

Research Summary – Appraisals of Disability: Primary and Secondary Scale (ADAPSS) – Self Care and Daily Living

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
<p>Russell et al. 2021</p> <p>Retrospective study using ROC analyses and odds ratios to identify the clinical utility of the ADAPSS-sf. In addition, blocked hierarchical regression explored the ADAPSS-sf predictive characteristics for satisfaction with life beyond measures of emotional distress</p>	<p>Study participants were categorized into either “healthy adjustment” (n = 53) or “poor adjustment” (n = 37).</p> <p>Healthy adjustment: N = 53 Mean (SD) age 55.4 (13.3) years 50M, 3F Injury characteristics: Tetraplegia (AIS A, B, C) (n = 14), paraplegia (AIS A, B, C) (n = 25), AIS D (n = 14) Traumatic injury: Yes (n = 45), no (n = 8)</p> <p>Poor adjustment: N = 37 Mean (SD) age 55.7 (12.9) years 36M, 1F Injury characteristics:</p>			<p>The ADAPSS-sf is effective in identification of poor psychological adjustment, $P < .001$.</p> <p>Diagnostic odds ratios and ADAPSS-sf cut scores were selected to prioritize sensitivity ($7.17, \leq 11$), specificity ($68.25, \geq 22$), or a balance of the two ($16.32, \leq 19$).</p> <p>Hierarchical regression indicated the ADAPSS-sf accounted for unique variance in life satisfaction beyond measures of emotional distress, ($\Delta R^2 = .20, \beta = -$</p>

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Veteran’s Health Administration SCI Center in the Southwest continental United States	Tetraplegia (AIS A, B, C) (n = 2), paraplegia (AIS A, B, C) (n = 9), AIS D (n = 26) Traumatic injury: Yes (n = 28), no (n = 9)			.66, $t(89) = 6.54$, $P < .001$.
<p data-bbox="239 834 415 899">Deane et al. 2020</p> <p data-bbox="239 954 415 1091">Telephone interview, longitudinal study</p>	<p data-bbox="474 643 810 854">N=115 Age: 18 years or younger at time of SCI, initially interviewed at age 19 or older, and followed annually</p>		<p data-bbox="1199 643 1497 815">ADAPSS-sf demonstrates strong test–retest reliability and internal consistency</p> <p data-bbox="1199 867 1497 1286">Correlations were positive, significant, and strong for Items 1, 2, 4, and 6 ($r=0.55$– 0.80, $p < 0.01$), positive, significant, and moderate for Item 3 ($r=0.33$, $p=0.02$), and only trending significance for Item 5 ($r=0.33$, $p=0.07$)</p>	
<p data-bbox="212 1305 436 1370">McDonald et al. 2018</p>	<p data-bbox="474 1305 810 1406">262 Veterans seen at a VA medical center for their annual SCI</p>	<p data-bbox="835 1305 1157 1406">Concurrent validity was supported by the finding that the</p>	<p data-bbox="1199 1305 1497 1406">Internal consistency of ADAPSS-sf as measured by</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
Retrospective study	evaluations during 2011-2013 Age: median 59 years Male: 96% Traumatic SCI: 71% AIS D: 51% Paraplegia: 43% Low tetraplegia (C5- C8): 32% High tetraplegia (C1- C4): 25%	ADAPSS-sf was strongly correlated with measures of depression and life satisfaction	Cronbach's alpha was adequate (alpha = .73; N = 256). Correlations were positive, moderate in strength, and significant for items 1, 2, 4, and 6 (r = .41 to .66, p < .01), but were non- significant for items 3 (r = -.02) and 5 (r = .25)	
Eaton et al. 2018 Cross-sectional National Spinal Injuries Centre, UK	N = 371 participants with acute SCI 261M, 110F Mean age 53 years, ranging from 15 to 91 years Cause of injury: Traumatic and non- traumatic AIS A (n = 79), AIS B (n = 56), AIS C (n = 106), and AIS D (n = 130) Level of injury: Cervical (n = 179), Thoracic (n =	ADAPSS-SF total and both factors (resilience and loss) were significantly positively correlated to both the HADS subscales. See table 1. Principle Component Analysis with oblique rotation demonstrated a coherent two-factor structure of the		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability																									
	143), lumbar (n = 45), and sacrum (n = 4)	ADAPSS- SF: resilience and loss.																											
	Table 1. Pearson’s correlations between ADAPSS-SF total, ADAPSS-SF factors (resilience and loss), HADS anxiety and HADS depression																												
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 570 753 688"></th> <th data-bbox="753 570 1031 688">ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)</th> <th data-bbox="1031 570 1310 688">ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)</th> <th data-bbox="1310 570 1587 688">HADS-anxiety</th> <th data-bbox="1587 570 1871 688">HADS- depression</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 688 753 769">ADAPSS-SF total</td> <td data-bbox="753 688 1031 769">0.811**</td> <td data-bbox="1031 688 1310 769">0.864**</td> <td data-bbox="1310 688 1587 769">0.597**</td> <td data-bbox="1587 688 1871 769">0.633**</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 769 753 888">ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)</td> <td data-bbox="753 769 1031 888">-</td> <td data-bbox="1031 769 1310 888">0.438**</td> <td data-bbox="1310 769 1587 888">0.398**</td> <td data-bbox="1587 769 1871 888">0.520**</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 888 753 974">ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)</td> <td data-bbox="753 888 1031 974">-</td> <td data-bbox="1031 888 1310 974">-</td> <td data-bbox="1310 888 1587 974">0.605**</td> <td data-bbox="1587 888 1871 974">0.597**</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 974 753 1023">HADS-anxiety</td> <td data-bbox="753 974 1031 1023">-</td> <td data-bbox="1031 974 1310 1023">-</td> <td data-bbox="1310 974 1587 1023">-</td> <td data-bbox="1587 974 1871 1023">0.649**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)	ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)	HADS-anxiety	HADS- depression	ADAPSS-SF total	0.811**	0.864**	0.597**	0.633**	ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)	-	0.438**	0.398**	0.520**	ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)	-	-	0.605**	0.597**	HADS-anxiety	-	-	-	0.649**
	ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)	ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)	HADS-anxiety	HADS- depression																									
ADAPSS-SF total	0.811**	0.864**	0.597**	0.633**																									
ADAPSS-SF factor 1 (resilience)	-	0.438**	0.398**	0.520**																									
ADAPSS-SF factor 2 (loss)	-	-	0.605**	0.597**																									
HADS-anxiety	-	-	-	0.649**																									
Mignogna et al. 2014 Cross-sectional	N = 98 (94M, 4F) Mean age =18.3 (13.1) Injury characteristics: Tetraplegia (low): n=14 Tetraplegia (high, AIS A,B,C): n=6	ADAPSS-sf total score was negatively associated with life satisfaction ($\beta =$ $-0.72, p < .001$), controlling for depressive symptoms ($\beta = 0.05, p = .604$) and																											

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	<p>AIS D: n=41</p> <p>Traumatic injury: Yes (77) No (21)</p> <p>Inclusion Criteria: - Solely based on the completion of the ADAPSS-sf</p> <p>sample of outpatient Veterans with spinal cord injuries and disorders (SCI/D).</p>	<p>level of injury ($\beta = 0.153, p = .051$).</p>		
<p>Dean & Kennedy 2009</p> <p>Cross-sectional (N=237) and test-retest (N=93)</p>	<p>Cross-sectional study: 237 SCI participants (68% male) Mean age: 47 years (range: 18-81) 56% reported paraplegic injuries 37% reported tetraplegic injuries 7% unknown</p>	<p>All six ADAPSS subscales were significantly positively correlated with measures of threat and loss appraisals and measure of anxiety via hospital and anxiety and depression scale; significantly negatively correlated</p>	<p>Internal Consistency Cronbach's alpha for Time 1: Fearful despondency: .85 Overwhelming disbelief: .83 Determined resolve: .74</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
	Test-retest study: 93 SCI participants (63% male) Mean age: 48 years (range: 22-65) 64% reported paraplegic injuries 33% reported tetraplegic injuries 3% unknown	with perceived manageability and challenge appraisals. Spearman's rho correlations between the Perceived Manageability Scale – Needs Assessment Checklist and ADAPSS subscales: Fearful despondency: -.597 Overwhelming disbelief: -.468 Determined resolve: - .599 Growth and resilience: -.345 Negative perceptions of disability: -.533 Personal agency: -.519 Spearman's rho correlations between the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	Growth and resilience: .73 Negative perceptions of disability: .80 Personal agency: .70 Cronbach's alpha for test-retest: Fearful despondency: .86 Overwhelming disbelief: .86 Determined resolve: .77 Growth and resilience: .78 Negative perceptions of disability: .74 Personal agency: .74 Test-retest, Inter- rater, Intra-rater All 6 ADAPSS subscales displayed	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>– Anxiety subscale and ADAPSS subscales: Fearful despondency: .649 Overwhelming disbelief: .597 Determined resolve: .347 Growth and resilience: .187 Negative perceptions of disability: .496 Personal agency: .393 all $P < .01$</p> <p>The six ADAPSS factors were unrelated to current age and cause of SCI. However, respondents who were unemployed were more likely to endorse items on Fearful Despondency ($z = -2.851$, $P < .01$, two-tailed), Overwhelming</p>	<p>good internal reliability at Time 1 and test-retest ($\alpha > .70$).</p> <p>Spearman's rho correlations for ADAPSS subscales between time 1 and time 2: Fearful despondency: .879 Overwhelming disbelief: .863 Determined resolve: .755 Growth and resilience: .828 Negative perceptions of disability: .814 Personal agency: .615 All $P < .01$</p>	

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>Disbelief ($z=-3.473$, $P<.001$, two-tailed), and Negative Perceptions of Disability ($z=-3.231$, $P<.001$, two-tailed). Unemployed respondents were also less likely to endorse items on Determined Resolve ($z=-2.911$, $P<.01$, two-tailed). There was a significant difference between level of injury and scores on Determined Resolve and Negative Perceptions of Disability. The mean scores suggested respondents with a cervical injury were less likely to endorse Determined Resolve items and more likely to endorse Negative Perceptions of</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>Disability items. Female respondents also appeared more likely to endorse Overwhelming Disbelief items. There was a weak significant positive correlation between age at time of injury and Negative Perceptions of Disability, suggesting respondents who endorsed items on this subscale were older when they were injured. A weak significant negative correlation between time since injury and Overwhelming Disbelief suggested respondents who endorsed items on this subscale had been injured for less time.</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>Spearman's rho correlations between the Appraisal of Life Events (ALE) subscales (threat, loss, challenge) and the ADAPSS subscales: See table 1.</p> <p>All six ADAPSS subscales were significantly positively correlated with measures of threat and loss appraisals and significantly negatively correlated with perceived manageability and challenge appraisals. This suggests respondents who were more likely to endorse items on the Fearful Despondency, Overwhelming Disbelief, and</p>		

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness Interpretability
		<p>Negative Perceptions of Disability subscales were more likely to appraise their injury in terms of loss and threat and to perceive their injury as unmanageable. They were also less likely to appraise their injury in terms of challenge. Respondents who were less likely to endorse items on the Fighting-Spirit, Growth and Resilience, and Personal Agency subscales were more likely to appraise their injury in terms of loss and threat and to perceive their injury as unmanageable. They too were less likely to appraise their injury in terms of challenge.</p>		
<p>Table 1.</p>				

Author Year Research Design Setting (country)	Demographics and Injury Characteristics of Sample		Validity		Reliability		Responsiveness Interpretability	
	Variable:	Fearful despondency	Overwhelming disbelief	Determined resolve	Growth and resilience	Negative perceptions of disability	Personal agency	
	ALE – Threat	.738	.712	.442	.287	.609	.361	
	ALE - Loss	.739	.721	.473	.310	.614	.458	
	ALE – Challeng e	-.402	-.401	-.292	-.262	-.490	-.401	