## Last updated: January 26th, 2024

Research Summary – Functional I	ndependence Measure-S	Self Report (FIM-SR) – Self Ca	re and Daily Living

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Masedo et al. 2005 Double-blind randomized trial Reliability and validity (comparison to the Craig Handicap Assessment Reporting Technique (CHART)) studied Harborview Medical Center and University of Washington's Northwest Regional SCI system	SCI trial: n=84 subjects; 44 given amitriptlyine, or an active placebo, 40. Avg. age; 42 years, 80% Men. Mean (SD) time since SCI: 8.3 (8.9) Neurological level of injury= 54% cervical, 38% thoracic, 7% lumbar/sacral.	Correlations of the FIM-SR with an instrument measuring the same construct: CHART total score: r=0.26 (P<.01) CHART mobility subscale: r=0.30 (P<.01) CHART physical subscale: r=0.49 (P<.01) FIM-SR correlates with CHART: almost all subscales moderate and sig. (P<.005, P<.001); support provided for the motor scales of FIM- SR, with the exception of locomotion. Correlations of the FIM-SR with measurements with different constructs:	Internal consistency: Pre-treatment: Total: $\alpha$ =0.95 Items: $\alpha$ =-0.14 to 0.98 Post-treatment: Total: $\alpha$ =0.94 Items: $\alpha$ =0.20 to 0.98 Test-retest, Inter- rater, Intra-rater: Test-retest reliability: Total: r=0.89 (P<.005) Items: r=0.54 to 0.91 (P<.005)	Floor/ceiling effect: SCI sample: Only 1) cognitive and 2) communication and social cognition subscales showed ceiling effects; 88% and 76%, reporting max. scores in those areas. Interpretability: See tables 1 and 2.

## Reviewer ID: Mohit Singh, Risa Fox, Carlos L. Cano Herrera

Last updated: January 26th, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		Differences in level of injury (paraplegia and tetraplegia): t = -6.40 (P<.001) Subscales that differ significantly according to injury level (self- care, sphincter, mobility, motor): t = - 6.88 to -0.10 (P<.001)		
	Table 1. Mean (SD) FIM \	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and post-treatmen	t:
	FIM-SR scale:	Possible range:	Pre-treatment score:	Post-treatment score:
	Self-care	6-42	33.57 (12.73)	32.87 (13.14)
	Sphincter	2-14	10.61 (4.83)	10.58 (4.81)
	Mobility	3-21	15.24 (7.57)	15.24 (7.56)
	Locomotion	2-14	9.07 (2.65)	9.07 (2.65)
	Communication	2-14	13.77 (0.72)	13.60 (1.45)
	Social cognition	3-21	20.46 (1.37)	20.05 (2.19)
	Motor	13-91	68.49 (25.55)	67.75 (26.15)
	Cognitive	5-35	4.24 (1.85)	33.65 (3.47)
	Total	18-126	103.86 (24.26)	101.40 (27.26)
	Table 2. SEM and MDC f	for total FIM-SR and sub	oscales (calculated fr	rom data in article):
	Variable	SEM	1	MDC
	Self-care	4.03		11.2
	Sphincter	1.45		4.02

## Reviewer ID: Mohit Singh, Risa Fox, Carlos L. Cano Herrera

Last updated: January 26th, 2024

-