## **Assessment Overview**

## Assessment Area

ICF Domain: Activity Subcategory: Mobility

## You Will Need

Length: Approximately 20 minutes Equipment:

- 2 standard chairs (1 with arms and 1 without)
- Stopwatch
- Step or stepstool
- Ruler

## Scoring:

Each of the 14 tasks is rated on a 5-point scale from 0 (cannot perform) to 4 (normal performance). Task scores are summed to yield a total score (0-56).

## Summary

The Berg Balance Scale (BBS) is a performance-based measure of balance with a number of clinical walking evaluations. Tasks progress in difficulty and include functional activities related to balance while reaching, bending, transferring, and standing.

The BBS has been found to be an appropriate assessment of standing balance as shown by its strong associations with various clinical walking evaluations. The tool is applicable to people with incomplete SCI.

## Availability

Worksheet: Can be found here.

Video: <u>SCIREproject.com – Berg Balance Scale – Youtube</u>

**Languages:** English, Italian, Turkish, Brazilian-Portuguese, German, Korean, Spanish, and Dutch.

## Assessment Interpretability

Minimal Clinically Important Difference	Statistical Error	Typical Values
Not established in SCI	Standard Error of Measurement: 0.66 (Srisim et al. 2015; n=83, mean age 44.21 years; ASIA C; mean time since injury of multiple and non-multiple fallers: 58.7 and 46.7 months, respectively) Minimal Detectable Change: %MDC = 17.2% MDC95 = 5.74 (Lemay & Nadeau 2010; N=32, 25 male, AIS D mixed injury types, mean time since injury (SD) = 77.2 (44.3) days)	Mean (SD) Admission-Discharge Scores: All individuals: 11(16)-17(20) AIS-A/B: 3(2)-4(2) AIS-C: 5(6)-13(15) AIS-D: 26(19)-36(20) (Harkema et al. 2016; n=152; 123 males, 29 females; level of injury: 110 cervical, 42 thoracic; ASIA A-D; median (range) time post- SCI: 0.9 (0.1-45.2) years; post locomotor training) <b>Threshold Values:</b> No effective threshold for distinguishing fallers from non- fallers



## **Measurement Properties**

## Validity – Low to High

**High correlation with Walking Index for SCI:** r = 0.89-0.92

# **High** correlation with Functional Independence Measure (FIM):

r = 0.72-0.77

### High correlation with FIM Locomotor Score:

r = 0.86-0.89 (Ditunno et al. 2007; n=146; 114 males; inpatient; incomplete SCI; within 1 year post-injury)

### High correlation with ASIA Motor Scale:

LEMS = 0.79

### ASIA Motor Score = 0.75

(Harkema et al. 2016; n=152; 123 males, 29 females; level of injury: 110 cervical, 42 thoracic; ASIA A-D; median (range) time post-SCI: 0.9 (0.1-45.2) years)

## High correlation with Mini-BESTest scale:

r = 0.90 (P<0.001)

# High correlation with Timed Up and Go (TUG) assessment:

r = -0.75 (P<0.001)

**High correlation with Spinal Cord Independence Measure version III (SCIM):** r = 0.88 (P<0.001)

High correlation with Walking Index for Spinal Cord Injury version II (WISCI):

r = 0.63 (P<0.001)

## Reliability – High

### **High Inter-rater Reliability:**

ICC = 0.998

(Srism et al. 2015; n=83, mean age 44.21 years; ASIA C; mean time since injury of multiple and non-multiple fallers: 58.7 and 46.7 months, respectively)

## High Intra-rater Reliability:

### ICC = 0.97

(Tamburella et al. 2014; n=23; 14 males, 9 females; mean age 48.3 years; AIS D; time Since Injury (SD): 16.43 (19.03) months)

## **High Internal Consistency:**

### α = 0.94

(Jørgensen et al. 2017; n=46; 32 males, 14 females; ASIA A-D (AIS D = 85%); duration of injury (range): 6.5 years (1-41))

### Number of studies reporting reliability data: 5

## High correlation with Fall Efficiency Scale – International (FES-I):

r = -0.68 (P<0.001)

## Low correlation with participants' fear of falling:

r = -0.32 (P=0.83)

# Low correlation with Quality of Life (QOL) questionnaire:

r = -0.75 (P=0.20) (Jørgensen et al. 2017; n=46; 32 males, 14 females; ASIA A-D (AIS D = 85%); duration of injury (range): 6.5 years (1-41))

## Number of studies reporting validity data: 9

## Responsiveness

## Floor/Ceiling Effect:

Substantial ceiling effect; 28.3%-

37.5% of subjects reached maximal

## score

(Lemay & Nadeau 2010; n=32; 25 males, 7 females; injury level: 15 paraplegia, 17 tetraplegia; AIS D; mean time since injury (SD): 77.2 (44.3) days)

(Jørgensen et al. 2017; n=46; 32 males, 14 females; ASIA A-D (AIS D = 85%); duration of injury (range): 6.5 years (1-41))

(Morooka et al. 2024; n=20; 14 males, 6 females; mean age: 64.3 years; ASIA A; mean time since injury: 19.6 days) Standardized Response Mean: All individuals: 0.59 AIS-A/B: 0.52 AIS-C: 0.65 AIS-D: 0.91 (Post locomotor training; Harkema et al. 2016;

**Effect Size:** 

n=152; 123 males, 29 females; level of injury: 110 cervical, 42 thoracic; ASIA A-D; median (range) time post-SCI: 0.9 (0.1-45.2) years) Number of studies reporting responsiveness data: 3