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Type of Outcome Measure: Spinal Cord Injury Spasticity Evaluation Tool (SCI-SET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author ID</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Population (sample size, age) and Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adams et al. 2007 | Scale development and assessment                                               | General community    | Study 3  
N=61  
Male=45  
Female=16  
Paraplegia=24  
Tetraplegia=37  
Mean (SD) time since injury = 10.2 (8.6) |

1. RELIABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author ID</th>
<th>Internal Consistency</th>
<th>Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams et al. 2007</td>
<td>Cronbach’s α=0.90</td>
<td>ICC=0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. VALIDITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author ID</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adams et al. 2007 | Pearson correlation between SCI-SET scores and:  
Self-assessment of Spasticity Severity  
r=-0.48, P<.001  
Self-assessment of Spasticity Impact  
r=-0.61, P<.001  
Functional Independence Measure motor score  
r=0.21, P=.12  
Quality of Life Index health and functioning subscale  
r=0.68, P<.001  
PSFS  
r=-0.66, P<.001 |

3. RESPONSIVENESS – no data available

4. FLOOR/CEILING EFFECT – no data available

5. INTERPRETABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author ID</th>
<th>Interpretability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adams et al. 2007 | Across groups SCI-SET scores ranged from -2.35 to 0.00 with a mean (SD) of -0.65 (0.56)  
Mean scores for patients with Paraplegia = -0.62 (0.57)  
Mean scores for patients with Tetraplegia = -0.67 (0.57)  
SEM for SCI-SET score (calculated from data in Adams et al. 2007): 0.17  
MDC for SCI-SET score (calculated from data in Adams et al. 2007): 0.47 |