# Life Satisfaction Questionnaire (LISAT-9, LISAT-11)

## Assessment Overview

### Assessment Area

### **ICF** Domain:

Quality of Life Subscales (domains): Life as a whole, vocational situation, financial situation, leisure situation, contacts with friends, sexual life, self-care management, family life, and partner relationships

You Will Need

### Length:

5 minutes, 9 or 11 items Scoring:

Item scores can be summed and an average score is produced Maybe more appropriate to use mean domain scores instead of total score in order to maintain information on each domain available for clinical interventions

## Assessment Interpretability

#### Minimal Clinically Important Statistical Error Typical Values Difference Standard Error of Measurement LISAT-9 Mean (SD) Total Score: Not established in SCI for LISAT-9: 31.6 (9.4) Life as a whole: 0.07 (Geyh et al. 2010; n-243, 193 males, mixed Self-Care: 0.05 injury = 140 (139) months) Leisure situation: 0.06 Vocational situation: 0.06 Financial situation: 0.06 Sexual life: 0.06 Partner relations: 0.07 Family life: 0.06 Contact with friends: 0.07 **Minimal Detectable Change for** LISAT-9: Life as a whole: 0.19 Self-Care: 0.14 Leisure situation: 0.17 Vocational situation: 0.17 Financial situation: 0.17

## Summary

The Life Satisfaction Questionnaire (LISAT) was originally developed as a checklist rather than a measure of life satisfaction. It targets important life domains: life as a whole, vocational situation, financial situation, leisure situation, contacts with friends, sexual life, self-care management, family life, and partner relationships. The LISAT-11 has 2 extra items asking about the level of satisfaction of the individual's physical health and psychological health respectively.

## Availability

Can be found here: Clinician-Summary LISAT-911-v.7.0-1.pdf (scireproject.com)

Languages: Available in 8 languages.

injury types, outpatient, mean (SD) time since

Sexual life: 0.17 Partner relations: 0.19 Family life: 0.17 Contact with friends: 0.19

(Geyh et al. 2010; n-243, 193 males, mixed injury types, outpatient, mean (SD) time since injury = 140 (139) months)

# **Measurement Properties**

Validity – Moderate to High	Reliability – Moderate to High
<b><u>High</u></b> correlation with Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS): $\rho = 0.60$	<u>High</u> Overall Internal Consistency: α = 0.86
<b><u>Moderate</u></b> correlation with Mental Health subscale of SF-36 (MHI-5): $\rho = 0.52$	(Geyh et al. 2010; n-243, 193 males, mixed injury types, outpatient, mean (SD) time since injury = 140 (139) months) $\frac{Moderate}{\alpha} \text{ Internal Consistency:}$ $\alpha = 0.75$
<u>Moderate</u> correlation with Social Dimension of SIP-68 (SIP-SOC)	(Post et al. 2012; n=145; 104 males, mixed injury types, 5 years after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation)
$\rho$ = - 0.45 (Post et al. 2012; n=145; 104 males, mixed injury types, 5 years after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation)	Number of studies reporting reliability data: 2
Number of studies reporting validity data: 1	

## Responsiveness

Floor/Ceiling Effect: Not established in SCI Effect Size: Mean LISAT-9 total score differences before & after SCI = 1.0

(Van Koppenhagen; n-147, wheel-chair dependent, data was collected retrospectively at the start of active rehabilitation and one year after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation.) Number of studies reporting responsiveness data: 1