

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
<p><a href="#">Valles et al. 2021</a> Spain RCT Level 1 (PEDro=) N=16</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To study the efficacy of external anal sphincter (EAS) infiltration with type-A botulinum toxin (BTX-A) in motor incomplete SCI patients with outlet constipation. <b>Population:</b> motor-incomplete SCI ASIA grade C or D; more than 1 year since injury; 4 female; mean age 49 (29-68) y. <b>Treatment:</b> EAS infiltration with BTX-A vs. placebo infiltration <b>Outcome Measures:</b> Rome III criteria evaluated constipation, Wexner score evaluated fecal incontinence, Obstructed Defecation Scoring System assessed outlet (obstructed) defecation, NBDS measured severity of NBD, CVE-20 questionnaire assessed QOL, numerical rating scale assessed level of satisfaction related to bowel function.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. People in the BTX-A group reported an improved level of satisfaction related to bowel function at 1-month post infiltration (p=0.02) and in the ODSS at 3-months post infiltration (p=0.03) compared to baseline levels.</li> <li>2. Constipation severity at 1 month (p=0.02) and 3 months (p=0.02) post infiltration was improved compared to baseline.</li> <li>3. NBS at 3-months post infiltration (p=0.02) significantly improved compared to baseline.</li> <li>4. Fecal incontinence, colonic transit time and QOL did not significantly change after BTX-A infiltration.</li> <li>5. EAS voluntary contraction pressure decreased 3-months post BTX-A infiltration compared to baseline (p=0.02) and in comparison to the placebo group (p=0.01).</li> </ol>
<p><a href="#">Tamburella et al. 2022</a> Italy RCT Level 1 (PEDro=) N=13</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To explore OMT effects on NBD in individuals with SCI compared with Manual Placebo Treatment (MPT). <b>Population:</b> OMT Group: mean age 37.5 (11,1) y; time since injury 8.16 months, 3 AIS A, 0 AIS B, 2 AIS C, 2 AIS D; 71% traumatic etiology; 14% female MPT Group: mean age 52.6 (22, 5) years, time since injury 7.66 months, 2 AIS A, 1 AIS B, 1 SIS C,</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There was a more positive trend in the OMT group for the NBDS general satisfaction question regarding the bowel management.</li> <li>2. KESS score improved more in the OMT group between E1 and E2 compared to the MPT group but was not significantly different.</li> <li>3. PAC-QOL worries score improved within the OMT group between E1 and E2 (p=0.0029), between E0 and E2 (p=0.031) and E0 and E3 (p=0.041).</li> <li>4. VAS daily score significantly improved after treatments in the</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
	<p>2 AIS D; 33% traumatic etiology; 17% female</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Osteopathic manipulative treatment once a week for four weeks. Included myofascial techniques, balanced ligamentous tension, visceral manipulations and osteopathy in the cranial field vs. Manual placebo treatment (MPT) once a week for four weeks. Included passive mobilizations of the pelvis, upper and lower limbs, cervical spine and light manual touch of the abdomen and thoracic region</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Neurogenic Bowel Dysfunction Scale (NBDS). Secondary outcome measures include Knowles Eccersley Scott Symptom Scale (KESS) and patient Assessment of Constipation Quality of Life (PAC-QOL) measuring constipation. Visual Analogue Scale was used. Evaluation at enrollment (E0), 30 days after enrollment (E1), at the end of 4 treatments (E2), and 30 days after last treatment (E3).</p>	<p>OMT group (sense of constipation; p=0.031, swelling; p=0.006; pain; not statistically significant) compared to E1, whereas there were no changes in the MPT group.</p> <p>5. Within the OMT group, NBDS score improved from E0 to E3 (p=0.0011) and from E1-E3 (p=0.040) whereas NBDS in the MPT group did not significantly improve.</p>
<p><a href="#">Albu et al. 2021</a> Spain RCT Level 1 (PEDro=) N=10</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> Investigate the safety and clinical recovery effects of intrathecal infusion of expanded Wharton jelly mesenchymal stromal cells (WJ-MSCs) in chronic complete SCI patients.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bowel constipation did not significantly change after MSC or placebo intervention (p&gt;0.05) as measured by Rome III criteria.</li> <li>2. Wexner score at baseline indicated low severity of fecal incontinence for all participants, which did not</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
	<p><b>Population:</b> 10 people with complete, thoracic SCI. 3 females, age range 25-47 years</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> WJ-MSJ intrathecal infusion compared with placebo infusion in crossover design</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> AIS motor and sensory score, lower limb spasticity, neuropathic pain and electrical perception and pain thresholds, SCIM III, WHOQOL-BREF were assessed at baseline, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months after each intervention. Anorectal manometry, urodynamic studies, Qualiveen questionnaire, Wexner score and Rome III diagnostic questionnaire were conducted at baseline and 6 months after each intervention</p>	<p>significantly change after MSC or placebo interventions (<math>p&gt;0.05</math>).</p> <p>3. Anorectal manometry parameters (anal resting pressure, voluntary anal contraction, change in rectal pressure during squeeze, rectoanal inhibitory reflex, excitatory reflex) did not significantly change after MSC or placebo intervention (<math>p&gt;0.05</math>).</p>
<p><a href="#">DiMarco et al.</a> 2021 USA Cohort Level 2 N=5</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To systematically determine whether use of the spinal cord stimulation (SCS) system to restore cough may improve bowel management (BM) in individuals with spinal cord injury (SCI).</p> <p><b>Population:</b> Age: Mean 37 years Level/Severity: 1 C4-C6, 1 C3-C6, 1 C6, 1 C3, 1 C7/T1; 5 AIS A, motor complete cervical SCI Female: 0% Etiology: 4 trauma, 1 abscess</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bowel management (BM) time fell by 84% at week 21.</li> <li>2. Largest changes in bowel management time occurred by week 4 (28.7% and 17.7% of control values by weeks 4 and 21 respectively).</li> <li>3. Gradual reduction in BM time was related to increase in maximum airway pressure generation with SCS over the reconditioning period.</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
	<p>Time of injury: All ranged between 2-4 years</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Implanted spinal cord stimulation (SCS) cough system was chronically applied at home 2-3 times a day when the bowel regimen was performed</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Airway pressure generation with SCS, weekly completion of Bowel Routine Log including B&lt; time, mechanical measures and medications employed</p>	
<p><a href="#">Darrow et al. 2019</a> Canada/USA Case series Level 4 N=2</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> Key questions include whether epidural spinal cord stimulation can meaningfully restore function to radiographically severe injuries, whether the intervention can improve function in people with long-term chronic SCI, how consistent and predictable recovery may be when comparing individuals, and whether epidural spinal cord stimulation can restore autonomic control of cardiovascular, bowel, bladder and sexual function.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 2 female participants with chronic thoracic AIS A SCI. Participant 1 was 52y and 10y from injury (T8). Participant 2 was 48 y and 5 y from injury (T4). Participant 1 had no type of rehabilitation 6 months prior to the study</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participant 1 had no change in bowel function after eSCS.</li> <li>Participant 2 had NBDS severity rating change from Moderate to Severe after eSCS, although reported bowel care regimen time decreased from 90 mins to less than 30 minutes.</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
	<p>whereas participant 2 had 60 h of outpatient rehabilitation in the 6 months prior.</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Epidural spinal cord stimulation (eSCS)</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Neurogenic Bowel Dysfunction Score (NBDS) for bowel function</p>	