Last updated: January 23rd, 2024

Research Summary – Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) – Self Care and Daily Living

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Boswell-Ruys et al. 2010 Randomized, assessor- blinded trial NSW, Australia	Individuals with SCI living in the community N=30, 25M 5F Mean age 45 yrs			Minimally Worthwhile Treatment Effect: - COPM Performance Component: 2.0 - COPM Importance Component: 2.0 - COPM Satisfaction Component: 2.0
Donnelly et al. 2004 Retrospective analysis Tertiary Rehabilitation Centre, SCI unit, GF Strong Rehabilitation Centre, Vancouver, Canada	N=41 Demographic data available only for 38 of 41 29M, 9F 18 paraplegia, 20 tetraplegia 27 complete SCI, 11 incomplete 25 traumatic SCI, 13 nontraumatic Mean age 49(18.1), range 17-83	Portney and Watkins correlational descriptors btwn COPM & FIM Motor: (r-values) Admission: - COPM Performance & FIM Motor: 0.452(P<0.001) - COPM Satisfaction & FIM Motor: 0.514(P<0.001)		

Last updated: January 23rd, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Mean time since SCI: 52(73.1) days, range 11-364	Discharge: - COPM Performance & FIM Motor: 0.388(P<0.05) - COPM Satisfaction & FIM Motor: 0.513(P<0.05) Change: - COPM Performance & FIM Motor: 0.351(P<0.05) - COPM Satisfaction & FIM Motor:		
Samuelsson et al. 2004 Cross-sectional Two spinal cord injury (SCI)	N=56 (44M, 12F) Mean age 48.9 Mean time since SCI: 13.9 years	O.475(P<0.05) Spearman's correlation btwn: - COPM Performance & Klein-Bell ADL Scale: 0.07 (Not significant; p>0.05)		

Last updated: January 23rd, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
centres in Sweden				

Last updated: January 23rd, 2024

Research Summary – Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) – Self Care and Daily Living - Cross-cultural Validation Studies

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Berardi et al. 2019 Cross-sectional Rehabilitation service of the Paraplegic Center of Ostia, Italy	N=39 (71% male) Mean age: 53±15 years Time since injury: 15±14 years Neurological level of injury: C4-L3	The COPM's construct validity with the SCIM III did not demonstrate a statistically significant correlation (p < 0.05), neither with the Pearson's correlation coefficient nor with the Spearman's Rho coefficient. Spearman's rho correlations: - COPM performance & SCIM: 0.30 - COPM satisfaction & SCIM: 0.17 Pearson's correlation coefficient correlations:	Internal consistency: The COPM had a high degree of internal consistency with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.93 for performance score and 0.89 for satisfaction score (TO). Test-retest, interrater; The COPM a high ICC value, which indicates great test-retest reliability, after repeated measurements with a value of 0.99 for the performance and 0.98 for the satisfaction.	

Last updated: January 23rd, 2024

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		- COPM performance & SCIM: 0.30 - COPM satisfaction & SCIM: 0.22		