

**Research Summary – Self-Reported Functional Measure (SRFM) – Self Care and Daily Living**

<b>Author Year Research Design Setting (country)</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
<p><a href="#">Tyner et al.</a> 2022</p> <p>Cross-sectional study to evaluate the psychometric properties of the <b>Spinal Cord Injury-Functional Index (SCI-FI)</b> instruments in a community-dwelling sample</p> <p>Six SCI Model Systems sites: Craig Hospital, Kessler Foundation, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New England Regional SCI Center (Boston)</p>	<p>N = 269 193M, 64F Mean (SD) age 43.8 (15.5) years Mean (SD) time since injury 6.8 (8.7) years Diagnosis: Paraplegia complete (n = 54), paraplegia incomplete (n = 72), tetraplegia complete (n = 30), tetraplegia incomplete (n = 89), unknown (n = 24)</p>	<p><b>Convergent validity:</b> Pearson correlations between the SRFM scores and the SCI-FI scores were large (range, .69-.89), providing evidence of convergent validity of the SCI-FI measures with the SRFM instrument (table 1).</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																																																																																					
Medical Center and Gaylord Hospital), Shirley Ryan AbilityLab), and the University of Michigan.																																																																																									
Table 1. Pearson correlations (r) between SCI-FI instruments and clinical assessments																																																																																									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">SRFM</th> <th colspan="2">FIM</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Mode</th> <th>r</th> <th>n</th> <th>r</th> <th>n</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">SCI-FI Bank Domain</td> <td>Ambulation/C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CAT</td> <td>.69</td> <td>91</td> <td>.52</td> <td>77</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Ambulation/C</td> <td>Short Form (11a)</td> <td>.69</td> <td>90</td> <td>.44</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Basic Mobility/C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Basic Mobility/C</td> <td>CAT</td> <td>.86</td> <td>263</td> <td>.59</td> <td>203</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Short Form (11a)</td> <td>.89</td> <td>198</td> <td>.64</td> <td>260</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Fine Motor/C</td> <td>CAT</td> <td>.79</td> <td>203</td> <td>.57</td> <td>263</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Short Form (9a)</td> <td>.81</td> <td>202</td> <td>.57</td> <td>262</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Self-Care/C</td> <td>CAT</td> <td>.86</td> <td>203</td> <td>.6</td> <td>263</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Short Form (11a)</td> <td>.88</td> <td>203</td> <td>.61</td> <td>263</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wheelchair Mobility/AT</td> <td>CAT</td> <td>.83</td> <td>163</td> <td>.61</td> <td>212</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Manual Wheelchair/AT</td> <td>Short Form (10a)</td> <td>.73</td> <td>102</td> <td>.56</td> <td>135</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Powered Wheelchair/AT</td> <td>Short Form (9a)</td> <td>.81</td> <td>80</td> <td>.44</td> <td>107</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							SRFM		FIM			Mode	r	n	r	n	SCI-FI Bank Domain	Ambulation/C					CAT	.69	91	.52	77	Ambulation/C	Short Form (11a)	.69	90	.44	75	Basic Mobility/C					Basic Mobility/C	CAT	.86	263	.59	203	Short Form (11a)	.89	198	.64	260	Fine Motor/C	CAT	.79	203	.57	263	Short Form (9a)	.81	202	.57	262	Self-Care/C	CAT	.86	203	.6	263	Short Form (11a)	.88	203	.61	263	Wheelchair Mobility/AT	CAT	.83	163	.61	212	Manual Wheelchair/AT	Short Form (10a)	.73	102	.56	135	Powered Wheelchair/AT	Short Form (9a)	.81	80	.44	107
		SRFM		FIM																																																																																					
	Mode	r	n	r	n																																																																																				
SCI-FI Bank Domain	Ambulation/C																																																																																								
	CAT	.69	91	.52	77																																																																																				
Ambulation/C	Short Form (11a)	.69	90	.44	75																																																																																				
	Basic Mobility/C																																																																																								
Basic Mobility/C	CAT	.86	263	.59	203																																																																																				
	Short Form (11a)	.89	198	.64	260																																																																																				
Fine Motor/C	CAT	.79	203	.57	263																																																																																				
	Short Form (9a)	.81	202	.57	262																																																																																				
Self-Care/C	CAT	.86	203	.6	263																																																																																				
	Short Form (11a)	.88	203	.61	263																																																																																				
Wheelchair Mobility/AT	CAT	.83	163	.61	212																																																																																				
Manual Wheelchair/AT	Short Form (10a)	.73	102	.56	135																																																																																				
Powered Wheelchair/AT	Short Form (9a)	.81	80	.44	107																																																																																				
Note. All correlations were significant. P <0.01																																																																																									

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p><a href="#">Hoenig et al.</a> 2001</p> <p>Prospective cohort study</p> <p>Veterans Health Administration hospitals and outpatient clinics</p>	<p>Total N=8150 (3.7% female) Mean age: 52.9 years Mean duration of diagnosis: 20 years</p> <p>N=6361 veterans with SCI (2.4% female) Mean age: 52.5 years Mean duration of diagnosis: 20 years</p> <p>N=1789 veterans with Multiple Sclerosis (MS) (8.5% female) Mean age: 54.5 years Mean duration of diagnosis: 20 years</p>			<p>Odds Ratios for Health Care Utilization of Lowest SRFM Quartile Patients (SRFM 13-22) vs. Highest SRFM Quartile Patients (SRFM 43-52): (95% CI)</p> <p>Hospitalized: 1.91 (1.71-2.13) Died in hospital (of those hospitalized): 2.41 (1.62-3.58) Hospital length of stay &gt;7 days (of those hospitalized): 2.18 (1.85-2.57) Discharged to institution (of those hospitalized): 2.86 (2.00-4.08)</p>
<p><a href="#">Hoenig et al.</a> 1999</p>	<p>N=6361 from the SCD National Veterans Survey</p>	<p>“The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel X<sup>2</sup> test for trend was used to examine the</p>		<p><b>Responsiveness:</b> Due to potential bias from self-reported data, the SRFM may</p>

<b>Author Year Research Design Setting (country)</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
<p>Cross-sectional survey</p> <p>Spinal Cord Dysfunction National Veterans Survey</p>	<p>Traumatic SCI</p>	<p>proportion of people in the categories of affected limbs, motor impairment, and limb-motor impairment according to SRFM tertiles.” (p.541)</p> <p>“There were statistically significant correlations (no values reported) between SRFM score and the number of affected limbs (P&lt;.001), the amount of movement (P&lt;.001), and the amount of motor dysfunction (P&lt;.001).” (p.542)</p> <p>“The relationship between motor impairment and SRFM score remained statistically significant after stratifying on self-reported visual (P&lt;.001), sensory (P&lt;.001), or memory</p>		<p>have floor and ceiling effects that still require further investigation.</p> <p><b>Interpretability:</b> See table 1.</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>impairment (P&lt;.001).” (P.542)</p> <p>The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel X<sup>2</sup> test for trend was used to examine the proportion of people in the categories of need for personal assistance according to SRFM tertiles. The self-reported hours of personal assistance required per day was used as the measure of concurrent validity. A statistically significant (P&lt;.001) monotonic correlation was found between SRFM scores and hours of personal assistance.</p>		
Table 1. Relation between hours of personal assistance and SRFM score:				

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity		Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																													
	<table border="1" data-bbox="474 415 810 776"> <tr> <td>Hours of personal assistance per day:</td> <td>Total [% (n)]</td> <td>Lowest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]</td> <td>Middle SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]</td> <td>Highest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No help</td> <td>40.6 (2580)</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>26.3</td> <td>66.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≤ 2 hrs/day</td> <td>15.9 (1010)</td> <td>14.0</td> <td>55.9</td> <td>30.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 – 4 hrs/day</td> <td>19.4 (1234)</td> <td>47.8</td> <td>42.4</td> <td>9.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt; 4 hrs/ day</td> <td>21.1 (1340)</td> <td>83.6</td> <td>14.1</td> <td>2.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total [% overall (n)]</td> <td>100 (6361)</td> <td>32.1 (2045)</td> <td>30.7 (1956)</td> <td>34.0 (2163)</td> </tr> </table>	Hours of personal assistance per day:	Total [% (n)]	Lowest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]	Middle SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]	Highest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]	No help	40.6 (2580)	7.5	26.3	66.2	≤ 2 hrs/day	15.9 (1010)	14.0	55.9	30.1	2 – 4 hrs/day	19.4 (1234)	47.8	42.4	9.8	> 4 hrs/ day	21.1 (1340)	83.6	14.1	2.3	Total [% overall (n)]	100 (6361)	32.1 (2045)	30.7 (1956)	34.0 (2163)			
Hours of personal assistance per day:	Total [% (n)]	Lowest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]	Middle SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]	Highest SRFM Tertile [% of tertile (n)]																														
No help	40.6 (2580)	7.5	26.3	66.2																														
≤ 2 hrs/day	15.9 (1010)	14.0	55.9	30.1																														
2 – 4 hrs/day	19.4 (1234)	47.8	42.4	9.8																														
> 4 hrs/ day	21.1 (1340)	83.6	14.1	2.3																														
Total [% overall (n)]	100 (6361)	32.1 (2045)	30.7 (1956)	34.0 (2163)																														
<p><a href="#">Hoenig et al.</a> 1998</p> <p>Test-retest using a convenience sample (Cross-sectional survey)</p> <p>VA Health Administration Medical Centers, USA</p>	<p>N=725 (dual respondents)</p> <p>Cause of Spinal cord dysfunction: (p=0.001)</p> <p>48.14% Trauma only; 26.90% Disease only 23.86% Disease and Trauma</p> <p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individual was a veteran</li> <li>Discharged from a VA</li> </ul>		<p>Test-retest, inter-rater, intra-rater: Kappa coefficients: ≥0.65 for all but one item</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mobility at Home = 0.052 (p=0.003)</li> </ul> <p>ICC (95% CI): Answered every SRFM item = 0.90 (0.88) Traumatic injury = 0.92 (0.91)</p>																															

<b>Author Year Research Design Setting (country)</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
	medical center ≤5 years <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discharge diagnosis might affect the spinal cord</li> <li>Or</li> <li>• Included on lists from the Paralyzed Veterans of America</li> </ul>		Disease = 0.87 (0.84) Trauma & disease = 0.92 (0.89) Memory deficits = 0.86 (0.80) Memory intact = 0.91 (0.89) History of head injury = 0.85 (0.80) No head injury = 0.91 (0.89)	