

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
<p>Barak et al. 2019 Hungary RCT Level 1 (PEDro=6) N=19</p>	<p>Objective: to investigate the effect of the α-agonist oxymetazoline 1.0% on fecal incontinence in patients with spinal cord injury versus a placebo gel.</p> <p>Population: N=19 Female: 15.8% Age: 42.6 (\pm9) years (range 23.7–57.2 years) Level and Severity: 2 cervical 11 thoracic 6 lumbar 9 complete 8 incomplete 2 unknown</p> <p>Treatment: Group 1 received topical treatment with oxymetazoline for 4 weeks followed by 2 weeks of washout period and then 4 weeks of topical treatment with placebo gel. Group 2 received topical treatment with placebo for 4 weeks followed by 2 weeks of washout period and then 4 weeks of topical treatment with oxymetazoline gel.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: number of fecal incontinence episodes in the 8 and 12 hours after drug administration using Fecal Incontinence Severity Index (FISI). Throughout the 10 weeks of the study, patients filled out a daily diary in which they</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change in mean fecal incontinence episodes per month (12 hours post drug application) favoured oxymetazoline over placebo: 26.3 (SD \pm28.4) versus 36 (SD \pm39.8) ($p=0.021$). 2. When only non-gas episodes were included, the mean number of episodes decreased from 10.1 (\pm4.3) to 6.3 (\pm2.1) fecal incontinence episodes per month ($p=0.022$).

Author Year; Country Score Research Design Total Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
	recorded incontinence episodes and daily bowel practice.	
<p>Lucci et al. 2020 Canada Prospective controlled trial Level 2 N=13</p>	<p>Objective: The objective of this study was to assess whether lidocaine lubricant (Xylocaine 2%) ameliorates AD during at-home bowel care compared with standard lubricant (placebo).</p> <p>Population: N=13 Age: 44.0 ± 3.3 years Time since injury: 13.9 ± 2.4 years Level: C3-T4 Severity: AIS A-C</p> <p>Treatment: 2% lidocaine topical anesthetic lubricant</p> <p>Outcome Measures: Difference in systolic arterial pressure between. Placebo and lidocaine conditions</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participants displayed reduced autonomic function (LF SAP 3.02 ± 0.84 mmHg²), suggesting impaired autonomic control. 2. Bowel care duration was increased with lidocaine (79.1 ± 10.0 min) compared to placebo (57.7 ± 6.3 min; p=0.018). 3. All participants experienced AD on both days, but maximum SAP was higher with lidocaine (214.3 ± 10.5 mmHg) than placebo (196.7 ± 10.0 mmHg; p=0.046). 4. Overall, SAP was higher for longer with lidocaine (6.5 × 10⁵ ± 0.9 × 10⁵ mmHg · beat) than placebo (4.4 × 10⁵ ± 0.6 × 10⁵ mmHg · beat; p=0.018) indicating a higher burden of AD.