

Research Summary – Skin Management Need Assessment Checklist (SMNAC) – Skin Health

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability										
<p>Berry & Kennedy 2003</p> <p>Methodological, consecutive series study</p> <p>Tertiary care, spinal cord injury centre (National Spinal Injuries Centre), Stoke Mandeville Hospital, UK.</p>	<p>N=43 (38M, 5F) Mean age=42.19±14.6</p> <p>13.9% complete tetraplegia 37.2% incomplete tetraplegia 23.3% complete paraplegia 25.6% incomplete paraplegia</p> <p>Mean (SD) time since injury = 17.5 (13.2) weeks</p>		<p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest (Pearson’s r correlation): See table 1.</p>	<p>Interpretability: SMNAC score and clinically relevant values (SEM and MDC calculated from data obtained from Berry & Kennedy 2003): See table 2.</p>										
	<p>Table 1.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 1157 1146 1391"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 1157 921 1206">Subscale:</th> <th data-bbox="926 1157 1146 1206">r:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1209 921 1255">ADL</td> <td data-bbox="926 1209 1146 1255">0.884</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1258 921 1304">Skin management</td> <td data-bbox="926 1258 1146 1304">0.904</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1307 921 1352">Bladder management</td> <td data-bbox="926 1307 1146 1352">0.694</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1356 921 1391">Bowel management</td> <td data-bbox="926 1356 1146 1391">0.783</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Subscale:	r:	ADL	0.884	Skin management	0.904	Bladder management	0.694	Bowel management	0.783
Subscale:	r:													
ADL	0.884													
Skin management	0.904													
Bladder management	0.694													
Bowel management	0.783													

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		Mobility	0.883	
		Wheelchair and equipment	0.883	
		Community preparation	0.830	
		Discharge coordination	0.805	
		Psychological issues	0.748	
Table 2.				
		Subscale:	Mean (SD) score:	SEM
		ADL	59.07 (25.88)	8.81
		Skin management	25.67 (10.54)	3.27
		Bladder management	22.81 (7.23)	4.00
		Bowel management	13.91 (6.27)	2.92
		Mobility	24.28 (13.30)	4.55
		Wheelchair and equipment	46.09 (27.27)	9.33
		Community preparation	39.28 (12.73)	5.25
		Discharge coordination	47.86 (25.52)	11.27
		Psychological issues	45.49 (7.99)	4.01

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Berry et al. 2004</p> <p>Methodological consecutive series study</p> <p>Spinal Cord Injuries rehabilitation unit</p>	<p>N=317 Mean age=41±17.4</p> <p>Traumatic or non- traumatic SCI</p>	<p>Subgroups:</p> <p>Negative correlation between age and skin management except individuals with complete tetraplegia. Correlations: incomplete tetraplegia (r = -0.22, n=100, P=.029, 2 tailed), complete paraplegia (r = -0.22, n=81, P=.045, 2 tailed), and incomplete paraplegia (r = -0.27, n=84, P=.013, 2 tailed)</p> <p>No significant difference between gender and SMNAC scores.</p>	<p>Internal consistency: α = 0.851</p>	<p>Responsiveness: Significant differences between first score and second scores (mean days =103±66) using paired-sample t-test (t = -24.38, df = 186, P<.001)</p>

Research Summary – revised Skin Management Need Assessment Checklist (SMNAC) – Skin Health – Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Gelis et al. 2018</p> <p>Cross-sectional study of the French version of the revised Skin Management Needs Assessment Checklist</p> <p>Six spinal cord centres</p>	<p>N=132, 75% male Mean age (SD): 45.9 (14.9) Mean duration (SD): 7.8 (10) 103 traumatic 29 non-traumatic</p> <p>AIS level: 84 level A 17 level B 16 level C 15 level D</p>	<p>Correlations of modified SMNAC with: Rosenberg scale: r = 0.25 Active coping: r = 0.29 HADS: r = -0.43 Time since injury: r = 0.49</p>	<p>Internal consistency: $\alpha = 0.907$</p>	
<p>Gelis et al. 2011</p> <p>Methodological cross-cultural adaptation and reliability study (French version)</p> <p>Not specified</p>	<p>N=138 (103M, 35F) Mean age: 45.9±14.9y Mean time since injury = 94 months</p> <p>SCI AIS A: 83 AIS B: 16 AIS C: 15</p>		<p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest: ICC=0.899 (CI 95%: 0.862-0.927).</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	AIS D: 14 <i>(Note: above AIS scores do not add up to total sample size but the article reported these numbers)</i> Lesion level: 49 cervical, 89 upper and lower back Etiology: 112 traumatic, 26 medical			