## **Assessment Overview**

#### Assessment Area

ICF Domain: Body Function Subcategory: Neuromusculoskeletal & Movement-related Functions and Structures

#### You Will Need

Length: 1 test, recommended to be repeated up to 4 times at 1minute intervals Less than 5 min per test Equipment: Typically, either electrogoniometers, uni-planar video or 3D motion analysis systems Training: Knowledge of spasticity is recommended

#### Summary

The Wartenberg Pendulum Test was introduced in the 1950s as a diagnostic tool of spasticity. It was originally a qualitative measure (clinician simply observed the leg swing).

The use of electronic equipment to generate quantitative data was introduced in the 1980's.

This test has not been validated in a SCI specific population and its validity in other populations is debated.

### Availability

Worksheet: Can be found here.

Video: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yYtGjvCcA70">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yYtGjvCcA70</a>

## **Assessment Interpretability**

Minimal Clinically Important Difference	Statistical Error	Typical Values
Not established in SCI	Not established in SCI	Not established in SCI

# **Measurement Properties**

Validity – <mark>Low</mark> to <b>High</b>	Reliability – <b>High</b>	
Low to High correlation between pendulum test score and average velocity for three therapists:	High Inter-trial Reliability between seven pendulum tests:	
Therapist A: r = 0.223	ICC = 0.92	
Therapist B: r = 0.657	(Smith et al. 2000; n=22, 21 males, 1 female; tetraplegia and paraplegia; mean (SD) time since injury: 29.8 (43.2) months)	
Therapist C: r = 0.67	incur (55) time since injuly. 23.6 (43.2) months	
(Smith et al. 2000; n=22, 21 males, 1 female; tetraplegia and paraplegia; mean (SD) time since injury: 29.8 (43.2) months)	High Test-retest reliability:	
	Optical Tracking System with Pendulum test (OTS): ICC = 0.932 (95% CI)	
Number of studies reporting validity data, 1	Inertial Measurement Units with Pendulum Test (IMU): ICC = 0.925 (95% CI	
Number of studies reporting validity data: 1	(De Santis & Perez 2024; n=23; various level of injury; AIS: 5A, 2B, 6C, 10D)	
	Number of studies reporting reliability data: 2	

## Responsiveness

Floor/Ceiling Effect: Not established in SCI

Effect Size: Not established in SCI Number of studies reporting responsiveness data: 0