SD=7.57).</li> <li>2. Only nine participants completed half of the sessions and the long-term surveys.</li> </ol>

	<p>C5 (n=1), C5 (n=2), C5-C6 (n=3), C6 (n=1), C6-C7 (n=4), T8 (n=1), T12-L1 (n=1), L3-L4 (n=1), N/A (n=10)  Tetraplegia (n=16), paraplegia (n=6), unknown (n=4)  AIS: AIS A (n=4), AIS B (n=2), AIS C (n=2), N/A (n=18)  Mean (SD) time since Injury 25.1 (18.9) years</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Customized seated Tai Chi program (T'ai Chi Chih). Sessions lasted 90 min, were performed once per week and for 12 weeks.</p> <p><b>Outcome Measure:</b> MFIS was measured at baseline, after each session and after the program (12 weeks).</p>	
<p><a href="#">Curtis et al. 2015</a>  Canada  Pre-post  Level 4  N=11</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To evaluate a modified yoga program for people with SCI, in terms of both participant experiences and also with respect to program satisfaction.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 11 participants with SCI  1M, 10F  Mean (SD) age 48.4 (15.0) years  Etiology: Traumatic (n=6), non-traumatic (n=3), and not reported (n=2)  Level of injury: Tetraplegia (n=2), paraplegia (n=6), unknown (n=1), not reported (n=2)  Motor completeness of injury: Complete (n=3), incomplete (n=6), unknown (n=1), not reported (n=1)  Mean (SD) time since injury 157.4 (191.8) months.</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Modified Yoga program. Session lasted 25- to 60-min, were performed</p>	<p>1. There were not statistically significant differences between baseline and exit scores on fatigue (<math>p &gt; 0.05</math>).</p>

	<p>once a week, and for 8 weeks.</p> <p><b>Outcome Measure:</b> FSS was measured at baseline and after the program (8 weeks).</p>	
<p><a href="#">Azurdia et al. 2022</a> USA Pre-post Level 4 N=11</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To investigate the effects of using VR during exercise on pain and fatigue in individuals with SCI.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 11 people with chronic SCI. 5M, 6F Mean age (43.29+/-17.5 years)</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Three sessions of VR arm ergometer exercise training, 6 minutes each. Exercise intensity was at 60-70% of age-predicted maximum heart rate, or a rating of 11-15 on the Borg's RPE scale.</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Heart rate, Blood Pressure, Borg's Rate of Perceived Exertion scale (RPE), Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ), Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS), and Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participants demonstrated significant decreases in all parameters: fatigue (F1, 10=10.487, p&lt;0.009), pain (F1, 10=9.494, p&lt;0.012), heart rate (F1, 10=9.264, p&lt;0.012), and RPE rating (F1, 10=9.046, p&lt;0.013) except for blood pressure (F1, 10=0.025, p&lt;0.878).</li> <li>2. VR sessions decreased pain by 56% and fatigue by 54%, respectively, as compared with the non-VR exercise sessions.</li> </ol>