Assessment Overview

Assessment Area

ICF Domain: Body Function Subcategory: Functions of the Skin

You Will Need

Scoring:

The scale has 5 stages (0-4), where zero represents no clinical appearance and four indicates full thickness skin loss with extensive destruction extending to bone, tendon or joint capsule.

The two-digit version includes more detailed ulcer descriptors.

Training:

To ensure basic understanding of skin and soft tissue anatomy and relevant physiological concepts, practitioners should undergo training prior to using the scale.

Summary

Stirling's Pressure Ulcer Severity Scale is used to describe the severity of pressure ulcers. It was derived from previously published UK scales developed by a consensus panel of national tissue viability experts.

This clinician-administered observational scale has 5 stages ranging from stage 0 to stage 4, where:

0 = no clinical evidence of a pressure sore

1 = discoloration of the intact skin

2 = partial-thickness skin loss or damage involving epidermis and/or dermis

3 = full-thickness skin loss involving damage or necrosis of subcutaneous tissue but not extending to underlying bone, tendon or joint capsule
4 = full-thickness skin loss with extensive destruction and tissue necrosis extending to bone, tendon or capsule.

The scale has several variations, with the most common being the 1 and 2-digit scales, where the nature and severity of the ulcer are graded.

Availability

Can be downloaded from "Stirling's Pressure Ulcer / Sore Severity Scale (SPSSS)" page.

Assessment Interpretability

Minimal Clinically Important Difference	Statistical Error	Typical Values	
Not established in SCI	Not established in SCI	Distribution of p using the Stirlin classification:	
			Frequency (%)
		Stage 1	3 (5.4)
		Stage 2	12 (21.4)
		Stage 3	37 (66.1)
		Stage 4	4 (7.1)
		Total	56 (100)
		admission to hospital	n=60, mixed injury types, with 1+ pressure ulcers, tay in hospital = 91 (98)

Measurement Properties

Floor/Ceiling Effect:	Effect Size: Not established in	Number of studies reporting
	Respons	isiveness
Number of studies reporting validity	/ data: 1	
(Wellard et al. 2000; n=60, mixed injury types, adn pressure ulcers, mean (SD) length of stay in hospit		
Waterlow scale: $\rho = 0.14$ Waterlow scale: $\rho = 0.32$ Braden scale: $\rho = -0.08$		
<u>Low</u> to <u>Moderate</u> correlation with: Norton scale: $\rho = 0.14$		
When the scales were treated as cate (e.g. at risk, high risk, very high risk):	-	
Norton scale: $\rho = -0.28$ Waterlow scale: $\rho = 0.38$ Braden scale: $\rho = 0.03$		
<u>Low</u> to <u>Moderate</u> correlation with:		
When the scales were treated as con	tinuous variables:	Not established in SCI
/alidity – Low to Moderate		Reliability