Assessment Overview

Assessment Area

ICF Domain: Body Function Subcategory: Mental Functions

You Will Need

Length:

5-10 minutes, 10 (CES-D-10) or 20 (CES-D) items **Scoring:** Items scored 0-4. Total score is

the sum of all items: 0-30 for CES-D-10 and 0-60 for CES-D. Higher scores indicate greater symptoms **Training:**

None but knowledge about depression and mental health is helpful

Summary

The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) was developed to identify current depressive symptomatology related to major or clinical depression in adults and adolescents. It is a screening measure (NOT a diagnostic tool).

Items include depressed mood, feelings of guilt, worthlessness and helplessness, psychomotor impairment, loss of appetite and sleep difficulties. There are 10 and 20 item versions of the scale. The most commonly used version of the CES-D is the 20-item version; thus when articles state CES-D, they usually refer to the 20 item version. The CESD-R (not discussed here) was developed in 2004 as a revision of the original CES-D.

Availability

Worksheet: Can be found here.

Languages: Translations are available

Assessment Interpretability

Minimal Clinically Important Difference	Statistical Error	Typical Values
Not established in SCI	Not established in SCI	Mean (Range) CES-D score: 15.2 (0-42) 39% of sample scored over 15 30% of sample scored over 19 (Miller et al. 2008; n=47; 30 males, 17 females; mean age: 40.6 years; 20 ASIA A, 18 ASIA B; > 1 year post-SCI) Using CES-D score of \geq 16: True Positive = 163, False Negatives = 24, False Positives = 34, True Negatives = 115. Positive Predictive Value = 0.827, Negative Predictive Value = 0.827 Likelihood ratio = 3.82 (Kennedy et al. 2019, military service members with a history of mild traumatic brain injury; Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=187 (87.2% male), mean age (SD): 38.48 (7.53); No Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=149 (86.6% male), mean age (SD): 36.72 (7.80))

Measurement Properties

Validity – Low to High	Reliability – <mark>Low</mark> to High	
Low to High correlation with SF-36 subscales:	Low to High Test-retest Reliability:	
CES-D: r = 0.27-0.75	CES-D ICC = 0.87	
CES-D-10: r = 0.37-0.71	CES-D-10 ICC = 0.85	
Moderate correlation with Visual Analogue Scale –	Items ICC = 0.11-0.73	
Fatigue:	(Miller et al. 2008; n=47; 30 males, 17 females; mean age: 40.6 years; 20 ASIA	
CES-D: r = 0.52	A, 18 ASIA B; > 1 year post-SCI)	
CES-D-10: r = 0.57		
(Miller et al. 2008; n=47; 30 males, 17 females; mean age: 40.6 years; 20	High Internal Consistency:	
ASIA A, 18 ASIA B; > 1 year post-SCI)	CES-D-20 α = 0.89-0.91	
Moderate correlation between CFC D and Fatime	(Miller et al. 2008; n=47; 30 males, 17 females; mean age: 40.6 years; 20 ASIA A, 18 ASIA B; > 1 year post-SCI)	
Moderate correlation between CES-D and Fatigue Severity Scale:	(Rintala 2013; n=69, 69 males; ASIA A-D; paraplegia and tetraplegia; mean (SD) time since injury: 12.8(7.2) years)	
r = 0.58		
(Anton et al. 2008; n=48, 31 males, 17 females; mean age: 40.4 years; 48 motor complete SCI, 26 tetraplegia, 30 ASIA A; mean time since injury: 14.9 years)	Number of studies reporting reliability data: 3	
Moderate to High area under the curve (ROC) analysis:		
AUC (SD) = 0.897 (0.017)		
95% CI = 0.864-0.931		
(Kennedy et al. 2019, military service members with a history of mild traumatic brain injury; Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=187 (87.2% male), mean age (SD): 38.48 (7.53); No Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=149 (86.6% male), mean age (SD): 36.72 (7.80))		
Number of studies reporting validity data: 4		
Respons	siveness	
Floor/Ceiling Effect: Effect Size:	Number of studies reporting	

Not established in SCI

Effect Size:

Using a cut point of 16 or more on CES-D total score: Sensitivity = 0.87 Specificity = 0.77 Accuracy = 82.7% Youden index = 0.644

(Kennedy et al. 2019, military service members with a history of mild traumatic brain injury; Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=187 (87.2% male), mean age (SD): 38.48 (7.53); No Depressive Disorder Diagnosis: N=149 (86.6% male), mean age (SD): 36.72 (7.80))

Number of studies reporting responsiveness data: 2