

Research Summary – Physical Activity Scale for Individuals With Physical Disabilities (PASIPD) – Self Care and Daily Living

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Washburn et al. 2002</p> <p>Mail survey</p> <p>Previous users of rehabilitative services (Midwestern university)</p>	<p>M=227, mean age=51 W=145, mean age= 48</p> <p>80% spinal cord or other locomotor injuries</p> <p>56 paraplegia, 38 quadriplegia, 30 cerebral palsy, 77 post-polio</p>	<p><u>Pearson correlation</u> between survey items and total PASIPD score: all statistically significant (P<.05) and >0.20 (range: r=0.20- 0.67)</p> <p><u>Factor analysis</u> five latent factors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Home, lawn and garden repair 2. Housework 3. Vigorous sport and recreation 4. Light sport and recreation 5. Occupation and transportation <p>(>1 eigenvalues, >0.4 factor loading):</p>	<p>Internal Consistency: Cronbach's alpha = 0.37- 0.65, indicating low to moderate internal consistency within factors, (P<.05)</p>	<p>Interpretability: SEM, MDC, MCID, normative & published data: Total and Subcategory mean (SD) scores for the PASIPD: group = locomoter/SCI disability (n=260) Total score: 19.8 (14.4) Home Repair/Gardening: 1.5 (3.4) Housework: 2.5 (3.2) Vigorous Sport: 2.4 (5.6) Moderate Sport: 1.4 (2.9) Occupation: 11.9 (10.2)</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		Account for 63% of variance <u>Group differentiation:</u> scored differently between groups by age, physical activity level, and self-rated health status.		
de Groot et al. 2010 Cross-sectional study English Dutch rehabilitation centers with a specialized SCI unit	N=139 Mean age: 41.5 ± 14.1 years Age range: 18-65 years 73% male 68 paraplegic, 64% complete lesion Time since Injury: 705 ± 169 days AIS A-D	<u>PASIPD with the Utrecht Activities List (UAL)</u> r=0.51 (moderate) <u>PASIPD with Subscales Mobility Range and Social Behaviour of SIP68 (SIPSOC)</u> r=0.47 (moderate)	Internal Consistency: <u>Cronbach's alpha</u> α= 0.63 indicating low internal consistency	
Van Den Berg-Emons et al. 2011	N = 124 total patients (cerebral palsy, meningomyelocele, or SCI)	No significant Spearman correlation coefficients between the PASIPD and		Interpretability: SEM, MDC, MCID, normative & published data:

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Cross-sectional</p> <p>Participants' home environment</p>	<p>N= 21 SCI patients (14M, 7F) Mean age: 40.7±14.3y</p> <p>Patients with SCI Ambulatory status (determined according to the classification of Hoffer et al.):</p> <p>1 = 0 2 = 0 3 = 5 4 = 16</p>	<p>activity monitor outcome measures were found for SCI patients:</p> <p><i>Activity monitor duration x PASIPD duration</i> Spearman's p= 0.31 (P=.18)</p> <p><i>Activity monitor duration x PASIPD intensity</i> Spearman's p=0.28 (P=.22)</p>		<p>Mean (SD) PASIPD intensity score for SCI patients: 10.9 (12.0) MET* hours/day *MET = metabolic equivalent</p>
<p>van der Ploeg et al. 2007</p> <p>Test-retest study assessing measurement properties</p> <p>Community – former patients</p>	<p>N = 45 (18M, 27F) participants, all wheelchair non-dependent (stroke, spinal cord injury, whiplash, and neurological-, orthopedic- or back disorders)</p>	<p>The criterion validity Spearman correlation was 0.30 when compared to the accelerometer. The reported criterion validity correlation of the PASIPD (0.30) was similar to that of physical activity questionnaires for the</p>	<p>Test-retest, inter-rater, intra-rater: The test-retest reliability Spearman correlation of the PASIPD was 0.77 (P>0.05).</p> <p>Mean Scores ± (SD)</p>	

Reviewer ID: Tyra Chu, Carlos L. Cano Herrera, Vanessa Noonan

Last updated: February 9th, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
of 3 Dutch rehabilitation centers	Mean age \pm SD (y) 47 ± 12	general population. A review reported correlations between questionnaires and accelerometers from 0.14 to 0.53 (median approximately 0.30).	First PASIPD - 74.9 ± 58.8 Second PASIPD - 65.1 ± 44.6 -	

Research Summary – Physical Activity Scale for Individuals With Physical Disabilities (PASIPD) – Self Care and Daily Living - Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Köce et al. 2022</p> <p>Cultural adaptation, examination of the psychometric properties of the PASIPD Turkish-version</p> <p>Spinal Cord Injury Association of Turkey and other associations connected to Hüsnü Ayık Special Care Center</p>	<p>47 participants with SCI Mean (\pm SD) age 43.98 \pm 13.50 Gender: 26F, 21M Reason of injury: Traumatic (n = 34) and non-traumatic (n = 13) AIS level: A (n = 26), B (n = 6), C (n = 13), and D (n = 2) Level of injury: C5-C6 (n = 6), C7-C8 (n = 5), T1-T12 (n = 22), L1-L5 (n = 14) Clinical type: Paraplegia (n = 37), tetraplegia (n = 10)</p>	<p>Factor structure and construct validity: PASIPD was explained by three factors. The ratio of explaining the total variance of these 3 factors was determined as 51.66%.</p> <p>Convergent validity of the Turkish Version of PASIPD: PASIPD was explained by three factors. The ratio of explaining the total variance of these 3 factors was determined as 51.66%. FIM ($r = 0.307, p = 0.040$) and CHART-SF were moderately positively correlated with PASIPD total score. The correlation between the total</p>	<p>The Cronbach's alpha coefficient (internal consistency) of the whole scale was found to be 0.725.</p> <p>The ICC coefficient for the test-retest reliability of the Turkish version of PASIPD was found to be 0.851.</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>score of PASIPD and NHP was $r = 0.443$ ($p = 0.002$).</p> <p>Criterion validity of the Turkish Version of PASIPD: 20 Meters Propulsion ($r = -0.404$, $p = 0.005$) and Slalom Tests ($r = -0.305$, $p = 0.037$) were highly negative and 6min Push Propulsion ($r = 0.456$, $p = 0.001$) were moderately positive with PASIPD total score.</p>		
<p>Mat Rosly et al. 2019</p> <p>Mail survey (PASIPD Malaysian adaptation)</p> <p>Malaysia</p>	<p>N= 250 (124 Bahasa Malaysia, 126 English) Mean age: 42.6 ± 14.4 years 177 Males, 73 Females Time since injury: 13.2 ± 11.4 years Traumatic Injury</p>	<p>Construct validity: Factor analysis extracted four main dimensions for physical activity; factor 1 (heavy housework, home repair, lawn work and gardening), factor 2 (sports and recreation), factor 3</p>	<p>Internal Consistency: <u>Cronbach's alpha</u> $\alpha = 0.68$ indicating low internal consistency</p> <p>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater:</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		(light housework and caring for another person) and factor 4 (leisure and occupational activities) that accounted for 64% of the physical activities' total variance.	Test-retest reliability overall ICC=0.87	