

Research Summary – Moorong Self-Efficacy Scale (MSES) – Other Physiological Measures

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Middleton et al. 2016</p> <p>Cross-sectional survey</p> <p>Australia and US (Miami Project research volunteer registry in US, not specified in AUS)</p>	<p>Total: N=161 (118M, 43F) Age: 48.5±15.1 years Level of injury: 86 paraplegic, 75 tetraplegic Time postinjury: 16.2±12.2 years</p> <p>Australia: N=82 Age: 48.6±13.1 years Level of injury: 44 paraplegic, 38 tetraplegic Time postinjury: 15.8±13.7 years</p> <p>US: N=79 Age: 48.5±13.1 years</p>	<p>Negative correlation found between age and factor 1 (r=-.32, P<.01)</p> <p>No sex differences found in factors 2 and 3, but women scored higher in factor 1 than men (P<.05)</p>	<p>Internal consistency: Factor 1 (social function self-efficacy; 5 items): $\alpha=.77$</p> <p>Factor 2 (general self-efficacy; 4 items): $\alpha=.81$</p> <p>Factor 3 (personal function self-efficacy; 7 items): $\alpha=.80$</p>	

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Level of injury: 42 paraplegic, 37 tetraplegic Time postinjury: 16.7±10.5 years			
Munce et al. 2016 Online Survey Rick Hansen Institute and an outpatient spinal clinic	N=99 Age: 50.5 ± 1.0 Time Since Injury (years): 17.5 ± 12.3	Negatively correlated with Depression portion of HADS (Spearman rho=-0.560, P< 0.01) Negatively correlated with Anxiety portion of HADS (Spearman rho=-0.315, P< 0.01)		
Kilic et al. 2013 Cross sectional Survey Hampstead Rehabilitation Centre, South	N=60 (19F, 41M) Age: 50.8 ± 17.0 Time Since Injury (years): 5.7 ± 7.3 Incomplete lesion: 41 Complete: 18 Missing data: 1	Negatively correlated with Depression portion of DASS-21 (Pearson r=-0.63, P< 0.01) Negatively correlated with Anxiety portion of DASS-21 (Pearson r=-0.54, P< 0.01)		

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Australia		Negatively correlated with Stress portion of DASS-21 (Pearson $r=-0.58$, $P<0.01$)		
<p> Miller 2009 Study examining factorial and concurrent validity Florida Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Program and the Florida Spinal Cord Injury Resource Centre </p>	<p> 162 SCI participants (68.5% male, 31.5% female) mean age: 45.8 ± 13.4 mean years post-injury: 9.2 ± 8.6 Ethnic background: 73.5% European American 14.2% African American 7.4% Latino/Latina 2.5% Native American 2.5% Asian American. Injury level: 54.3% cervical 40.8% thoracic 3.7% lumbar </p>	<p> MSES scores were found to be significantly positively related to Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) scores ($r=0.51$, $P<.001$) and Personal Resources Questionnaire-2000 (PRQ-2000) scores ($r=0.56$, $P<.001$). MSES scores were significantly negatively associated with Centre for Epidemiologic Diseases Depression Scale (CESD-10) scores ($r=-0.54$, $P<.001$). Employment status was found to be positively related to </p>		

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	1.2% sacral	<p>the total score of the MSES ($r=0.23$, $P<.001$). Years since disability, injury level and living situation were found to be unrelated to self-efficacy, as measured by the total score of the MSES.</p> <p>Correlations of Moorong Self-Efficacy Scale Factors with selected variables: MSES Factor 1 (Interpersonal): Years since injury: $-.018$ Injury Level: $-.051$ Living situation: $.087$ Employment: $.222$ ($P<.01$) SWLS: $.473$ ($P<.001$) CESD-10: $-.557$ ($P<.001$) PRQ-2000: $.625$ ($P<.001$)</p>		

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		MSES Factor 2 (instrumental): Years since injury: -.079 Injury Level: .027 Living situation: .011 Employment: .305 (P<.001) SWLS: .495 (P<.001) CESD-10: -.494 (P<.001) PRQ-2000: .465 (P<.001)		
<p>Middleton et al. 2003</p> <p>Descriptive, correlational study, validation study of a new instrument</p> <p>Moorong Spinal</p>	<p>Sample 1: People with SCI living in the community who previously were at in-patient rehabilitation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - N=36, 28 male - Mean age 36.33 (SD = 9.52) - Mean time post-trauma 11.23 (SD = 9.67) years 	<p>Spearman correlations of MSES with (Sample 1 only, N=36):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) anxiety: -0.58 (P<0.001) - Functional Independence Measure (FIM) motor(N=34): 0.04 (P>0.05) 	<p>Internal consistency:</p> <p>Item-total Spearman correlations (Sample 1 only, N=36):</p> <p>6 of 8 items: 0.46-0.80 (P<0.01)</p> <p>Item 2 (bowel accidents): 0.17 (P>0.05)</p>	<p>Responsiveness:</p> <p>Wilcoxon signed-ranks tests (Sample 2 only, N=31):</p> <p>Test occasion 1 (T1) – 1-month post remobilization following acute treatment</p> <p>Test occasion 2 (T2) – 3-month post remobilization</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Unit of the Royal Rehabilitation Centre Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.</p>	<p>- 11 paraplegia, 25 tetraplegia - 15 incomplete, 21 complete</p> <p>Sample 2: People who had recently sustained a SCI and were currently enrolled at in-patient rehabilitation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - N=31, 23 male - Mean age 31.48 (SD = 10.46) - Mean time post-trauma 2.01 (SD = 2.50) months - 21 paraplegia, 10 tetraplegia - 13 incomplete, 18 complete <p>Sample 3: People with SCI living in the community who previously were at in-patient rehabilitation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - FIM cognitive: 0.39 (P<0.05) - Sickness Impact Profile (SIP-136) physical: -0.11 (P>0.05) - Craig Handicap Assessment and Reporting Technique (CHART) physical (N=29): -0.07 (P>0.05) - CHART mobility: 0.15 (P>0.05) - CHART occupational: 0.47 (P<0.05) - CHART social: -0.24 (P>0.05) 	<p>Item 4 (family relationships): 0.25 (P>0.05)</p>	<p>Test occasion 3 (T3) – 6-month post remobilization</p> <p>Significant improvement in between T1 & T2 in: Total score: z = -3.29, P<0.01 Item 1 (personal hygiene): z = -3.34, P<0.001 Item 3 (household participation): z = -3.34, P<0.05 Item 8 (leisure): z = -3.09, P<0.01 Item 12 (accomplishing things): z = -2.18, P<0.05 Item 14 (meeting people): z = -1.99, P<0.05 Item 15 (good health): z = -2.24, P<0.05</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - N=108, 30 male - Mean age 45.26 (SD = 15.99) - Mean time post-trauma 7.92 (SD = 9.83) years - 66 paraplegia, 42 tetraplegia - 58 incomplete, 49 complete 			<p>Significant improvement in between T2 & T3 in: Total score: $z = -0.01$, $P > 0.05$</p> <p>Item 13 (persistence in learning things): $z = -2.24$, $P < 0.05$</p> <p>No significant difference found in total score between any test occasions comparing lesion levels or completeness of injury.</p> <p>Interpretability: Sample 1: Time 1 (in outpatient clinic) = 92.15 (16.57) Time 2 (6 weeks later) = 94.81 (14.95)</p> <p>Sample 2: 2</p>

Research Summary – Moorong Self-Efficacy Scale (MSES) – Other Physiological Measures – Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Mangold et al. 2024</p> <p>Psychometric study to translate and explore the data completeness, targeting, reliability and aspects of validity of the Swedish version of s-MSES</p> <p>Community rehabilitation program</p>	<p>N = 92 program participants 58M, 24W Median (IQR) age 47.0 (27.5) years Median (IQR) time since injury 1 (2) years Cause of injury: Traumatic (n = 75), non-traumatic (n = 17) Level of injury: Tetraplegia (n = 48), paraplegia (n = 44) Completeness of injury: Complete (n = 37), incomplete (n = 54)</p> <p>N = 42 peer mentors 37M, 11W Median (IQR) age 38.0 (18.2.5) years Median (IQR) time since injury 10 (9.25) years</p>	<p>The s-MSES scores were positively correlated with the LiSat11 (total score $r_s = 0.72, p < 0.001$) and the CD-RISC (total score $r_s = 0.76, p < 0.001$). A statistically significant and negative correlation was found between the s-MSES total score and HADS ($r_s = -0.58, p < 0.001$) and HADS D ($r_s = -0.43, p = 0.14$).</p> <p>Correlations between the Swedish version of the Moorong Self-Efficacy Scale and life satisfaction^a, resilience^a, depression/anxiety^b</p>	<p>Internal consistency: The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the total group for the full scale was 0.92, for the social sub-scale 0.81, for the general sub-scale 0.83 and for the personal sub-scale 0.74.</p> <p>Test-retest reliability (peer mentors): The ICC for the full scale was 0.91 (n = 34), for the social sub-scale 0.84 (n = 36), for the general sub-scale 0.92 (n = 38) and for the personal sub-scale 0.78 (n = 36). The</p>	<p>Scoring: The mean (SD; min-max) total score for program participants was 88 (15; 32–112), for peer mentors 101 (9; 76–112) and for the total group 92 (15; 32–112).</p> <p>Data completeness: Of the 92 participants, 84 (91%) had answered all items in the s-MSES and obtained a total score at baseline. Missing data were found in all three subscales with response rates of 97% (social function), 98% (general) and 99% (personal function). Of the 42 peer mentors, 38 (91%)</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	<p>Cause of injury: Traumatic (n = 37), non-traumatic (n = 5) Level of injury: Tetraplegia (n = 10), paraplegia (n = 32) Completeness of injury: Complete (n = 21), incomplete (n = 20)</p>	<p>and depression^b Please see Table 1 below.</p>	<p>lowest value for weighted kappa was found in item 15 (good health and well-being): 0.42, and the highest in item 6 (sexual relation): 0.86. In total, 10 items had a kappa \geq 0.60, indicating substantial agreement, out of which one had a kappa >0.80, representing excellent agreement. When performing the Wilcoxon signed rank test for the items with a kappa of ≤ 0.61 no statistical significance was found, indicating no systematic error in ratings.</p>	<p>answered all items at the first evaluation point. Missing data were found in the social function and personal function subscales, both with response rates of 95%.</p> <p>Targeting: For the program participants, the total score ranged from 32– 112 (full range: 16–112), the social sub-scale from 11 to 35 (full range: 5–35), the general sub-scale from 8–28 (full range: 4–28), the personal sub-scale from 8–28 (full range: 4–28). No program participant scored the lowest possible score in any subscale. Ceiling effects were noted in the social sub-scale</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
				<p>where 17.6% scored the highest possible score. In total, 4.3% of the participants scored the highest possible score on the full scale.</p> <p>For the peer mentors, the total score ranged from 76 to 112, the social sub-scale from 21 to 35, the general sub-scale from 15–28 and the personal sub-scale from 19–28. No peer mentor scored the lowest possible score on any subscale. Ceiling effects were noted in all subscales; 47.6% for the social sub-scale, 26.2% for the general sub-scale and 23.8% for the personal sub-scale. In total, 9.5% of peer mentors scored the</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability		
				<p>highest possible score on the full scale.</p> <p>Variability and systematic changes of the mean: The SEM and the SDD for the full scale were 2.60 and 7.21, respectively. The ds for the full scale and all subscales were close to 0 and the confidence interval included 0, indicating no systematic differences between evaluation points. The LOA ranged between -9.68 and 11.32 for the full scale.</p>		
	<p>Table 1. Correlations between the Swedish version of the Moorong Self-Efficacy Scale and life satisfaction^a, resilience^a, depression/anxiety^b and depression^b</p>					
	Scale	Mean (SD)	Social Function	General Subscale, r_s	Personal Function Subscale, r_s	Total Score, r_s

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample		Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability	
			Subscale, r_s			
	LiSat	45.51 (10.05) (n = 122)	0.652*** (n = 119)	0.528*** (n = 121)	0.596*** (n = 121)	0.720*** (n = 113)
	CD-RISC	75.53 (16.52) (n = 94)	0.675 *** (n = 91)	0.741*** (n = 94)	0.525*** (n = 93)	0.763*** (n = 87)
	HADS	9.76 (3.09) (n = 36)	-0.356* (n = 32)	-0.533** (n = 33)	-0.407* (n = 33)	-0.575 *** (n = 30)
	HADS D	4.03 (3.09) (n = 36)	-0.310 (n = 34)	-0.378* (n = 35)	-0.388* (n = 35)	-0.430* (n = 32)
<p>*p < 0.05 **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001. CD-RISC Connor Davidson Resilience Scale, HADS the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HADS D the depression domain of HADS, LiSat11 the Life Satisfaction Questionnaire, rs Spearman's rho. ^aParticipants in Active Rehabilitation programs and peer mentors. ^bParticipants in Active Rehabilitation programs</p>						
Jia et al. 2022	N = 176 129M, 47F Mean (SD) age 39.51		Content validity: The content validity	Internal consistency: Cronbach's a coefficients were		

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Cross-sectional study to translate the MSES into Chinese and to examine its reliability and validity</p> <p>Four rehabilitation centers in China</p>	<p>(14.07) years Cause of disease: Trauma (n = 152), non-trauma (n = 20) Injury severity: Missing data (n = 10), complete (n = 76), incomplete (n = 90) Injury level: Cervical (n = 46), thoracic (n = 93), lumbosacral (n = 32) Mean (SD) time since injury 10.32 (14.84) months</p>	<p>index of the scale was 0.99.</p> <p>Criterion-related validity: Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the total scores of the MSES and the General Self-Efficacy Scale was 0.660 ($p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Construct validity: Principal components analysis with varimax orthogonal rotation was used. Three factors were extracted accounting for 39.083%, 11.149%, and 8.391% of the total variance and labeled as general self-efficacy (eight items), social self-efficacy (five items), and self-management self-</p>	<p>0.892, 0.862, 0.817, and 0.739 for the total items and three factors, respectively, and decreased when any item was eliminated.</p> <p>Test-retest reliability representing MSES stability was confirmed to be good among 40 patients with SCI. The ICC of the total scores for pretest and retest was 0.859 ($F = 7.082, p < 0.001$), and all items’ p values were <0.05.</p>	

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		efficacy (three items). Confirmatory factor analysis showed acceptable fit compared with previous studies.		
<p>Marquez et al. 2022</p> <p>Psychometric and transverse study to evaluate the psychometric properties of the Italian version of the MSES</p> <p>Two Italian Spinal Units</p>	<p>N = 65 41M, 24W Mean (SD) age 55.4 (14.3) years Injury level: Not answered (n = 11), C3- C7 (n = 1), C6-C7 (n = 5), C7-T11 (n = 1), T2-T4 (n = 7), T4-T6 (n = 7), T7-T10 (n = 18), T12 (n = 9), T12- L1 (n = 1), L1-S1 (n = 5) AIS A (n = 17), AIS B (n = 41), AIS C (n = 3), AIS D (n = 4) Mean (SD) time since injury 26 (20.3) years</p>	<p>Concurrent validity: There are correlations between the MSES-IT and the SF-36. Particularly, MSES-IT total score and subscales showed a moderate correlation (0.30 < ρ < 0.44) with the following components of SF-36: Role limitations physical health; Role limitations emotional problems; Emotional well-being; General health. No correlations emerged between MSES-IT and SCIM-SR.</p>	<p>Internal consistency: Cronbach's alpha for the MSES-IT was 0.87.</p> <p>Test-retest reliability: All items showed an ICC value >0.7 and total MSES-IT showed ICC value of 0.99 (0.98-0.99).</p>	

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Gounelle et al. 2022</p> <p>Cross-sectional study to adapt MSES in the French language and determine its psychometric properties</p> <p>Six Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation centers in France</p>	<p>Validity study: N = 201 participants with SCI 157M, 44F Mean (SD) age 48 (14) years. Level of injury: Quadriplegia (n = 83), paraplegia (n = 118). Time since injury: < 1 year (n = 52), 1-10 years (n = 58), > 10 years (n = 88). AIS: AIS A (n = 114), AIS B (n = 17), AIS C (n = 29), AIS D (n = 38), AIS E (n = 1). Etiology: Medical (n = 53), traumatic (n = 148).</p> <p>Reliability study: N = 56 participants with SCI 47M, 9F Mean (SD) age 44 (14) years. Level of injury:</p>	<p>Construct validity: Results evidenced significant correlations with the MSES-Fr and other related psychological constructs (self-esteem, mood, quality of life=i).</p>	<p>Internal consistency: Cronbach a = 0.87.</p> <p>Test-retest reliability: The ICC was 0.74 (CI 95%: 0.60-0.84) for the total score of the MSES-Fr. The ICC was good for the 3 dimensions of the scale:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interpersonal self-efficacy: 0.72 (CI 95%: 0.56-0.82). - Instrumental self-efficacy: 0.73 (CI 95%: 0.58-0.84). - Participation self-efficacy: 0.64 (CI 95%: 0.45-0.77). 	<p>Reproducibility analysis by item: According to Kappa scores, the results are substantial for 3 items, moderate for 6 items and fair for 6 items. Only one item "I can accomplish most things I set out to do" exhibited an insignificant degree of concordance between the test and re-test situation.</p>

Author Year Country Research Design Setting	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Quadriplegia (n = 22), paraplegia (n = 34). Time since injury: < 1 year (n = 47), 1-10 years (n = 9), > 10 years (n = 0). AIS: AIS A (n = 20), AIS B (n = 10), AIS C (n = 14), AIS D (n = 12). Etiology: Medical (n = 18), traumatic (n = 36).			