

Reviewer ID: John Zhu, Gurmaan Gill, Matthew Querée			
Type/Name of Outcome Measure: Spinal Cord Injury Functional Ambulation Profile (SCI-FAP)			Total articles: 2
Author ID Year	Study Design	Setting	Population (sample size, age) and Group
Musselman et al., 2011	Development and validation of SCI-FAP Cross-sectional sample	"Participants with [incomplete] SCI were recruited from the University of Alberta (UA) and the University of British Columbia (UBC)"	N=32, 24 male Mean age 47.6, SD=14.2, range = 20-81 At least 6 months postinjury; mean = 7.0(8.7) yrs AIS-C/D: 14/18, incomplete SCI Level of Injury: 19 cervical, 10 thoracic, 3 lumbar WISCI-II score 8~20 In addition, N=60 able-bodied adults participated for comparison
Musselman & Yang 2014	Secondary analysis of data collected during a randomized, single-blind, crossover trial	Recruitment through: Canadian Paraplegic Association; Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital, Alberta, Canada; Foothills Hospital, Alberta, Canada; Online Advertisements	N=20, 14 male Mean age 46.0(13.6) Mean postinjury time: 5.4(8.8) yrs AIS-C/D: 4/16, incomplete SCI Level of Injury: 10 cervical, 9 thoracic, 1 lumbar Incomplete SCI, independent ambulation with assistive devices WISCI-II score 9~20
1. RELIABILITY			
Author ID	Internal Consistency	Test-retest, Inter-rater, Intra-rater	
Musselman et al. 2011		Test-retest ICC (N=22, 1-2 week interval): Total Score: 0.983 Total Time: 0.952 Total Assistance: 0.998 Tasks: 0.959-0.992 Interrater ICC (all but 3 had 3 raters – rest had 5 raters): Total Score: 1.000 Total Time: 1.000 Total Assistance: 1.000 Tasks: 0.994-1.000	
2. VALIDITY			
Author ID	Validity		
Musselman et al. 2011	<p>Discriminative validity: Incomplete SCI participants "scored significantly higher on the SCIFAP (total score $P = .002$; and task scores, $.001 < P < .01$) compared with their able-bodied counterparts." "There is a lot of variability among the participants with ISCI. In all, 5 participants achieved total scores on the SCI-FAP similar to those of able-bodied individuals, whereas the 3 participants who could not complete all SCI-FAP tasks scored >1000"</p> <p>Convergent Validity Pearson's correlations with: 10MWT: Total Score: -0.59 ($P=0.001$) Total Time: -0.62 ($P<0.007$) Total Assistance: -0.78 ($P<0.007$) Tasks: -0.47~-0.63 ($P<0.007$)</p>		

	<p>6MWT: Total Score: -0.59 (P=0.001) Total Time: -0.63 (P<0.007) Total Assistance: -0.80 (P<0.007) Tasks: -0.47~-0.64 (P<0.007)</p> <p>Jaspens coefficient of multiserial correlations with: WISCI-II (self-selected): Total Score: -0.68 (P=0.001) Total Time: -0.67 (P<0.007) Total Assistance: -0.82 (P<0.007) Tasks: -0.54~-0.67 (P<0.007)</p> <p>WISCI-II (maximal): Total Score: -0.70 (P=0.001) Total Time: -0.71 (P<0.007) Total Assistance: -0.86 (P<0.007) Tasks: -0.57~-0.69 (P<0.007)</p>
Musselman & Yang 2014	<p>Pearson's correlations (All insignificant, P>0.2): Change in SCI-FAP after precision training with change in: 10MWT (self-selected pace): -0.09 10MWT (fast pace): -0.24 6MWT: -0.29</p> <p>Change in SCI-FAP after endurance training (N=17) with change in: 10MWT (self-selected pace): 0.05 10MWT (fast pace): 0.07 6MWT: 0.17</p>
3. RESPONSIVENESS	
Author ID	Responsiveness
Musselman & Yang 2014	<p>Standardized response mean after 2 months of precision training: SCI-FAP Score: 0.5 (P>0.005) SCI-FAP Time: 0.5 (P>0.005) SCI-FAP Tasks: 0.4 - 0.6</p> <p>No correlation between SCI-FAP change scores and 10 Metre Walk Test or 6 Minute Walk Test changes</p>
4. FLOOR/CEILING EFFECT	
Author ID	Floor/ceiling effect
5. INTERPRETABILITY	
Author ID	Interpretability
Musselman & Yang 2014	<p>Minimal Detectable Change at 95% CI: SCI-FAP score: 95.7 SCI-FAP time: 114.2</p>