

**Research Summary – Community Integration Questionnaire (CIQ) – Community Reintegration**

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p><a href="#">Callaway et al.</a> 2017</p> <p>Matched analysis</p> <p>Victoria, Australia</p>	<p>N=40, 61% male Mean age (SD): 52.8 (14.5) 77% traumatic SCI</p>			<p><b>Interpretability:</b> Mean (SD) CIQ scores for different subscales: Home integration: 4.5 (3.3) Social integration: 8.5 (2.3) Productive activity: 3.4 (1.9) Total: 16.4 (6.1)</p>
<p><a href="#">Kratz et al.</a> 2015</p> <p>Longitudinal 12-month survey study</p> <p>US Nation-wide, community dwelling</p>	<p>N=627 (228F, 399M), at time 1 Mean age 48.28, SD=13.50 Time Since Injury (years): 16.52 ± 12.67 234 complete SCI Etiology: 272 MVA, 91 falls, 68 sports, 57 medical/surgical, 45 violence</p>		<p><b>Internal consistency:</b> Cronbach’s alpha: Total score: 0.75 at time 1, 0.71 at time 2* Home subscale: 0.79~0.81 Social subscale: 0.62~0.63 Productive subscale: 0.50~0.51</p>	<p><b>Floor/ceiling Effect:</b> Patients at ceiling (time 1, time 2): Total Score: 0%, 5% Home subscale: 6.4%, 7.7% Social subscale: 1.6%, 2.0% Productive subscale: 25%, 27.1% Patients at floor: Total Score: 0.2%</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability										
	N=494 (193F, 301M), at time 2 (part of time 1 group) Time Since Injury (years): 16.15 ± 11.98		* Time 1 is initial survey, time 2 is 12 months after time 1	Home subscale: 1.2%, 1.3% Social subscale: 0.6% Productive subscale: 8.1%, 8.6%										
<p data-bbox="222 748 432 813"><a href="#">Gontkovsky et al. 2009</a></p> <p data-bbox="222 867 432 967">Correlational analysis, single session study</p> <p data-bbox="222 1021 432 1268">Tertiary care rehab centers (Inpatient rehabilitation at Methodist Rehabilitation Center)</p>	<p data-bbox="474 613 747 683">N= 28, 75 % male Mean age = 42 ±17</p> <p data-bbox="474 737 747 954">57% at their 1-year follow up 29% at their 2-year follow up 14% at their 3-year follow up</p> <p data-bbox="474 1008 747 1036">90% traumatic SCI</p> <p data-bbox="474 1089 747 1159">68% incomplete SCI 32% complete SCI</p> <p data-bbox="474 1213 747 1399">AIS Classification 32.1% A 32.1% B 14.4% C 21.4% D</p>	<p data-bbox="835 613 993 641">See below.</p>		<p data-bbox="1558 613 1799 641"><b>Interpretability:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1558 651 1881 1118"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1568 659 1747 794"><b>Subscale:</b></th> <th data-bbox="1751 659 1871 794"><b>Mean (SD) CIQ score:</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1568 803 1747 873">Home integration</td> <td data-bbox="1751 803 1871 873">3.5 (2.6)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1568 883 1747 953">Social integration</td> <td data-bbox="1751 883 1871 953">6.7 (2.5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1568 963 1747 1032">Productive activity</td> <td data-bbox="1751 963 1871 1032">1.0 (1.6)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1568 1042 1747 1112">Total</td> <td data-bbox="1751 1042 1871 1112">11.2 (5.0)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Subscale:</b>	<b>Mean (SD) CIQ score:</b>	Home integration	3.5 (2.6)	Social integration	6.7 (2.5)	Productive activity	1.0 (1.6)	Total	11.2 (5.0)
<b>Subscale:</b>	<b>Mean (SD) CIQ score:</b>													
Home integration	3.5 (2.6)													
Social integration	6.7 (2.5)													
Productive activity	1.0 (1.6)													
Total	11.2 (5.0)													

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																		
	Level of Injury 60.7% Cervical 35.7% Thoracic 3.6% Lumbar																					
	<p>Inter-correlation coefficients between CIQ scores:</p> <p>CIQ Subscales:                      Home integration subscale (HIS)                      Social integration subscale (SIS)                      Productive Activity subscale (PAS)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 906 1226 1097"> <thead> <tr> <th>CIQ Subscales:</th> <th>SIS</th> <th>PAS</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HIS</td> <td>0.42*</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>0.80**</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SIS</td> <td></td> <td>0.34</td> <td>0.82**</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.61**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*P&lt;.05                      **P&lt;.01</p> <p>The Craig Handicap Assessment Reporting Technique-Short Form (CHART-SF) and CIQ total scores were positively and significantly correlated (r = 0.79). The greatest degree of association was found between the social integration subscales of each measure (r = 0.77).</p> <p>Correlation coefficients (r's)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 1377 1396 1425"> <tr> <td></td> <td>CIQ subscales:</td> </tr> </table>				CIQ Subscales:	SIS	PAS	Total	HIS	0.42*	0.26	0.80**	SIS		0.34	0.82**	PAS			0.61**		CIQ subscales:
CIQ Subscales:	SIS	PAS	Total																			
HIS	0.42*	0.26	0.80**																			
SIS		0.34	0.82**																			
PAS			0.61**																			
	CIQ subscales:																					

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity				Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		HIS	SIS	PAS	Total		
	CHART-SF subscales:						
	Physical Independence	<b>0.55</b>	0.01	0.14	0.33		
	Cognitive Independence	<b>0.57</b>	<i>0.43</i>	0.07	<b>0.53</b>		
	Mobility	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<i>0.39</i>	<b>0.73</b>		
	Occupation	<b>0.56</b>	<i>0.46</i>	<i>0.41</i>	<b>0.64</b>		
	Social Integration	0.47	<b>0.77</b>	0.34	<b>0.73</b>		
	Economic Self-Sufficiency	0.25	0.01	0.37	0.24		
	total	<b>0.74</b>	0.57	<i>0.42</i>	<b>0.79</b>		
<p><b>Bold P&lt;.001</b> <i>Italic P&lt;.05</i></p>							

**Research Summary – Community Integration Questionnaire (CIQ) – Community Reintegration - Cross-cultural Validation Studies**

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p><a href="#">Saffari et al.</a> 2015</p> <p>Cross-sectional validation study for <b>Iranian</b> version of SCL CSQ (in Persian)</p>	<p>N=220, 164 male Mean age 58.18; s.d.=10.32 140 paraplegia, 80 tetraplegia 153 traumatic SCI, 67 non-traumatic</p> <p>Time since injury (SD) = 50.96 (35.05) months</p>	<p>Pearson correlation coefficients: Between CIQ (Persian) and SCL CSQ subscale of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Acceptance: r=0.289, P&lt;0.01</li> <li>- Fighting spirit: r=0.326, P&lt;0.01</li> <li>- Social reliance: r=-0.272, P&lt;0.01 (higher social participation was correlated with lower social reliance)</li> </ul> <p>Predictive validity - Regression analysis: SCL CSQ Acceptance, fighting spirit and social reliance subscale, and SCI</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		etiology predicts CIQ; $R^2 = 0.38$		
<p><a href="#">Rintala et al.</a> 2002</p> <p>Descriptive data, comparison of Spanish and English versions, and test-retest comparisons of the Spanish version</p> <p>Southeastern Texas, USA</p>	<p>N=99, 81 male Mean duration (SD): 7.0 (6.5) 29 traumatic</p> <p>Bilingual subsample: n=45, 33 male Mean duration (SD): 7.8 (7.4) 15 traumatic</p> <p>Test-retest subsample: n=46, 44 male Mean duration (SD): 6.9 (5.9) 9 traumatic</p>	<p>Pearson correlation coefficients: Between CIQ (Spanish) and CHART (Spanish) scales: <math>r = 0.53</math> Between CIQ (Spanish) and CIQ (English): <math>r = 0.83</math></p>	<p><b>Internal consistency:</b> Cronbach's alpha: Total score: 0.70 Home subscale: 0.82 Social subscale: 0.33 Productive subscale: 0.42</p> <p><b>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater:</b> Test-retest correlations: 0.85</p>	

**Research Summary – Community Integration Questionnaire – Revised (CIQ-R) – Community Reintegration - Cross-cultural Validation Studies**

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p><a href="#">Xie et al.</a> 2023</p> <p>Cross-sectional study to validate the <b>Chinese version</b> of the CIQ-R for individuals with SCI</p> <p>Shanghai Sunshine Rehabilitation Center</p>	<p>N = 317 Mean (SD) age 49.8 (13.3) years 212M, 105F Median time span since injury was 7.1 years, ranging from 6 months to 61.5 years Level of injury: Cervical (n = 109), thoracic (n = 138), lumbosacral (n = 70) Etiology: Traumatic (n = 245), non-traumatic (n = 72) Severity: Complete (n = 169), incomplete (n = 148)</p> <p>*Exploratory Factor Analysis supported a construct of the CIQ-R-C (excluding item 10) as made of four domains</p>	<p><b>Construct validity:</b> The 4 domains of the CIQ-R-C were significantly negatively correlated with the Zung Self-Rating Anxiety/Depression Scale (SAS and SDS) (Table 1). Meanwhile, the 4 domains of the Scale were significantly positively correlated with VAS and social support, as expected, except for the home domain, which showed no significant correlation with Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS).</p>	<p>An acceptable <b>internal consistency</b> (Cronbach’s <math>\alpha</math>) was seen for the CIQ-R-C as a whole (0.84) and the home domain (0.81). Social engagement (0.68), digital social networking (DSN) (0.68) and traditional social networking (TSN) (0.40) domains showed lower values since they had limited items.</p> <p><b>Test-retest reliability:</b> Correlation coefficient of the CIQ-R-C whole scale (0.87) and its three domains (home [0.78], social engagement [0.87], and DSN [0.77])</p>	<p><b>Floor/ceiling Effect:</b> The CIQ-R-C total, home, and social engagement domains demonstrated no floor or ceiling effects (Table 2). However, the DSN domain did display a floor effect (&gt; 15%). A significant ceiling effect was also observed in the TSN domain.</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																														
	(Confirmatory Factor Analysis [CFI] = 0.94; Root Mean Square Error of Approximation [RMSEA] = 0.06): home, social engagement, digital social networking, and traditional social networking.		demonstrated good reliability. However, the correlation coefficient of the TSN domain was below the acceptable level (0.55).																															
	Table 1. Correlation analysis of the CIQ-R-C and SAS, SDS, VAS, and MSPSS.																																	
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 857 758 901">Domains</th> <th data-bbox="758 857 1037 901">SAS</th> <th data-bbox="1037 857 1318 901">SDS</th> <th data-bbox="1318 857 1600 901">VAS</th> <th data-bbox="1600 857 1883 901">MSPSS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 901 758 945">Home</td> <td data-bbox="758 901 1037 945">-0.20</td> <td data-bbox="1037 901 1318 945">-0.29</td> <td data-bbox="1318 901 1600 945">0.26</td> <td data-bbox="1600 901 1883 945">0.05**</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 945 758 1031">Social engagement<sup>a</sup></td> <td data-bbox="758 945 1037 1031">-0.39</td> <td data-bbox="1037 945 1318 1031">-0.44</td> <td data-bbox="1318 945 1600 1031">0.40</td> <td data-bbox="1600 945 1883 1031">0.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1031 758 1075">DSN<sup>b</sup></td> <td data-bbox="758 1031 1037 1075">-0.30</td> <td data-bbox="1037 1031 1318 1075">-0.28</td> <td data-bbox="1318 1031 1600 1075">0.19</td> <td data-bbox="1600 1031 1883 1075">0.21</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1075 758 1118">TSN</td> <td data-bbox="758 1075 1037 1118">-0.16*</td> <td data-bbox="1037 1075 1318 1118">-0.17*</td> <td data-bbox="1318 1075 1600 1118">0.20</td> <td data-bbox="1600 1075 1883 1118">0.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1118 758 1172">Total score<sup>a,b</sup></td> <td data-bbox="758 1118 1037 1172">-0.39</td> <td data-bbox="1037 1118 1318 1172">-0.42</td> <td data-bbox="1318 1118 1600 1172">0.37</td> <td data-bbox="1600 1118 1883 1172">0.22</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Domains	SAS	SDS	VAS	MSPSS	Home	-0.20	-0.29	0.26	0.05**	Social engagement <sup>a</sup>	-0.39	-0.44	0.40	0.20	DSN <sup>b</sup>	-0.30	-0.28	0.19	0.21	TSN	-0.16*	-0.17*	0.20	0.25	Total score <sup>a,b</sup>	-0.39	-0.42	0.37	0.22
Domains	SAS	SDS	VAS	MSPSS																														
Home	-0.20	-0.29	0.26	0.05**																														
Social engagement <sup>a</sup>	-0.39	-0.44	0.40	0.20																														
DSN <sup>b</sup>	-0.30	-0.28	0.19	0.21																														
TSN	-0.16*	-0.17*	0.20	0.25																														
Total score <sup>a,b</sup>	-0.39	-0.42	0.37	0.22																														
	<p>CIQ-R-C, Chinese version of Community Integration Questionnaire-Revised; SAS/SDS, Zung Self-Rating Anxiety/Depression Scale; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; MSPSS, Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; DSN, digital social networking; TSN, traditional social networking; a, excluding item 10; b, including an additional item (e-shopping); *: P &lt; 0.05; **: P &gt; 0.05; other correlations: P &lt; 0.01.</p>																																	



Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																																										
<p>Table 2. Descriptives and floor and ceiling effects of the CIQ-R-C.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 444 1824 667"> <thead> <tr> <th>Domains</th> <th>N° of items</th> <th>Range</th> <th>Means</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Floor (%)</th> <th>Ceiling (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Home</td> <td>6</td> <td>0-12</td> <td>4.83</td> <td>2.81</td> <td>6.62</td> <td>2.21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Social engagement<sup>a</sup></td> <td>5</td> <td>0-13</td> <td>4.24</td> <td>3.03</td> <td>14.83</td> <td>0.31</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DSN<sup>b</sup></td> <td>3</td> <td>0-6</td> <td>2.83</td> <td>1.96</td> <td>17.67</td> <td>13.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TSN</td> <td>2</td> <td>0-4</td> <td>2.69</td> <td>1.23</td> <td>9.78</td> <td>30.91</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total score<sup>a,b</sup></td> <td>16</td> <td>0-35</td> <td>14.59</td> <td>6.80</td> <td>0.63</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>CIQ-R-C, Chinese version of Community Integration Questionnaire-Revised; DSN, digital social networking; TSN, traditional social networking; SD, standard deviation; a, excluding item 10; b, including an additional item (e-shopping).</p>					Domains	N° of items	Range	Means	SD	Floor (%)	Ceiling (%)	Home	6	0-12	4.83	2.81	6.62	2.21	Social engagement <sup>a</sup>	5	0-13	4.24	3.03	14.83	0.31	DSN <sup>b</sup>	3	0-6	2.83	1.96	17.67	13.56	TSN	2	0-4	2.69	1.23	9.78	30.91	Total score <sup>a,b</sup>	16	0-35	14.59	6.80	0.63	0.00
Domains	N° of items	Range	Means	SD	Floor (%)	Ceiling (%)																																								
Home	6	0-12	4.83	2.81	6.62	2.21																																								
Social engagement <sup>a</sup>	5	0-13	4.24	3.03	14.83	0.31																																								
DSN <sup>b</sup>	3	0-6	2.83	1.96	17.67	13.56																																								
TSN	2	0-4	2.69	1.23	9.78	30.91																																								
Total score <sup>a,b</sup>	16	0-35	14.59	6.80	0.63	0.00																																								
<p><a href="#">Panuccio et al.</a> 2022</p> <p>Psychometric study to evaluate the internal consistency and validity of the Community Integration Questionnaire – Revised (CIQ-R) in <b>Italian</b></p>	<p>N = 80 47M, 33F Mean (SD) age 46 (11.74) years Injury level: Cervical (n = 28), thoracic (n = 33), lumbar (n = 19) Completeness of injury: Complete (n = 39), incomplete (n = 41) ASIA A (n = 29), ASIA B (n = 39), ASIA C (n = 12)</p>	<p><b>Construct validity:</b> Pearson’s correlation coefficient showed weak correlation between the total score of CIQ-R and Physical Component Summary (PCS12) (0.25) and the Mental Component Summary (MCS12) (0.29) of the SF-12 health survey. Other domains are shown in table 1.</p>	<p><b>Internal consistency:</b> The Home Integration subscale only showed and Cronbach’s alpha value <math>\geq 0.70</math> (0.825). Other domains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total score: 0.627</li> <li>• Social Integration: 0.393</li> <li>• Productivity: 0.133</li> <li>• Electronic Social Net-</li> </ul>																																											

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																														
individuals with SCI  Patients' associations, foundations, sport clubs, and federations in Italy.			working Integration: 0.428  <b>Test-retest reliability</b> procedures were performed for 30 out of the 80 included individuals: See table 2.																															
<p>Table 1. Pearson's correlation coefficient for construct validity of the Italian version of the CIQ-R in people with spinal cord injury.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 865 1885 1227"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Pearson's correlation coefficient PCS12</th> <th>R<sup>2</sup> PCS12</th> <th>Pearson's correlation coefficient MCS12</th> <th>R<sup>2</sup> MCS12</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Home integration</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Social integration</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>0.11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Productivity</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>0.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Electronic Social Networking Integration</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.19</td> <td>0.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>0.08</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>PCS-12 Physical Component Summary (PCS) of the Short Form-12 (SF-12) health survey; MCS-12 Mental Component Summary (MCS) of the SF-12 health survey.</p> <p>Table 2. Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) for reliability of the Italian version of the CIQ-R in people with spinal cord injury.</p>						Pearson's correlation coefficient PCS12	R <sup>2</sup> PCS12	Pearson's correlation coefficient MCS12	R <sup>2</sup> MCS12	Home integration	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.00	Social integration	0.24	0.06	0.33	0.11	Productivity	0.31	0.10	0.17	0.03	Electronic Social Networking Integration	0.03	0.00	0.19	0.03	Total	0.25	0.06	0.29	0.08
	Pearson's correlation coefficient PCS12	R <sup>2</sup> PCS12	Pearson's correlation coefficient MCS12	R <sup>2</sup> MCS12																														
Home integration	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.00																														
Social integration	0.24	0.06	0.33	0.11																														
Productivity	0.31	0.10	0.17	0.03																														
Electronic Social Networking Integration	0.03	0.00	0.19	0.03																														
Total	0.25	0.06	0.29	0.08																														

Reviewer ID: Ben Mortenson, Tyra Chu, Franny Fan, Carlos L. Cano Herrera

Last updated: August 28th, 2024

<b>Author Year Research Design Setting (country)</b>	<b>Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>Reliability</b>	<b>Responsiveness Interpretability</b>
			ICC	IC 95%
		Home integration	0.995	(0.989-0.998)
		Social integration	0.944	(0.883-0.974)
		Productivity	1.000	(1.000-1.000)
		Electronic Social Networking Integration	1.000	(1.000-1.000)
		Total	0.992	(0.983-0.996)