

Research Summary – Skin Management Need Assessment Checklist (SMNAC) – Skin Health

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Gelis et al. 2018</p> <p>Cross-sectional study</p> <p>Six spinal cord centres</p>	<p>N=132, 75% male Mean age (SD): 45.9 (14.9) Mean duration (SD): 7.8 (10) 103 traumatic 29 non-traumatic</p> <p>AIS level: 84 level A 17 level B 16 level C 15 level D</p>	<p>Correlations of modified SMNAC with: Rosenberg scale: r = 0.25 Active coping: r = 0.29 HADS: r = -0.43 Time since injury: r = 0.49</p>	<p>Internal consistency: $\alpha = 0.907$</p>	
<p>Gelis et al. 2011</p> <p>Methodological cross-cultural adaptation and reliability study</p> <p>Not specified</p>	<p>N=138 (103M, 35F) Mean age: 45.9±14.9y Mean time since injury = 94 months</p> <p>SCI AIS A: 83 AIS B: 16 AIS C: 15 AIS D: 14</p>		<p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest: ICC=0.899 (CI 95%: 0.862-0.927).</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	<p>(Note: above AIS scores do not add up to total sample size but the article reported these numbers)</p> <p>Lesion level: 49 cervical, 89 upper and lower back</p> <p>Etiology: 112 traumatic, 26 medical</p>			
<p>Berry & Kennedy 2003</p> <p>Methodological, consecutive series study</p> <p>Tertiary care, spinal cord injury centre (National Spinal</p>	<p>N=43 (38M, 5F) Mean age=42.19±14.6</p> <p>13.9% complete tetraplegia 37.2% incomplete tetraplegia 23.3% complete paraplegia 25.6% incomplete paraplegia</p>		<p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest (Pearson's r correlation): See table 1.</p>	<p>Interpretability: SMNAC score and clinically relevant values (SEM and MDC calculated from data obtained from Berry & Kennedy 2003): See table 2.</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																																								
Injuries Centre), Stoke Mandeville Hospital, UK.	Mean (SD) time since injury = 17.5 (13.2) weeks																																											
<p>Table 1.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 610 1146 1086"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 610 921 659">Subscale:</th> <th data-bbox="921 610 1146 659">r:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 659 921 708">ADL</td> <td data-bbox="921 659 1146 708">0.884</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 708 921 756">Skin management</td> <td data-bbox="921 708 1146 756">0.904</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 756 921 805">Bladder management</td> <td data-bbox="921 756 1146 805">0.694</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 805 921 854">Bowel management</td> <td data-bbox="921 805 1146 854">0.783</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 854 921 902">Mobility</td> <td data-bbox="921 854 1146 902">0.883</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 902 921 951">Wheelchair and equipment</td> <td data-bbox="921 902 1146 951">0.883</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 951 921 1000">Community preparation</td> <td data-bbox="921 951 1146 1000">0.830</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1000 921 1049">Discharge coordination</td> <td data-bbox="921 1000 1146 1049">0.805</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1049 921 1086">Psychological issues</td> <td data-bbox="921 1049 1146 1086">0.748</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Table 2.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 1175 1766 1411"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 1175 852 1224">Subscale:</th> <th data-bbox="852 1175 1241 1224">Mean (SD) score:</th> <th data-bbox="1241 1175 1524 1224">SEM</th> <th data-bbox="1524 1175 1766 1224">MDC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1224 852 1273">ADL</td> <td data-bbox="852 1224 1241 1273">59.07 (25.88)</td> <td data-bbox="1241 1224 1524 1273">8.81</td> <td data-bbox="1524 1224 1766 1273">24.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1273 852 1321">Skin management</td> <td data-bbox="852 1273 1241 1321">25.67 (10.54)</td> <td data-bbox="1241 1273 1524 1321">3.27</td> <td data-bbox="1524 1273 1766 1321">9.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1321 852 1370">Bladder management</td> <td data-bbox="852 1321 1241 1370">22.81 (7.23)</td> <td data-bbox="1241 1321 1524 1370">4.00</td> <td data-bbox="1524 1321 1766 1370">11.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1370 852 1411">Bowel management</td> <td data-bbox="852 1370 1241 1411">13.91 (6.27)</td> <td data-bbox="1241 1370 1524 1411">2.92</td> <td data-bbox="1524 1370 1766 1411">8.10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Subscale:	r:	ADL	0.884	Skin management	0.904	Bladder management	0.694	Bowel management	0.783	Mobility	0.883	Wheelchair and equipment	0.883	Community preparation	0.830	Discharge coordination	0.805	Psychological issues	0.748	Subscale:	Mean (SD) score:	SEM	MDC	ADL	59.07 (25.88)	8.81	24.43	Skin management	25.67 (10.54)	3.27	9.05	Bladder management	22.81 (7.23)	4.00	11.09	Bowel management	13.91 (6.27)	2.92	8.10
Subscale:	r:																																											
ADL	0.884																																											
Skin management	0.904																																											
Bladder management	0.694																																											
Bowel management	0.783																																											
Mobility	0.883																																											
Wheelchair and equipment	0.883																																											
Community preparation	0.830																																											
Discharge coordination	0.805																																											
Psychological issues	0.748																																											
Subscale:	Mean (SD) score:	SEM	MDC																																									
ADL	59.07 (25.88)	8.81	24.43																																									
Skin management	25.67 (10.54)	3.27	9.05																																									
Bladder management	22.81 (7.23)	4.00	11.09																																									
Bowel management	13.91 (6.27)	2.92	8.10																																									

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Mobility	24.28 (13.30)	4.55	12.61
	Wheelchair and equipment	46.09 (27.27)	9.33	25.86
	Community preparation	39.28 (12.73)	5.25	14.55
	Discharge coordination	47.86 (25.52)	11.27	31.24
	Psychological issues	45.49 (7.99)	4.01	11.12
<p>Berry et al. 2004</p> <p>Methodological consecutive series study</p> <p>Spinal Cord Injuries rehabilitation unit</p>	<p>N=317 Mean age=41±17.4</p> <p>Traumatic or non- traumatic SCI</p>	<p>Subgroups:</p> <p>Negative correlation between age and skin management except individuals with complete tetraplegia. Correlations: incomplete tetraplegia (r = -0.22, n=100, P=.029, 2 tailed), complete paraplegia (r = -0.22, n=81, P=.045, 2 tailed), and incomplete paraplegia</p>	<p>Internal consistency: α = 0.851</p>	<p>Responsiveness: Significant differences between first score and second scores (mean days =103±66) using paired-sample t-test (t = -24.38, df = 186, P<.001)</p>

Reviewer ID: Tyra Chu, Carlos L. Cano Herrera

Last updated: February 15th, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		(r = -0.27, n=84, P=.013, 2 tailed) No significant difference between gender and SMNAC scores.		