Last updated: February 22nd, 2024

Research Summary – Wheelchair Circuit (WC) – Wheeled Mobility

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample | Validity | Reliability | Responsiveness Interpretability |
|---|---|--|-------------|---|
| Kilkens et al. 2004 Longitudinal study, TI: start of rehab. T2: 3 months later T3: discharge (t=time) Eight rehabilitation centers in the Netherlands | N= 74 patients (51M, 23F) Avg. age: 40.5±14.5 years 53 subjects were paraplegic; 18 subjects with a motor incomplete lesion. 21 subjects with tetraplegia; including 9 with motor incomplete lesions. | TI: start of rehabilitation T2: 3 months later T3: discharge (t=time) Groups: Paraplegia groups scored significantly higher than tetraplegia group at TI (P<0.001) and T3 (P=0.004). Incomplete and complete groups no significant differences (ns). Age and Ability score: TI r=-0.216 (ns), T3 r=- 0.322 (P<0.0083) Age and Performance time score: TI r=0.397 | | Responsiveness: Standardized response mean (SRM) Ability score for TI and T3: SRM=0.6 (P<.001) Performance time score for TI and T3: SRM=0.9 (P<.001) Physical strain score for TI and T3: SRM=0.80 (P<.001) Interpretability: See table 1. |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample | Validity | Reliability | Responsiveness Interpretability |
|---|--|--|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | | (p<0.0083), T3 r=0.383 (P<0.0083) Age and Physical strain (%Heart Rate Reserve (%HRR)): T1 r=0.067 (ns), T3 r=0.365 (ns) | | |
| | | Functional Independence Measure (FIM) mobility score and Ability score: TI r=0.517 (P<0.0083), T3 r=0.519 (P<0.0083) FIM mobility score and Performance time score: TI r=-0.466 (P<0.0083), T3 r=-0.396 (P<0.0083) FIM mobility score and %HRR: TI r=-0.398 (ns), T3 r=-0.139 (ns) | | |
| | | Peak power output and Ability score: TI | | |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics a Injury Characteristics Sample | and s of | Validity Reliability | | Responsiveness Interpretability |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | r=0.824 r=0.762 Peak pc and Per score: T (P<0.003 (P<0.003 Peak pc and %H | (P<0.0083), T3 (P<0.0083) ower output formance time (r=0.628 83), T3 r=0.719 83) ower output RR: T1 r=0.678 | | |
| | | (P<0.008 (P<0.008 V02peak score: TT (P<0.008 (P<0.008 V02peak Perform | 83), 13 r=0.692 83) (and Ability (r=0.674 83), T3 r=0.572 83) (and (and (ance time | | |
| | | score: T (P<.008) (P<0.008) | r=0.425 3), T3 r=0.563 83) | | |
| | | V0₂peak r=0.560 r=-0.490 | (and %HRR: TI (P<0.0083), T3) (P<0.0083) | | |
| | Table 1. | | | | |
| | | | Ability score | Performance Time Score (s) | Physical strain score (%HRR) |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics a Injury Characteristics Sample | ond of | Va | lidity | Relia | bility | Responsiv Interpreta | veness ability |
|---|---|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | П | Total | group | 4.9 (2.4) | 30.6 | 5 (16.1) | 43.8 (17.8) | |
| | | Parap | olegia | 5.7 (1.8) | 28.7 | (15.4) | 41.3 (16.8) | |
| | | Tetra | plegia | 2.7 (2.4) | 40.0 |) (17.3) | 56.0 (11.4) | |
| | | Incor | nplete | 5.0 (2.2) | 34.1 | (20.5) | 44.2 (15.3) | |
| | | Com | olete | 4.8 (2.5) | 28.3 | (12.2) | 43.5 (20.0) | |
| | Т3 | Total | group | 6.2 (2.2) | 22.0 | (10.6) | 35.4 (17.5) | _ |
| | | Parap | olegia | 6.7 (2.0) | 19.4 | + (7.7) | 31.0 (14.4) | _ |
| | | Tetra | plegia | 5.1 (2.6) | 29.5 | (13.8) | 53.2 (18.6) | |
| | _ | Incor | nplete | 6.7 (1.6) | 23.2 | 2 (11.6) | 38.1 (18.1) | _ |
| | | Com | olete | 6.0 (2.5) | 21.3 | 5 (1.0) | 33.6 (17.3) | |
| | | | ſ | | ſ | | | |
| <u>Kilkens et al.</u> | N=27 patients | | | | Test-retes | st, Inter- | Interpretabi | lity: |
| 2002 | Age: 18-65 years. | | | | rater, Intra | a-rater: | See tables 1, 1 | 2, and 3. |
| | | | | | Intra-rater | • | | |
| Cross-sectional | All patients were i | n | | | Task feasik | oility for | | |
| study, with 3 | the final stage of t | heir | | | Sum of tas | sks: | | |
| test | clinical rehabilitati | ion | | | ICC=0.98 (| 95% CI | | |
| trials/subject | program. They use | ed a | | | 0.96-0.99) | | | |
| conducted by 2 | hand rim wheelch | nair. | | | Performar | nce time | | |
| raters. | | | | | ICCs: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Eight rehabilitation centers in the | | | | | Task Figure- of-8 | ICC 0.97 | | |
| ivetherlands | | | | | Shape | | | |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample | Validity | Reliability | Responsiveness Interpretability |
|---|--|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | Crossin 0.71 | |
| | | | doorste | |
| | | | p Maximi 0.70 | |
| | | | Mounti 0.78 | |
| | | | platfor | |
| | | | m | |
| | | | Sprint 0.99 Transfer 0.94 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Peak heart rate ICCs: | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Task ICC | |
| | | | of-8 | |
| | | | shape | |
| | | | Crossin 0.90 | |
| | | | doorste | |
| | | | р | |
| | | | Mounti 0.84 | |
| | | | platfor | |
| | | | m | |
| | | | Sprint 0.83 | |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics Injury Characteristics Sample | and s of Va | lidity | Relia | ıbility | Responsiv Interpreta | eness bility |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | Walkin | 0.96 | | |
| | | | | g 70/ | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | 3% | 0.69 | | |
| | | | | siope | 0.85 | | |
| | | | | slope | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | Wheelc | 0.84 | | |
| | | | | hair | | | |
| | | | | driving | | | |
| | | | | Transfe | 0.68 | | |
| | | | | r | | | |
| | | | | Inter-rate Task feasi Sum of tas ICC=0.97 (0.94-0.99) Individual 0.76-0.98 Record per rate durin ICC=0.0.82 | r bility for sks: 95% CI tasks: ICC eak heart g tasks: 2-0.99 | | |
| | Table 1. Performa | nce time of the d | ifferent tasks o | f the Whee | elchair Cir | cuit for the 3 test | trials: |
| | Task | Intra Trial 1 | rater Trial 2 | т. | Inter inter | rater Trial 7 | |
| | Figure-of-8 | 163 (86) | 156 (81) | 161 | (87) | 163 (96) | |
| | shape | 10.0 (0.0) | | | (0.7) | 10.0 (0.0) | |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample | d Va | lidity | Reliability | Responsiveness Interpretability | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| | Crossing doorstep | 4.7 (4.1) | 4.8 (3.6) | 5.2 (4.7) | 6.4 (7.5) | |
| | Mounting platform | 7.1 (4.8) | 6.6 (9.5) | 11.6 (12.4) | 12.3 (14.1) | |
| | Sprint | 11.7 (5.5) | 11.5 (6.0) | 11.6 (5.6) | 11.4 (6.0) | |
| | Transfer | 47.4 (49.8) | 42.2 (38.3) | 35.9 (34.7) | 42.4 (45.7) | ľ |
| | Table 2. Peak heart r | ates during th Intra | e different task rater | ks of the Wheelchair Ci | rcuit for the 3 test 1 ter | trials: |
| | lask | | Trial 2 | | Trial 3 | |
| | Figure-of-8 shape | 107.4 (17.6) | 103.8 (17.2) | 110.2 (16.2) | 107.1 (15.7) | |
| | Crossing doorstep | 100.5 (20.2) | 95.9 (18.7) | 104.9 (16.9) | 106.1 (16.8) | |
| | Mounting platform | 114.3 (19.2) | 106.3 (18.8) | 113.8 (18.7) | 107.9 (27.1) | |
| | Sprint | 107.5 (18.3) | 107.2 (15.8) | 109.8 (16.9) | 109.2 (16.6) | |
| | Walking | 130.4 (22.0) | 131.5 (23.9) | 130.4 (22.0) | 132.4 (22.9) | |
| | 3% slope | 99.4 (16.4) | 94.7 (15.6) | 102.1 (14.8) | 99.1 (13.0) | |
| | 6% slope | 108.3 (17.2) | 103.9 (17.6) | 111.7 (15.1) | 111.8 (15.6) | |
| | Wheelchair driving | 103.1 (17.3) | 99.7 (16.5) | 105.1 (14.5) | 105.9 (13.3) | |
| | Transfer | 112.7 (16.4) | 106.1 (13.4) | 115.7 (17.0) | 114.7 (17.7) | |
| | Table 3. SEM and MI during Wheelchair c | DC values (calc Sircuit tasks | ulated from da | ata) for performance ti | me and peak heart | rates |

| Author Year Research Design Setting (country) | Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample | Validity | | Reliability | Responsiveness Interpretability |
|---|--|----------|------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | Performance Tim | ne: Task | SEM | MDC | |
| | Figure-of-8 shape | | 1.5 | 4.1 | |
| | Crossing doorstep | | 2.2 | 6.1 | |
| | Mounting platform | | 2.3 | 6.2 | |
| | Sprint | | 0.6 | 1.5 | |
| | Transfer | | 12.2 | 33.8 | |
| | Peak Heart R Task | ate: | | | |
| | Figure-of-8 shape | | 6.8 | 18.9 | |
| | Crossing doorstep | | 6.4 | 17.7 | |
| | Mounting platform | | 7.7 | 21.3 | |
| | Sprint | | 7.5 | 20.9 | |
| | Walking | | 4.4 | 12.2 | |
| | 3% slope | | 9.1 | 25.3 | |
| | 6% slope | | 6.7 | 18.5 | |
| | Wheelchair driving | | 6.9 | 19.2 | |
| | Transfer | | 9.2 | 25.7 | |
| | | | | | |