

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
<p><a href="#">Korsten et al. 2015</a> USA RCT Level 1 (PEDro = 8) N=55</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To determine whether the addition of neostigmine to MoviPrep before elective colonoscopy produced a higher percentage of acceptable bowel preparations in patients with SCI.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> 27 people with SCI matched 28 people without SCI, all undergoing elective colonoscopy SCI mean (SD) age 61.9 (7.6) Mean (SD) time since SCI 24.3 (14.1) years Non-SCI mean (SD) age 58 (10) 9 paraplegia, 18 tetraplegia (11 tetraplegia in MoviPrep group) AIS-A to AIS-D</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> Bowel preparation using MoviPrep (N=14 SCI &amp; N=28 Non-SCI) or MoviPrep + neostigmine (NG) (N=13) prior to colonoscopy. MoviPrep administered in 2x 1L doses, on the day before and of colonoscopy. NG (20mg) administered with glycopyrrolate (0.4mg) 2-3h before second dose of MoviPrep</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Ottawa Score for Bowel Evacuation (OS), complications, adenoma detection rate</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Significantly lower percentage of individuals with acceptable OS (<math>\leq 3</math>) and mean<math>\pm</math>SD OS in SCI (7/14 and 3.4<math>\pm</math>1.6) vs. Non-SCI (25/28 and 1.8<math>\pm</math>1.9) in MoviPrep alone group</li> <li>2. Significantly higher percentage of individuals with acceptable OS in SCI MoviPrep + NG group (11/13) vs. SCI MoviPrep alone group (7/14)</li> <li>3. Significantly higher percentage reporting bloating/distension before evacuation in SCI MoviPrep + NG group) compared to other groups.</li> <li>4. All individuals receiving NG reported dry mouth or eye/mouth twitching for 1h after administration, but no serious adverse effects as a result of the study.</li> <li>5. No significant changes in renal function before or after treatment</li> </ol>
<p><a href="#">Ancha et al. 2009</a> USA RCT</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To assess the safety and efficacy of bowel cleansing regimens in people with SCI</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Majority of people had unacceptable bowel</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
Level 1 (PEDro=8) N=36	<p><b>Population</b> N=12 in the polyethylene glycol (PEG) group, N=11 in the oral sodium phosphosoda (OSPS) group, N=13 in the PEG+OSPS group. All participants were male. The mean time since injury was 20±15 years. N=21 had paraplegia (injury at or below thoracic level) and N=15 had tetraplegia (injury at cervical level)</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> PEG vs. OSPS vs. PEG+OSPS</p> <p><b>Outcome Measures:</b> Phlebotomy was performed to assess renal function, the quality of the bowel preparing during colonoscopy was determined using the Ottawa scale</p>	<p>preparations with Ottawa score &gt; 3. Ottawa score did not significantly differ among the bowel preparations PEG, OSPS, or PEG+OSPS (4.8±2.6 (1-9), 6.3±4.3 (0-13), 6.8±2.5 (3-10 respectively)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Between the three groups, the time to reach the caecum (if it could be intubated) (PEG 28 ± 19, OSPS 21 ± 7 and PEG+OSPS 27 ± 8 min) and the total time to complete the procedure were not significantly different.</li> <li>3. Number of polyps detected did not significantly differ between the groups</li> <li>4. Phlebotomy measures showed limited effects on renal function:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No difference between bowel preparation groups in mean glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) post-preparation (PEG 132 ± 9, OSPS 158 ±21, PEG+OSPS 170 ± 16 mL/min)</li> <li>- No differences in serum creatine concentration or serum sodium between groups</li> <li>- The OSPS group had significantly decreased serum potassium concentration (4.3± 0.17 vs. 3.8 ± 0.15 mEq/L, P &lt; 0.005), and serum calcium (9.2 ±0.13 vs 8.4 ± 0.13 mg/dL; p=0.001), and a significant increase in serum phosphate ((OSPS:</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
		<p>3.8 ±0.5 vs. 5.8 ± 0.4 mg/dL; p&lt;0.005)</p> <p>- The PEG+OSPS combination group had a significant decrease in serum potassium (4.3 ± 0.17 vs. 3.7 ± 0.16 mEq/L, P &lt; 0.0005) and serum calcium (PEG + OSPS : 8.9±0.15 vs 8.3 ±0.13 mg/dL; p&lt;0.005), and a significant increase in serum phosphate (PEG+OSPS: 3.2 ±0.3 vs 4.8 ±0.3 mg/dL; p&lt;0.0005).</p>
<p><a href="#">Lyons et al 2015</a> USA Prospective controlled trial Level 2 N=24</p>	<p><b>Objective:</b> To determine the most effective preparation for elective colonoscopy applying a novel and traditional approach to bowel cleansing.</p> <p><b>Population:</b> N=24 veterans with SCI undergoing elective colonoscopy Mean (SD) age 25 (14) Mean (SD) time since SCI 25.5 (14.0) years 13 paraplegia, 11 tetraplegia Cervical to lumbar SCI AIS-A to AIS-D</p> <p><b>Treatment:</b> N=12 Pulsed Irrigation Enhanced Evacuation (PIEE): standard split-dose magnesium citrate for 2 days prior to colonoscopy &amp; PIEE on the day of colonoscopy N=12 Polyethylene glycol-electrolyte lavage solution (PEG): split-dose over 2 days</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No difference between percentage of individuals with acceptable OS in either group.</li> <li>2. No serious adverse effects as a result of the study.</li> <li>3. No changes in renal function before or after treatment.</li> <li>4. Significant but not clinically relevant increase in serum magnesium in PIEE group</li> </ol>

<b>Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
	<b>Outcome Measures:</b> Ottawa Score for Bowel Evacuation (OS), complications, polyp detection rate	