

Author Year Country Research Design Score Sample Size	Methods	Outcome
<p data-bbox="240 785 500 814">McBain et al. 2015</p> <p data-bbox="305 823 435 972">Australia Pre-post Level 4 N = 7</p>	<p data-bbox="565 394 995 617">Population: 7 patients with SCI (7M 0F) Mean (SEM) age: 56(4) Mean (SEM) DOI: 18(7.5) years All with motor impairments above C7</p> <p data-bbox="565 630 906 693">Treatment: Abdominal muscle ES.</p> <p data-bbox="565 705 1003 768">Outcome Measures: Pga and Pes, PEFR during cough.</p>	<ol data-bbox="1042 394 1419 1360" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Significant increase in mean Pga, Pes, PEF during cough and total expiratory volumes from near TLC and expiratory volume below FRC during stimulated cough. 2. Significantly greater increase in Pga, Pes, PEF during cough and total expiratory volumes from near TLC with increasing stimulus intensity. 3. Pga & Pes did not plateau except in one patient at intensity of 400mA. 4. PEF during cough plateaued in all patients at a mean(SD) intensity of 211(29)mA and expiratory volume of 4.0(0.4)L.
<p data-bbox="240 1514 500 1543">McBain et al. 2013</p> <p data-bbox="256 1556 483 1745">Australia RCT (crossover) PEDro = 5 Level 2 N = 15</p>	<p data-bbox="565 1386 1003 1486">Population: 15 males with SCI (C4-T5); mean (SD) age: 45(4); DOI: 11.9(4.3) yrs.</p> <p data-bbox="565 1499 1011 1808">Treatment: All participants trained for 6 weeks, 5 days per week (5 sets of 10 coughs per day). Participants coughed voluntarily at the same time as a train of ES was delivered over the abdominal muscles via posterolaterally positioned electrodes (50Hz, 3s).</p> <p data-bbox="565 1820 1019 1883">Outcome measures: Pes and Pga expiratory pressures, peak</p>	<ol data-bbox="1042 1386 1419 1883" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. During voluntary coughs, FES cough stimulation improved Pga, Pes, and PEFcough acutely, 20-fold, 4-fold, and 50%, respectively. 2. Six weeks of cough training caused further improvements. It significantly increased Pga (SD) from 37.1(2.0) to

	expiratory flow (PEF _{cough}) produced before, during, and after the training.	46.5(2.9)cmH ₂ O, Pes from 35.4(2.7) to 48.1(2.9)cmH ₂ O, and PEF _{cough} from 3.1(0.1) to 3.6(0.1) L/s. 3. Cough training also improved pressures and flow during voluntary unstimulated coughs.
McLachlan et al. 2013 UK Longitudinal study Level 4 N = 12	Population: 12 participants with tetraplegia (11M;1F); median age: 31 yrs (range: 18-73); 7 AIS A, 5 AIS C; median DOI: 5 months (range: 2-94). Treatment: 3 weeks of abdominal muscle conditioning using transcutaneous abdominal FES. Outcome measures: FVC, FEV ₁ , PEF _r , MEP.	1. Mean (SD) FVC increased by 0.36(0.23) L during training. 2. No significant changes were found in mean FEV ₁ and PEF. 3. No significant change was found in the outcome measures during a 1-week pre-training control phase and during a 3-week post-training phase.
Hascakova-Bartova et al. 2008 Belgium Prospective controlled trial Level 2 N = 10	Population: 10 participants with SCI, age range 23 – 71 years; 9M 1F, lesion level T10 – C5; 6 with AIS-A, 4 with AIS-B or C. Treatment: 4 participants were assigned to abdominal neuromuscular ES for 25 min daily for 8 weeks. 3 participants receive placebo, and 3 had placebo followed by ES. Outcome Measures: FVC	1. ES significantly worsened FVC when measured during non-stimulation in the ES group. 2. In the placebo-controlled group there were no differences in FVC. 3. In the placebo-followed by ES group, after ES all participants has worsened FVC.
Spivak et al. 2007 Israel Pre-post Level 4 N = 10	Population: 10 male patients aged 22-60 years with tetraplegia. AIS- A n=2; AIS B n=7; AIS C n=1 Treatment: Respiratory tests: 1) without assistance; 2) with manually assisted expiration;	1. With unassisted breathing, PEF, FVC, MVV were 60% lower than that expected in people without SCI. 2. Manual assistance significantly

	<p>3) FES-assisted expiration activated by a caregiver; 4) manually self-activated FES-assisted expiration; and 5) FES-assisted expiration activated by EMG signals elicited from the patient's own muscle.</p> <p>Outcome Measures: PEF, FVC, MVV.</p>	<p>improved the mean PEF by 36.7%, and FVC by 15.4%. MVV improved but was not significant.</p> <p>3. FES did not significantly change the measurements, however, EMG-activated FES significantly increased PEF and FVC by 15.8 and 18.9% respectively when compared to patient-activated FES.</p>
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------