

**Research Summary – Tunks’ SCI Pain Classification Schemes – Pain**

<b>Author Year Country Research Design Setting</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
<p><a href="#">Putzke (2003)</a></p> <p>Reliability testing</p> <p>Patients from SCI clinic in Birmingham, Alabama.</p>	<p>N=29 SCI patients: &gt;18 years old At least 1 year post injury</p> <p>Paraplegic, Incomplete: 10 Paraplegic, complete: 12 Tetraplegic, Incomplete: 5 Tetraplegic, Complete: 2</p>		<p><b>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater</b></p> <p>Kappa coefficients between 3 raters:</p> <p>Ranged from 0.33-0.65 (all P&lt;.01), considered only marginally acceptable.</p> <p>Rate of agreement across all raters was 17%. Across pairs 45% to 48%.</p> <p>When collapsed into 2 of IASP categories rater agreement 81%.</p>	